ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

Evaluation of Nigeria Educational Development (Precolonial, Colonial and Post-Colonial Eras): Process, Progress, Success, Challenges, and Solutions

Dr. Adeyemo Owolabi Safiriyu
National Open University of Nigeria

Abiola Olutope Lawrence, PH.D
Osun State Polytechnic, Iree

Ojo Mary Oluwafolakemi
National Teachers Institute, Kaduna

Dr. Safiriyu Owolabi ,Adeyemo
National Open University Of Nigeria

Banke Joy Akinyemi.

Abstract

This study evaluated Nigerian Educational process, success, challenges and solutions since colonial and post-colonial eras. The study was qualitative research design, because it did not involve any numbering or statistical analysis. Major sources of data collection for this paper were through secondary sources, like text books, journals and personal contact with educational stakeholders. The paper enunciated that Nigerian people had traditional educational system before the arrival of colonial masters. Then, the independent bodies like missionaries were the first to establish schools in Nigeria.

The colonial government was sensitized to involve in western education through the agitations from the Nigerian elites. Some of the problems to Nigeria education were chronic underfunding of education, the out of school children crises, poor quality of teaching and teacher's development, infrastructure deficit and dilapidated school facilities, examination malpractices and credentialing crisis, gender inequality and social exclusion in education, insecurity and school violence, governance failure and policy inconsistencies, over dependent on government and neglect of private sector partnership.

The above problems were solved through; sustainable and prioritize educational funding, aggressive actions on out of school children, comprehension teacher's reform, infrastructure rehabilitation and digital transformation, examination integrity restoration, curriculum relevance, gender inequality and inclusive education security and safe school, governance reformed and policy stability as well as strategic public-private partnership.

I. INTRODUCTION

Education is universally acknowledged as the cornerstone of human development, societal progress, and national transformation. It is the vehicle through which knowledge, values, skills, and cultural heritage are transmitted from one generation to another. In Nigeria, as in many African nations, the story of education is deeply intertwined with history, culture, religion, colonialism, and post-independence nation-building efforts. Understanding the trajectory of Nigerian education — from its indigenous pre-colonial roots through the disruptions of colonialism to the reforms of the post-colonial era — provides an essential foundation for appreciating the current educational landscape.

Equally important is the application of cognitive development theories to classroom practice. Scholars such as Jean Piaget, Lev Vygotsky, Jerome Bruner, Erik Erikson, and theorists of information processing have provided powerful frameworks for understanding how children think, learn, and grow. These theories carry profound implications for how teachers organize instruction, design curricula, interact with students, and create learning environments that genuinely foster intellectual and personal development.

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

This paper therefore pursues a dual purpose: to trace the historical evolution of Nigerian education across three major periods — pre-colonial, colonial, and post-colonial — and to explore in depth the implications of cognitive development theories for classroom teaching. Together, these two themes illuminate both where Nigerian education has come from and the pedagogical principles that should guide where it is going.

Nigerian Educational Development — A Historical Overview

Pre-Colonial Education in Nigeria

Long before the arrival of European missionaries and colonial administrators, Nigerian societies had well-established, functional, and purposeful systems of education. This indigenous education was not conducted in classrooms or formalized in written curricula, but it was nonetheless systematic, intentional, and effective in meeting the developmental and societal needs of the time.

Pre-colonial Nigerian education was primarily informal and non-formal in character. It was embedded in the daily life of the community and transmitted through oral tradition, apprenticeship, initiation rites, storytelling, communal ceremonies, and direct participation in adult activities. According to Fafunwa (1974), traditional African education was functional, society-centered, and geared toward producing individuals who were morally upright, vocationally skilled, socially responsible, and spiritually grounded.

Objectives of Pre-Colonial Education

The goals of indigenous Nigerian education were holistic and community-oriented. Fafunwa (1974) identified seven cardinal goals of traditional African education:

- To develop the child's latent physical skills
- To develop character and moral integrity
- To inculcate respect for elders and peers
- To develop intellectual skills and curiosity
- To acquire specific vocational training
- To develop a sense of belonging and participation in community affairs
- To understand, appreciate, and promote the cultural heritage of the community

These objectives demonstrate that pre-colonial Nigerians understood education not as the acquisition of academic knowledge alone but as the total formation of the human person within the social context.

Methods and Content of Pre-Colonial Education

The content of traditional education varied across ethnic groups — Yoruba, Igbo, Hausa-Fulani, Efik, Tiv, and others — but shared common features. Children learned through observation and imitation, watching elders perform farming, fishing, weaving, blacksmithing, trading, or governance and gradually participating until mastery was achieved. Proverbs, folktales, riddles, songs, and myths served as instructional tools that encoded moral values, historical knowledge, and philosophical wisdom.

Among the Hausa-Fulani in Northern Nigeria, Islamic education had been established long before European contact. The Quranic school (makaranta) served as the primary educational institution, where children learned to read and recite the Quran, study Arabic, and imbibe Islamic values and jurisprudence. This system of education was highly organized and produced scholars, clerics, judges (alkalis), and administrators who governed the Sokoto Caliphate and other Islamic states (Bray, Clarke & Stephens, 1986).

Among the Yoruba, guilds and age-grade systems provided structured vocational and social education. The Ogboni and other secret societies transmitted specialized knowledge to initiates. Among the Igbo, the Iwa Akwa ceremony marked the transition of young men to adulthood, signifying the completion of a phase of socialization and moral formation. Masquerades, festivals, and oral literature served as educational media that encoded history, religion, and civic values (Uchendu, 1965).

Strengths and Limitations of Pre-Colonial Education

The strengths of indigenous education lay in its relevance, practicality, and cultural rootedness. It produced individuals who were well-adapted to their social environment, economically productive, and morally integrated into community life. However, it also had significant limitations. It was largely local and ethnic in scope, making it inadequate for inter-ethnic or international engagement. The absence of a writing tradition in most groups meant that knowledge accumulation and scientific advancement

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

were constrained. Gender inequalities were also embedded in the system, as girls were often trained only for domestic roles while boys received broader socialization (Taiwo, 1980).

Colonial Education in Nigeria (1842–1960)

The arrival of Christian missionaries in Nigeria in the nineteenth century marked the beginning of formal Western education. The Methodist missionary Thomas Birch Freeman arrived in Badagry in 1842, followed by other missions including the Church Missionary Society (CMS), the Roman Catholic Mission (RCM), and the Baptist Mission. These missionaries established schools primarily as instruments of evangelization — to produce converts who could read the Bible and assist in spreading Christianity (Ajayi, 1965).

Missionary Education

The first formal school in Nigeria is widely acknowledged to be the one established in Badagry in 1843 by the Wesleyan Methodist Mission. By the 1850s, the CMS had established schools in Abeokuta, Lagos, and other parts of Yorubaland.

The Hope Waddell Training Institute was established in Calabar in 1895 by the Presbyterian Mission, providing one of the earliest examples of secondary-level education in Nigeria (Fafunwa, 1974).

Missionary education had several defining characteristics. First, it was literacy-focused, emphasizing reading, writing, and arithmetic as tools for religious and civic participation. Second, it was curriculum-narrow, with little attention to vocational, scientific, or indigenous knowledge. Third, it was culturally alienating — children were taught to reject African customs, languages, and traditions in favor of European values and the English language. Fourth, access was geographically uneven, concentrated in the south while Northern Nigeria, due to resistance from Islamic leaders, remained largely outside the missionary educational network.

Government Involvement in Colonial Education

The British colonial government's involvement in Nigerian education became more systematic from 1882 when the first Education Ordinance was enacted. This ordinance established government inspection of schools and introduced grant-in-aid for mission schools meeting prescribed standards. Subsequent ordinances in 1887 and 1916 further consolidated government control and introduced more structured regulations regarding teacher qualifications, curriculum content, and school management (Taiwo, 1980).

The Phelps-Stokes Commission Reports of 1922 and 1924 were significant landmarks. These reports criticized the overly literary and academic bias of colonial education and recommended a more practical, agriculture-based curriculum adapted to African conditions. In response, the British government issued the 1925 Memorandum on Education Policy in British Tropical Africa, which advocated for education that adapted to the social and economic needs of African communities while preserving what was valuable in African culture (Bray et al., 1986).

The Education Ordinance of 1926 following this policy formalized the structure of primary and secondary education in Nigeria and introduced a more standardized system of school management, curriculum, and examination. The first government secondary school, King's College, Lagos, was established in 1909, and Government College, Umuahia in 1929, providing elite secondary education primarily for the children of the privileged class.

The North-South Educational Divide

One of the most enduring legacies of colonial education in Nigeria is the regional educational imbalance between the North and South. In Southern Nigeria, missionaries operated freely, and Western education expanded rapidly. In Northern Nigeria, the colonial government, through the policy of indirect rule under Lord Lugard, deliberately restricted missionary activity to avoid disrupting the Islamic social order. As a result, Western education in the North lagged significantly behind the South — a disparity that continued to shape national politics, employment, and development long after independence (Ikejiani, 1964).

Higher Education in the Colonial Period

The demand for higher education in Nigeria grew steadily through the 1930s and 1940s. Nigerian nationalists and educators increasingly called for a university on Nigerian soil. The Elliot Commission of 1943 and the Asquith Commission of 1945 were set up to examine higher education needs in British Africa. Their recommendations led to the establishment of the University College, Ibadan in 1948 — as a college of the University of London — which became the first institution of higher learning in Nigeria (Ashby, 1966).

Post-Colonial Educational Development in Nigeria (1960–Present)

Nigeria gained independence on October 1, 1960, and with it came a renewed urgency to reform, expand, and nationalize the educational system. Education became a central pillar of national development policy, and successive governments — civilian and military — embarked on ambitious reforms aimed at expanding access, improving quality, and aligning education with national needs.

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

Early Post-Independence Reforms (1960s–1970s)
In the immediate post-independence period, the regional governments of Northern, Eastern, and Western Nigeria pursued their own educational policies. The Western Region under Chief Obafemi Awolowo launched Free Universal Primary Education (UPE) in 1955 — even before independence — a landmark policy that dramatically expanded primary school enrollment in the region (Ajayi, 1965).

Following independence, all regions expanded secondary and higher education. New universities were established: the University of Nigeria, Nsukka (1960), the University of Lagos (1962), Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria (1962), and the University of Ife (now Obafemi Awolowo University) in 1962. These universities represented Nigeria's commitment to building indigenous intellectual capacity.

The aftermath of the Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970) created new urgency around national unity and educational reconstruction. The federal government moved to take over mission schools in 1970–1971 across different states, nationalizing hundreds of schools in an effort to promote unity and remove religious and ethnic biases from public education (Taiwo, 1980).

The Universal Primary Education Programme (1976)
The most ambitious educational policy of the post-colonial period was the Universal Primary Education (UPE) programme launched by the Murtala-Obasanjo military government in 1976. This programme aimed to provide free and compulsory primary education for all Nigerian children. Enrollment figures exploded from approximately 3.5 million in 1970 to over 8 million in 1976 and continued to rise sharply (Fafunwa, 1974; Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1977).

While UPE achieved remarkable expansion in access, it was plagued by serious problems: acute shortage of qualified teachers, inadequate classrooms and learning materials, poor implementation planning, and declining quality of education. The rapid expansion overwhelmed the system's capacity to maintain standards.

The National Policy on Education (1977 and Subsequent Revisions)
The National Policy on Education (NPE), first published in 1977 and subsequently revised in 1981, 1998, 2004, and 2013, became the foundational document guiding Nigerian education. It introduced the 6-3-3-4 system — six years of primary education, three years of junior secondary, three years of senior secondary, and four years of university — replacing the inherited colonial structure (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2013).

The NPE emphasized:

- Education for national unity and integration
- Self-reliance and practical skills development
- Science and technology education
- Moral and civic education
- Special education for learners with disabilities
- Technical and vocational education

Universal Basic Education (UBE) Act, 2004
The Universal Basic Education Act of 2004 extended the principle of free and compulsory education to nine years — covering six years of primary and three years of junior secondary school. The Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) was established to coordinate implementation and distribute funds to states. This policy represented a significant commitment to Education for All goals aligned with the United Nations Millennium Development Goals (Obanya, 2004).

Contemporary Challenges in Nigerian Education
Despite decades of reform, Nigerian education faces persistent challenges. These include: chronic underfunding, with education receiving far below the UNESCO-recommended 26% of national budget; severe infrastructure deficits; high dropout rates, particularly among girls in the North; the out-of-school children crisis, with Nigeria consistently ranked among the countries with the highest number of out-of-school children globally; examination malpractice; poor teacher motivation and training; and the growing disconnect between educational outcomes and labor market needs (Obanya, 2004; World Bank, 2018).

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

Challenges to the Development of Nigeria's Educational Sector and Stable Solutions

Education remains the most powerful instrument through which nations build human capital, reduce poverty, foster democratic governance, and accelerate economic development. It is the foundation upon which every other sector of national life depends — healthcare, agriculture, technology, governance, and industry all require educated, skilled, and critically thinking citizens. Across the world, nations that have invested deliberately and consistently in education — South Korea, Finland, Singapore, and China — have transformed their economies and improved the quality of life of their citizens within a few decades.

Nigeria, Africa's most populous nation and largest economy, presents a paradox of enormous educational potential trapped within a web of systemic dysfunction. With a population exceeding 220 million people and a youthful demographic in which over 60 percent are below the age of 25, Nigeria possesses the human resource base to become a continental and global powerhouse. Yet its educational sector remains one of the most troubled on the African continent — characterized by crumbling infrastructure, underfunding, poorly trained teachers, rampant examination malpractice, high dropout rates, and a persistent disconnect between educational output and economic productivity.

The Nigerian educational sector is beset by what may be described as bottlenecks — structural, systemic, financial, political, social, and pedagogical blockages that obstruct the free flow of quality education to all Nigerian children and youth. These bottlenecks are not new; many have been documented since the 1970s, yet they persist and in many cases have deepened. Understanding these bottlenecks with analytical precision and proposing sustainable, evidence-based solutions is therefore a matter of urgent national importance.

This paper identifies and critically examines the major bottlenecks to educational development in Nigeria and proffers stable, practical, and systemic solutions drawn from research, policy analysis, and comparative international experience.

Chronic Underfunding of Education

Perhaps the most fundamental and pervasive bottleneck to educational development in Nigeria is the chronic and systemic underfunding of the sector. The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) recommends that nations allocate a minimum of 26 percent of their annual national budget to education in order to achieve meaningful educational development. Nigeria has consistently fallen far short of this benchmark.

Between 2015 and 2023, Nigeria's budgetary allocation to education hovered between 5 percent and 8 percent of the total national budget — a figure that is not only below the UNESCO benchmark but also lower than the allocations of several other African nations including Ghana, Rwanda, and Kenya (Obanya, 2004; UNESCO, 2021). The consequences of this underfunding are devastating and far-reaching. Schools lack furniture, textbooks, laboratories, libraries, and sanitary facilities. Teachers are poorly remunerated and unmotivated. Universities suffer from inadequate research funding, dilapidated lecture halls, and insufficient hostel accommodation. Technical and vocational institutions lack the modern equipment necessary for practical training.

The problem is compounded by the fact that even the inadequate funds that are appropriated for education are frequently not fully released, are subject to bureaucratic delays, or are diverted through corruption. The gap between budgetary appropriation and actual expenditure on education is a well-documented feature of Nigerian public finance (Ajayi & Ekundayo, 2008). In many states, months pass without the release of funds to schools, causing academic disruptions, unpaid staff salaries, and deteriorating infrastructure.

Furthermore, Nigeria's over-dependence on oil revenue as the primary source of government funding means that the education budget is highly vulnerable to fluctuations in global oil prices. When oil revenues decline — as they did sharply in 2016 and again in 2020 — education budgets are among the first casualties of fiscal austerity.

The Out-of-School Children Crisis

Nigeria bears the ignominious distinction of having one of the largest out-of-school children populations in the world. According to UNICEF (2022), Nigeria has approximately 20 million out-of-school children — a figure that represents roughly one-fifth of the global total. This crisis is not uniformly distributed across the country; it is most acute in the Northwest and Northeast geopolitical zones, where cultural practices, poverty, insecurity, and limited school infrastructure combine to keep millions of children — especially girls — out of the classroom.

The Almajiri system in Northern Nigeria, in which boys are sent to study under Islamic teachers (malams) while begging for sustenance, has historically channeled large numbers of children away from formal education. While the Almajiri system has deep cultural and religious roots and provides a form of education, its graduates are often ill-equipped for participation in the formal economy or civic life (Bray et al., 1986).

The out-of-school children crisis represents not only an educational failure but also a profound developmental and security challenge. Children who do not receive education are more vulnerable to poverty, child labor, early marriage, radicalization, and recruitment into criminal and insurgent groups. The devastating activities of Boko Haram — whose very name translates as

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

"Western education is forbidden" — have destroyed hundreds of schools in the Northeast, killed and abducted thousands of students and teachers, and driven millions of children out of school through fear and displacement (Human Rights Watch, 2016).

Poor Quality of Teaching and Teacher Development

The quality of education is ultimately determined by the quality of teaching, and Nigeria's teaching force faces deep and systemic challenges. A significant proportion of teachers in Nigerian schools — particularly at the primary level — are unqualified or underqualified. Studies have consistently shown that many teachers lack adequate subject knowledge, pedagogical skills, and professional orientation (Akinwumiju & Olowe, 1996).

The problem begins with teacher preparation. Many teacher training institutions in Nigeria are poorly resourced, use outdated curricula, and fail to prepare graduates for the realities of Nigerian classrooms. The National Teachers' Institute (NTI) and Colleges of Education (COEs) often produce graduates who are technically certified but practically ineffective. Pre-service training is insufficiently practical, with limited supervised teaching practice that genuinely develops classroom competence.

In-service professional development is equally weak. Most teachers in Nigeria receive little or no systematic continuing professional development after initial qualification. Where workshops and training programmes exist, they are often one-off events of questionable quality, with no follow-up or accountability for the application of new skills. The Teachers Registration Council of Nigeria (TRCN), established to regulate the profession, has struggled to enforce standards effectively across a system as large and decentralized as Nigeria's.

Teacher motivation is a further dimension of this problem. Nigerian teachers are among the most poorly paid professionals in the country. Salary delays, poor working conditions, lack of career advancement opportunities, and low social status have combined to make teaching an unattractive profession that fails to draw the best graduates. Many qualified individuals enter teaching as a last resort and exit at the first opportunity (Obanya, 2004).

Infrastructure Deficit and Dilapidated School Facilities

The physical condition of Nigerian schools is a national scandal that has been documented repeatedly by researchers, journalists, and government inspectors. Across the country — but particularly in rural areas and urban slums — school buildings are in states of severe disrepair. Roofless classrooms, cracked walls, flooded floors, broken furniture, absent latrines, and non-functional laboratories are commonplace. In many schools, students sit on bare floors or stones, sharing inadequate textbooks, and lacking the most basic learning materials.

The Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) has channeled billions of naira into school infrastructure improvement through its matching grants to states, yet implementation has been slow, uneven, and plagued by contractor fraud (Alade, 2011). Many school building projects funded by government are either abandoned before completion, poorly constructed, or not maintained after completion, leading to rapid deterioration.

The digital divide adds another dimension to the infrastructure crisis. While the global educational community increasingly emphasizes ICT integration, digital literacy, and online learning, the vast majority of Nigerian public schools — particularly at the primary and junior secondary levels — have no computers, no internet connectivity, and no digital learning resources. This gap leaves Nigerian students ill-prepared for the demands of a technology-driven twenty-first century economy and widens the inequality between private and public school graduates.

Examination Malpractice and Credentialing Crisis

Examination malpractice is one of the most corrosive problems afflicting Nigerian education. It has reached epidemic proportions, undermining the integrity of certificates, eroding public trust in educational institutions, and producing graduates whose credentials bear no relationship to actual competence. The West African Senior School Certificate Examination (WASSCE) and the National Examinations Council (NECO) examinations are annually accompanied by widespread cheating — through impersonation, leakage of question papers, collusion by invigilators, and organized mercenary examination fraud (Ojerinde, 2013).

The consequences of examination malpractice are systemic and profound. Employers and universities cannot trust the certificates presented by job and admission seekers. Graduates who cheated their way through examinations lack the foundational knowledge their certificates purport to represent, making them ineffective in workplaces and higher education. Honest students who refuse to participate in malpractice are penalized relative to those who cheat, creating perverse incentives that punish integrity and reward dishonesty.

The root causes of examination malpractice in Nigeria are multiple: inadequate preparation by students, pressure from parents and schools for results regardless of method, corrupt examination officials, weak penalties and enforcement, and a broader cultural tolerance of cutting corners. Until these root causes are addressed, technical measures alone will be insufficient to eliminate the problem.

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

Curriculum Irrelevance and Misalignment with National Needs

A fundamental bottleneck to educational development in Nigeria is the persistent misalignment between the curriculum and the economic, social, and technological realities of the country. Despite numerous curriculum reviews and policy reforms, the Nigerian school curriculum continues to be overly academic, excessively theoretical, and insufficiently practical — a legacy of the colonial educational tradition that prioritized the production of clerks and bureaucrats over entrepreneurs, technicians, and innovators.

Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) has been consistently neglected and stigmatized in the Nigerian educational system. Parents and students prefer academic secondary schools to technical schools, and the government has historically allocated far less funding to TVET than to general academic education. Yet it is precisely the skills taught in technical institutions — construction, electrical engineering, plumbing, auto mechanics, fashion design, ICT repair — that are most needed in Nigeria's economy and most capable of generating self-employment (Okoro, 1993).

The curriculum is also slow to adapt to emerging needs. Entrepreneurship education, digital literacy, environmental studies, financial literacy, and critical thinking are either absent from or inadequately represented in the Nigerian school curriculum. Graduates emerge from twelve years of schooling without basic skills in financial management, civic participation, or digital tools — skills that are indispensable in contemporary life.

Gender Inequality and Social Exclusion in Education

Despite significant progress in expanding girls' education in Nigeria, deep gender disparities persist — particularly in the northern states. Cultural practices including early marriage, purdah (female seclusion), son preference, and gender-based violence continue to limit girls' access to and retention in formal schooling. According to UNICEF (2022), in some states of the Northwest, fewer than 30 percent of girls' complete primary education.

Children with disabilities face equally severe exclusion from the mainstream educational system. Nigeria lacks adequate special education provisions, accessible school facilities, trained special education teachers, and inclusive pedagogical approaches. Children with visual, hearing, physical, or intellectual impairments are routinely excluded from public schools or educated in severely under-resourced special schools that fail to meet their developmental needs (Ajobiewe, 2007).

Children from the poorest households, those living in rural and remote areas, and children of internally displaced persons (IDPs) also face systematic exclusion from quality education. The intersection of poverty, geography, gender, disability, and displacement creates multiple overlapping disadvantages that the Nigerian educational system has yet to adequately address.

Insecurity and School Violence

The security crisis in Nigeria has become one of the most acute immediate threats to educational development. Since 2009, the Boko Haram insurgency in the Northeast has killed thousands of students and teachers, abducted hundreds of schoolchildren — most dramatically the 276 Chibok schoolgirls in 2014 — and destroyed or forced the closure of thousands of schools. The Zamfara school kidnappings of 2021 and subsequent abductions across Northwest Nigeria demonstrated that mass kidnapping of schoolchildren had metastasized beyond the Northeast into new regions (Human Rights Watch, 2016).

Fear of attack has led many parents to withdraw their children from school, particularly in affected states. Teachers have fled insecure communities, leaving schools without staff. School buildings have been destroyed, looted, or converted to military or IDP camp use. The cumulative educational disruption caused by insecurity in affected regions represents a generational catastrophe that will take decades to fully address. Beyond insurgency, urban schools in Nigeria also face challenges of cultism, gang violence, sexual harassment, bullying, and drug abuse that create hostile learning environments and drive students away from school.

Governance Failures and Policy Inconsistency

Nigeria's federal structure distributes educational responsibilities across three tiers of government — federal, state, and local — creating coordination challenges, duplication of effort, and accountability gaps. The Local Government Education Authority (LGEA), theoretically responsible for primary school administration, is in most states chronically underfunded, poorly staffed, and lacking in administrative capacity.

Policy inconsistency is another major governance failure. Each change of government — and Nigeria has had many, particularly through military coups — has historically brought changes in educational policy direction, disrupting long-term planning and implementation. The six-three-three-four system, the 9-3-4 system, curriculum reforms, school autonomy policies, and university funding models have all been subjects of policy reversals and inconsistent implementation (Fafunwa, 1974; Obanya, 2004).
Corruption in educational governance — through ghost workers on school payrolls, embezzlement of school grants, inflated procurement contracts, and politically motivated appointments of unqualified persons to key educational positions — has significantly undermined the efficiency and effectiveness of public education spending.

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

Overdependence on Government and Neglect of Private Sector Partnership

Nigerian education has historically been dominated by government ownership and management, with the private sector playing a supplementary rather than strategic role. This over-dependence on government — which is itself constrained by limited revenues, competing priorities, and bureaucratic inefficiency — has meant that educational expansion and quality improvement are perennially constrained by fiscal limitations.

While private schools have proliferated in Nigerian cities, they are predominantly accessible only to middle-class and elite families, widening educational inequality. The potential for structured public-private partnerships (PPP) in educational infrastructure, teacher training, curriculum development, and EdTech deployment has been largely untapped (Alade, 2011).

Stable Solutions to Nigeria's Educational Bottlenecks

Sustainable and Prioritized Educational Funding

The most foundational solution to Nigeria's educational crisis is a genuine, sustained, and accountable increase in educational funding. The federal and state governments must commit to progressively increasing budgetary allocations to education toward and beyond the UNESCO benchmark of 26 percent. This commitment must be enshrined not merely in budget speeches but in binding legislative provisions that protect education funding from arbitrary reduction.

Nigeria must also diversify its educational funding base beyond oil revenue dependency. Education taxes, corporate social responsibility frameworks, diaspora education bonds, and international development partnerships should be systematically developed as alternative and supplementary funding streams. The Education Tax Fund (now Tertiary Education Trust Fund — TETFund) represents an existing model that can be strengthened, expanded, and made more transparent.

Critically, funding must be accompanied by robust accountability mechanisms. Independent audit of educational expenditure, public disclosure of school-level funding allocations, community oversight committees, and digitized financial tracking systems must be institutionalized to ensure that funds reach their intended destinations and are used effectively (UNESCO, 2021).

Aggressive Action on Out-of-School Children

Addressing the out-of-school children crisis requires a multi-pronged, context-sensitive strategy that goes beyond simply building more schools. Supply-side interventions must be complemented by demand-side incentives. Conditional cash transfer programmes — providing financial support to poor families on the condition that children are enrolled and attend school — have demonstrated effectiveness in Nigeria (through the N-Power and GEEP programmes) and internationally (Brazil's Bolsa Família, Mexico's Oportunidades) and should be significantly scaled up.

The Almajiri system must be integrated into the formal educational framework through sensitively designed programmes that respect Islamic values while providing basic literacy, numeracy, and vocational skills. The Almajiri Education Programme launched by the Jonathan administration in 2012, though inadequately funded and implemented, provides a policy framework that can be revived and strengthened.

Community mobilization, engagement of traditional and religious leaders, and targeted campaigns to change cultural attitudes toward girls' education are essential complements to structural interventions. Mobile schools, distance learning programmes, and flexible school schedules that accommodate the agricultural and pastoral rhythms of rural communities should be deployed in hard-to-reach areas (UNICEF, 2022).

Comprehensive Teacher Reform

Transforming the quality of Nigerian education requires a wholesale reform of the teaching profession — from recruitment and training through deployment, professional development, remuneration, and career management.

Competitive entry into teacher education must replace open access. Only the best graduates should be recruited into teaching programmes, following the model of high-performing education systems like Finland, where teaching is a highly selective and prestigious profession. Teacher education curricula must be modernized to integrate evidence-based pedagogy, child development theory, ICT skills, inclusive education practices, and classroom management.

Teacher remuneration must be significantly improved and made competitive with other professions requiring similar levels of education. Regular, prompt payment of salaries — enforced through biometric verification to eliminate ghost workers — is a minimum prerequisite. Beyond salaries, career pathways, merit-based promotions, housing support, and professional recognition awards should be institutionalized to attract and retain talented individuals in teaching.

Systematic in-service professional development must be institutionalized through school-based mentoring, professional learning communities, regular workshops with assessed outcomes, and digital learning platforms that allow teachers to update their knowledge continuously. The TRCN should be empowered and adequately funded to enforce professional standards and sanction incompetence (Akinwumiju & Olowe, 1996; Obanya, 2004).

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

Infrastructure Rehabilitation and Digital Transformation

A national school infrastructure rehabilitation programme, funded jointly by federal, state, and local governments with private sector and international development partner support, must be urgently implemented. Every school in Nigeria should meet minimum standards for classroom space, furniture, sanitation, water supply, and security before 2030 — a goal that requires political commitment, adequate funding, and effective project management.

ICT integration in schools must become a national priority. This requires not only hardware provision — computers, tablets, projectors — but robust internet connectivity, electricity supply (including solar power for off-grid schools), digital content development in local languages, and teacher training in ICT-supported pedagogy. Partnerships with technology companies, the National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA), and international development organizations can accelerate this digital transformation. Nigeria's EdTech sector, which includes platforms such as uLesson, Passnownow, and PrepClass, should be strategically partnered with government to deliver quality digital content to public school students (Alade, 2011).

Examination Integrity Restoration

Restoring the integrity of Nigeria's examination system requires both technological innovation and cultural transformation. On the technological side, computer-based testing (CBT) — already introduced by JAMB for university admission examinations with demonstrable success in reducing certain forms of malpractice — should be progressively extended to WAEC and NECO examinations. Biometric identification, randomized question banks, real-time monitoring, and AI-powered irregularity detection should be deployed systematically.

On the cultural side, a sustained national campaign against examination malpractice must be waged through schools, religious institutions, media, and community organizations. Severe and consistently enforced sanctions — including the cancellation of results, banning of implicated schools, prosecution of corrupt invigilators, and public naming of offenders — are essential deterrents.

More fundamentally, the curriculum and assessment system must be reformed to reduce the high-stakes pressure that drives malpractice. When a single examination at age 16 determines a student's entire future, the incentive to cheat becomes overwhelming. Continuous assessment, portfolio-based evaluation, and multiple assessment pathways would reduce this pressure and provide fairer, more comprehensive pictures of student achievement (Ojerinde, 2013).

Curriculum Relevance and TVET Revitalization

Nigeria's curriculum must be comprehensively redesigned to develop twenty-first century competencies — critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, communication, digital literacy, entrepreneurship, and financial management — alongside essential academic knowledge. This redesign must be driven by rigorous labor market analysis, stakeholder consultation with employers and communities, and review of international best practices.

Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) must be destigmatized and substantially invested in. This requires improving the physical facilities and equipment of technical schools, reforming TVET curricula to align with current industry demands, establishing industry partnerships that provide student internships and graduate employment pathways, and launching sustained public campaigns that celebrate technical and vocational careers. Germany's dual system of vocational education — which combines school-based learning with structured workplace apprenticeship — offers a powerful model for adaptation to the Nigerian context (Okoro, 1993).

Gender Equity and Inclusive Education

Achieving gender equity in Nigerian education requires a legal, social, and economic strategy pursued simultaneously. The Child Rights Act must be domesticated, strengthened, and enforced in all states, with specific provisions banning child marriage and mandating school attendance for all children. Scholarship programmes, stipends, and female-friendly school facilities — including separate, safe toilets, menstrual hygiene products, and female teachers in conservative communities — are practical interventions that increase girls' enrollment and retention.

Inclusive education for children with disabilities must be mainstreamed through teacher training in special education methodologies, universal design for learning (UDL) principles in school construction, provision of assistive technologies, and legal mandates for school inclusion. The National Special Education Centre and state equivalents should be adequately funded and made centers of excellence for inclusive educational practice (Ajobiewe, 2007).

Security and Safe Schools

Protecting students and teachers from violence requires a comprehensive Safe Schools strategy that combines security measures with community engagement and trauma-informed support. The Safe Schools Declaration, which Nigeria endorsed in 2019, provides a framework for protecting education in conflict areas. Implementation of this declaration through practical measures — school perimeter security, community reporting systems, rapid government response to threats, and teacher and student training in emergency preparedness — must be accelerated.

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

In conflict-affected areas, alternative and accelerated learning programmes, temporary learning spaces, and psychosocial support for trauma-affected students must be systematically provided to ensure educational continuity despite insecurity. International partnerships with organizations such as UNICEF, Save the Children, and the Global Coalition to Protect Education from Attack (GCPEA) should be actively leveraged (Human Rights Watch, 2016).

Governance Reform and Policy Stability

Educational governance in Nigeria must be fundamentally reformed to ensure clarity of responsibility, coordination across tiers of government, policy stability, and accountability. A National Education Development Commission with strong analytical capacity, cross-party political support, and insulation from short-term political pressures should be established to provide long-term strategic direction for the sector.

Policy stability must be cultivated through bipartisan consensus on educational priorities that transcends changes of government. The National Policy on Education should be treated as a living but stable document, revised through inclusive consultative processes rather than unilateral ministerial decisions. Long-term education sector plans with clearly defined, measurable targets and independent monitoring mechanisms should guide investment and reform over ten to twenty-year horizons.

Anti-corruption measures specific to the education sector — including forensic audits of school funding, digitization of payrolls, independent oversight of procurement, and strong whistleblower protection — are essential to restoring the integrity of educational governance (Ajayi & Ekundayo, 2008).

Strategic Public-Private Partnerships

Nigeria must deliberately cultivate strategic public-private partnerships (PPPs) as a central mechanism for educational development. This means moving beyond the current model in which private schools simply operate alongside public schools, toward structured partnerships in which private organizations co-invest in public educational infrastructure, provide management expertise, deliver specialized curriculum content, train teachers, and supply technology platforms.

Corporate Nigeria has a direct interest in educational quality as the source of its future workforce, and the corporate social responsibility (CSR) frameworks of major companies should be aligned with specific, measurable educational development goals. The Lagos State model of PPP in education — where private organizations have partnered with government to rehabilitate and manage specific public schools — offers lessons that can be adapted and scaled nationally.

International development partners — the World Bank, USAID, DFID, the African Development Bank, and bilateral development agencies — should be engaged through strategically designed, government-led partnerships that align external support with national educational priorities rather than donor agendas (Alade, 2011; UNESCO, 2021).

II. CONCLUSION

Nigeria's educational sector is beset by bottlenecks that are severe, systemic, and deeply entrenched. Chronic underfunding, millions of out-of-school children, poor teacher quality, crumbling infrastructure, examination malpractice, curriculum irrelevance, gender inequality, insecurity, governance failure, and inadequate private sector engagement collectively constitute a crisis that demands urgent, sustained, and courageous national response.

Yet Nigeria is not without resources, capacity, or examples of success. The country possesses a large and talented population, a vibrant diaspora community, a growing EdTech sector, and a body of educational research and policy experience accumulated over decades of reform. What has been lacking is not knowledge of what needs to be done, but the political will, institutional capacity, and sustained commitment to do it.

The solutions proposed in this paper — increased and accountable funding, aggressive action on out-of-school children, comprehensive teacher reform, infrastructure rehabilitation, curriculum relevance, examination integrity, gender equity, security, governance reform, and strategic partnerships — are neither new nor untested. They are grounded in evidence, aligned with international best practices, and adapted to Nigerian realities. What they require is implementation — consistent, coordinated, and accountable implementation sustained across political cycles and administrative transitions.

Nigeria's future depends on the education of its children today. Every child left out of school, every teacher left untrained, every classroom left in disrepair, and every naira diverted from education is a cost borne not only by today's generation but by every generation that follows. The time for incremental, half-hearted responses is long past. Nigeria's educational crisis demands — and its children deserve — nothing less than transformational action.

ISSN:2584-2706 DOI: https://doi.org/10.67317/IJMSRT26SEP102

REFERENCES

[1]. Ajayi, I. A., & Ekundayo, H. T. (2008). The deregulation of university education in Nigeria: Implications for quality assurance. Nebula, 5(4), 212–224.
[2]. Ajayi, J. F. A. (1965). Christian missions in Nigeria 1841–1891: The making of a new elite. Longmans.
[3]. Ajobiewe, T. (2007). Special needs education in Nigeria: Issues and challenges. Stirling-Horden Publishers.
[4]. Akinwumiju, J. A., & Olowe, S. (1996). Teacher effectiveness in Nigerian secondary schools. University Press.
[5]. Alade, I. A. (2011). Quality assurance and the challenges of education in Nigeria. Journal of Education and Practice, 2(3), 14–23.
[6]. Ashby, E. (1966). Universities: British, Indian, African — A study in the ecology of higher education. Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
[7]. Atkinson, R. C., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In K. Spence & J. Spen(Eds.), The psychology of learning and motivation (Vol. 2, pp. 89–195). Academic Press.
[8]. Baddeley, A. (2007). Working memory, thought, and action. Oxford University Press
[9]. Bray, M., Clarke, P. B., & Stephens, D. (1986). Education and society in Africa. Edward Arnold.
[10]. Bruner, J. S. (1960). The process of education. Harvard University Press.
[11]. Bruner, J. S. (1996). The culture of education. Harvard University Press
[12]. Fafunwa, A. B. (1974). History of education in Nigeria. George Allen & Unwin.
[13]. Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1977). National policy on education. NERDC Press.
[14]. Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2013). National policy on education (6th ed.). NERDC Press.
[15]. Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2013). National policy on education (6th ed.). NERDC Press.
[16]. funwa, A. B. (1974). History of education in Nigeria. George Allen & Unwin.
[17]. Human Rights Watch. (2016). "They set the classrooms on fire": Attacks on education in northeast Nigeria. HRW.
[18]. Ikejiani, O. (Ed.). (1964). Nigerian education. Longmans
[19]. Obanya, P. (2004). The dilemma of education in Africa. UNESCO-BREDA.
[20]. Obanya, P. (2004). The dilemma of education in Africa. UNESCO-BREDA.
[21]. Ojerinde, D. (2013). Curbing examination malpractice in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. Journal of Education and Practice, 4(8), 47–54.
[22]. Okoro, O. M. (1993). Principles and methods of vocational and technical education. University Trust Publishers.
[23]. Piaget, J. (1952). The origins of intelligence in children. International Universities Press.
[24]. Piaget, J. (1970). Science of education and the psychology of the child. Orion Press
[25]. Taiwo, C. O. (1980). The Nigerian education system: Past, present and future. Thomas Nelson.
[26]. Uchendu, V. C. (1965). The Igbo of southeast Nigeria. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
[27]. UNESCO. (2021). Global education monitoring report 2021/2: Non-state actors in education. UNESCO Publishing.
[28]. UNICEF. (2022). Nigeria country office education programme report. UNICEF Nigeria.
[29]. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
[30]. Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 17(2), 89–100.
[31]. World Bank. (2018). World development report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise. World Bank Publications.