

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response (CBATDSR)

Albert Ulutorti Green
Department of Special Education
Paul University/St. Paul's Theological College, Awka

Abstract

Compensatory Behavioral Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response (CBATDSR) offers a new perspective on deaf people's social and psychological reactions. Traditional deficit-focused theories of deaf behavior have defined physical expressiveness, impulsivity, strong community bonding, and selective relationship tendencies as cognitive or emotional impairments. This theoretical proposal challenges these interpretations by placing these behaviors in behavioral psychology, specifically John B. Watson and B. F. Skinner's classical and operant conditioning frameworks. CBATDSR claims that many deaf behaviors are adaptive reactions to environmental inputs, reinforcement patterns, and communication restrictions. In oral societies, lack of communication encourages gestures, facial emotions, and body movements. Social exclusion might cause protective or impulsive feelings that help people cope. The approach promotes connection, mutual support, and collective security in deaf groups through social reinforcement. CBATDSR views relational preferences such as deaf people forming close bonds within the deaf community as rational adaptive judgments based on compatibility and effective communication. Environmental learning settings, not cognitive skills, influence thinking and problem-solving strategies. CBATDSR reframes deaf behavioral patterns as adaptive and culturally impacted reactions to connect behavioral research with philosophical ideas on human resilience, social belonging, and dignity. Environmental modification, positive reinforcement, and communication barrier reduction are key conceptual elements for inclusive education, therapy, social policy, and interpersonal relations. CBATDSR promotes deaf inclusion, comprehension, adaptive intelligence, and agency in many social settings.

Keywords:

Compensatory, Behaviour, Adaptation, Deaf, Social Response

Introduction

The behavioral analysis of deaf individuals has usually been situated within either deficit-focused psychological frameworks or sociocultural views highlighting communication barriers. Despite the fact that these methods provide valuable insights, they frequently fail to take into account in a systematic manner the adaptive and learning qualities of behavior that are displayed by deaf individuals. Deaf individuals often display a variety of unique behavioral characteristics (Fellinger et al., 2022), such as increased physical expressiveness, impulsive responses to stress or marginalization, robust solidarity within their community, and selective relational involvement, especially concerning marriage and intimate relationships with hearing individuals. The current body of research frequently describes these actions as being indicative of cognitive or emotional deficiencies; nevertheless, such interpretations may lead to oversimplification and stigmatization of the condition.

This study proposes that the observed behaviors of deaf individuals are learned adaptations that are impacted by environmental contingencies and reinforcement histories. This hypothesis is founded on the notions of classical and operant behaviorism as described by John B. Watson and B. F. Skinner (Arican et al., 2020; Ribes, 2022). The perception of behavior is not based on an innate characteristic, but rather on the interaction that occurs between an individual and the social and physical environment in which they find themselves. A frequent dependency on non-verbal communication, for instance, may result in enhanced physical

expressiveness. On the other hand, impulsive or defensive behaviors may indicate that they are conditioned responses to experiences of social exclusion or marginalization.

Furthermore, the collective solidarity that is usually observed in deaf civilizations may be interpreted as an improved social behavior (Ajakor & Green, 2024), in which aiding one another and defending one another are positively affirmed within the society. Likewise, relational preferences, especially the desire to create connections largely within the deaf community can be regarded as a sensible choice of settings that provide constant reinforcement and communication congruity (Leigh et al., 2020). This perspective is consistent with a behaviorist framework, which places a higher value on observable behaviors, external cues, and contingent reinforcement than it does on mental things that cannot be observed physically.

The purpose of this theoretical argument is to combine insights from the field of behavioral research with philosophical issues concerning human adaptation, social belonging, and participating in inclusive activities. The theory proposes that deaf behavioral patterns ought to be regarded as adaptive responses rather than impairments. The purpose of this theory is to improve academic comprehension and to provide information that can be used to inform practical social interventions. The statement says that surroundings that are inclusive and supportive have the potential to operate as positive reinforcers (Lambert et al., 2024), so encouraging socially constructive actions while simultaneously respecting the uniqueness of individuals and the requirements for communication.

Definition of the Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response (CBATDSR) is a behavioral framework that asserts that numerous observable social behaviors in deaf individuals, such as increased physical expressiveness, swift defensive reactions, robust in-group solidarity, and selective relational engagement are acquired adaptive responses influenced by environmental constraints, communication barriers, and reinforcement histories, rather than indications of intrinsic cognitive or emotional deficiencies. The theory posits that behavioral patterns arise

from ongoing interactions between the deaf individual and their social environment, wherein environmental stimuli, reinforcement contingencies, and communication

accessibility shape the development of compensatory behaviors that promote survival, social integration, and functional interaction.

Core Propositions of the Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response (CBATDSR)

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response (CBATDSR) is based on the fundamental premise of behaviorism: behavior is a learned response molded by continuous interaction with environmental stimuli and reinforcement contingencies (Susetyarini et al., 2024). The idea asserts that behaviors sometimes misinterpreted as cognitive or emotional inadequacies in deaf individuals are, in fact, adaptive responses to environmental limitations, communication obstacles, and social marginalization. The subsequent statements delineate the fundamental behavioral concepts that support the theory.

Proposition 1: Communication Deprivation Produces Physical Behavioural Compensation

People who are deaf typically face difficulties in accessing spoken language, particularly in communities that place a greater emphasis on oral communication (Wilson-Menzfeld et al., 2025). The presence of this limitation acts as a contextual input that has an effect on behavior in non-verbal forms, such as gestures, facial expressions, body posture, and other motor expressions. The individual is trained to improve their bodily expressiveness as the primary channel of interaction as a result of their continued dependence on these other communication methods. When viewed from a behaviorist perspective, these compensatory behaviors are reinforced by social feedback. Effective non-verbal communication attracts attention, acknowledgment, or the intended consequences, which in turn enhances the physical reaction (Rusdiah, 2025). This adaptation shows a key aspect of human agency, which is that humans improve their interaction with their environment by making use of the resources that are accessible to them. This demonstrates resilience and adaptive intelligence in spite of the absence of

standard verbal communication modes. The ability to physically express oneself gradually transforms into a habitual, acquired behavior that is more of an adaptation than a limitation as time passes.

Proposition 2: Marginalization Functions as an Aversive Stimulus that Conditions Defensive Responses

The social marginalization, exclusion, and misunderstanding that deaf people experience serve as painful triggers in the context of their conduct (Dunn, 2024). A person's emotional and behavioral responses, such as anger, rage, or defensiveness, are triggered when they are subjected to unfavorable social feedback, regardless of whether the input is deliberate or systematic. According to the behaviorist perspective, these reflexes are conditioned responses, which means that they are formed by repeated exposure to social difficulties, which in turn shapes instantaneous protective behavior (Guoli, 2025). According to the principles of operant conditioning, protective reflexes have the potential to be reinforced when they result in the acquisition of acknowledgment, social attention, or temporary secureness (Yanjie, 2025). These behaviors, from a philosophical standpoint, can be considered to be adaptations that are morally neutral; they are functional responses to systemic impediments rather than innate tendencies toward violence or impulsivity. Having an understanding of these behaviors within their context highlights the ethical commitment that society has to adjust environmental conditions in order to reduce the impact of unpleasant stimuli and to encourage the development of socially desirable reinforcement.

Proposition 3: Impulsive Reactions Are Learned Survival Behaviours

When it comes to deaf people, impulsivity can be considered as a learned behavioral style, particularly when it comes to reacting to perceived hazards or social provocations (Coleman & Dulewicz, 2025; Daza González et al., 2021; Taylor, 2021). When an individual is subjected to repeated instances of delayed reasoning or communication that result in social disadvantage, they are given the condition to react quickly and with determination (Taylor & Crocker, 2022). In the terminology of behaviorism, quick responses

are reinforced when they successfully address environmental challenges or lessen perceived hazards, hence increasing the likelihood that they will occur again in the future. Through this line of reasoning, impulsivity is rethought not as a lack of logic but rather as a functional adaptation to the constraints that are imposed by the circumstances (Fenneman, 2023). In a philosophical sense, it emphasizes the contextual nature of rationality, which states that activities that appear to be spontaneous or socially unacceptable are, in fact, deliberately acquired behaviors that are tailored for adaptive success within limited communication circumstances.

Proposition 4: Group Solidarity Is Reinforced Social Behaviour

As an example of a form of social reinforcement learning, consider the tendency of deaf people to support one another, even when they do not fully comprehend the specific cause for the disagreement (Listman & Kurz, 2020; Uluroti, 2025). Collective defense and solidarity are activities that are positively reinforced inside the in-group. Mutual support promotes social acceptance, strengthens community relationships, and boosts the perception of security (Yang et al., 2022). Through the lens of the behaviorist perspective, these acts are seen as operant reactions that are influenced by social reinforcements. When seen from a philosophical point of view, collective solidarity denotes a manifestation of relational ethics (Farneth, 2025; Hemer, 2023). This means that behaviors are guided by a principle of shared concern and mutual reinforcement rather than by an individualistic evaluation. This principle emphasizes the importance of the necessity to perceive deaf social behavior via the lens of environmental and ethical perspectives, highlighting the importance of adaptive and prosocial behavior even when faced with challenging circumstances.

Proposition 5: Relational Preferences Reflect Reinforcement Compatibility

Deaf people commonly exhibit selective participation in intimate or married relationships, with a general preference for partners who are also members of the deaf community (Ajakor & Green, 2024; Green; Green, 2025). Within the realm of behavioral analysis, this pattern could be seen as a decision

that is influenced by reinforcement alignment. There is a possibility that interactions with hearing partners could involve frequent misinterpretation, irritation, and a reduction in emotional input and negative reinforcement, all of which will discourage continuous participation (Uluroti, 2025). The contacts that take place within the deaf community, on the other hand, provide continuous reinforcement through the use of shared communication modalities, mutual comprehension, and social activities that are culturally suitable. The idea that relational conduct is controlled by the concept of maximizing positive reinforcement and reducing unpleasant experiences is emphasized by this concept, which highlights rational adaptive decision-making. Perspectives that are deficit-focused and that incorrectly represent these relational patterns as social inadequacies are challenged by this argument.

Differences in cognitive styles are the result of environmental learning, according to proposition number six (Uluroti, 2025; White, 2024).

The differences in reasoning and problem-solving abilities that are observed among deaf people are rethought as acquired cognitive processes that are influenced by different contextual circumstances (Ammar et al., 2024). The evaluation of stimuli, the processing of information, and the resolution of social difficulties are all accomplished through unique channels that are created by visual learning modes, restricted access to verbal communication, and different cultural norms. In the behaviorist approach, it is hypothesized that these outcomes are not the result of intellectual deficiencies but rather are influenced by the setting in which they occur. It is important to note that this argument has philosophical significance since it challenges the universalist assumptions that are made regarding "normative" thinking and brings attention to the variety of adaptive cognitive methods. In addition to this, it provides a justification for social inclusion policies that acknowledge behavioral variety while also providing positive reinforcement of social activities that are good.

Scope and Boundaries of the Theory

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response offers a framework for understanding various

behavioral patterns in deaf communities; however, it does not assert that all behaviors displayed by deaf individuals are exclusively the result of environmental conditioning. Biological factors, individual personality traits, neurological diversity, and broader sociocultural elements may also affect behavioral results.

Moreover, CBATDSR does not indicate that all deaf individuals display same behavioral patterns, nor does it imply that adaptive responses are consistent across many cultural contexts. The theory explicitly examines behavioral tendencies that emerge from ongoing interactions among communication obstacles, contextual reinforcement systems, and experiences of social inclusion or exclusion. Identifying these limitations guarantees that the theory retains analytical precision while circumventing deterministic interpretations of deaf behavioral experiences.

Potential Critiques and Theoretical Clarifications

Numerous potential critiques may emerge about the Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response. A critique may contend that analyzing behavioral responses predominantly from a behaviorist perspective diminishes the significance of cognitive processes and internal psychological experiences. CBATDSR acknowledges the significance of cognition, but it prioritizes observable environmental learning processes as a fundamental mechanism influencing behavioral patterns in restricted communication contexts.

A further objection pertains to the potential for overgeneralizing behavioral trends within the varied deaf community. The theory mitigates this issue by framing its assertions as probabilistic behavioral tendencies instead of universal traits. Deaf persons exhibit varied personalities, life experiences, and cultural backgrounds, all of which influence environmental conditioning processes. Another objection may argue that perceiving behaviors like impulsivity or protective responses as adaptive could unintentionally validate dysfunctional activity. CBATDSR does not support detrimental behaviors; rather, it aims to situate their origins within environmental reinforcement frameworks, thereby facilitating more effective intervention tactics that alter environmental cues instead of

stigmatizing individuals. The theory enhances its analytical rigor and establishes itself as a constructive framework for comprehending behavioral adaptation rather than a deterministic account of deaf identity by addressing this second concern.

Conceptual Model of CBATDSR

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response is structured around an interactional model comprising four interrelated components: environmental stimuli, communication constraints, reinforcement mechanisms, and adaptive behavioural outcomes.

Environmental stimuli encompass social interactions, institutional frameworks, cultural norms, and communication accessibility in mostly oral society (Schegloff, 2020). Communication hurdles occur when deaf individuals face obstacles to verbal conversation, information accessibility, and social engagement (Albert et al., 2025; Bai & Bruno, 2020; Green).

These environmental factors trigger reinforcement mechanisms via experiences of social acceptability, misunderstanding, exclusion, or support. Positive reinforcement enhances behaviors that promote effective contact, whereas negative reinforcement fosters protective or defensive techniques intended to alleviate social pain or marginalization (Anuforo et al., 2025).

Gradually, these reinforcement patterns yield adaptive behavioral results, including improved visual communication, increased bodily expressiveness, robust community cohesion, and selective relational involvement. These behaviors exemplify acquired tactics that allow individuals to maneuver through situations not initially intended to support deaf communication methods.

This interactional paradigm emphasizes that behavioral results are not static personal traits but dynamic reactions influenced by ongoing interactions with environmental factors.

Implications for Social Inclusion and Intervention

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response (CBATDSR) provides theoretical and practical guidance for deaf-inclusive environments. The idea helps develop interventions, regulations, and social practices that promote positive behaviour and

reduce maladaptive outcomes by framing observed actions as adaptive responses to environmental circumstances rather than underlying shortcomings. The effects are educational, therapeutic, and community-based.

Inclusive Education and Learning Environments

The philosophy stresses adjusting environmental stimuli to facilitate adaptable learning in education. Oral education in traditional classrooms might cause deaf kids to become frustrated and impatient (Edenfield, 2020). According to CBATDSR, visual signals, sign language, tactile learning tools, and multimodal communication encourage students to respond positively and build self-efficacy. Peer-based reinforcement systems like deaf community collaborative group learning can also improve adaptive social behaviours and reduce maladaptive impulsivity and violence (Gagle, 2023).

Inclusive education is seen as an ethical requirement that aligns society with individual adaptability. According to behaviorism, educators can promote academic success and social responsibility by generating prosocial stimuli (Alfirević et al., 2023).

Therapeutic and Psychological Interventions

CBATDSR helps mental health experts and behavioural therapists rethink deaf behavioral issues. Aversive environmental stimuli or reinforcement histories can cause rage, impulsivity, and relational selectivity. Thus, therapeutic approaches should adjust environmental circumstances, consistently encourage adaptive behaviors, and discourage detrimental behaviors while respecting individual autonomy (Green & Nweke; Rajaraman et al., 2022).

Visual and experiential conditioning approaches including reinforcement schedules with social acknowledgment, structured group support, and guided behavioural modeling may help anger management programs (Toohey, 2021). Therapy should recognize that “irrational” behavior may be adaptive in a limited setting, moving the focus from repression to guided adaptation and skill development.

Community and Social Policy Implications

Beyond education and therapy, CBATDSR affects community planning and social policy. Public spaces, workplaces, and social services should eliminate negative stimuli (e.g., communication obstacles, isolation, marginalization) and boost positive reinforcement for constructive engagement. Deaf-led, culturally sensitive, and peer-support policies use naturally reinforcing social dynamics to promote behavioral adaptation that promotes individual well-being and community cohesion (Oye, 2024; Uluroti, 2024). Philosophically, the approach promotes inclusion without paternalism (Carney et al., 2023): social structures should steer behavior by reinforcement and opportunity, not coercion or deficiency repair. This method respects deaf people's individuality and dignity while fostering adaptive behavior and social integration.

Implications for Interpersonal Relationships

In family, intimate, and cross-cultural relationships, CBATDSR informs interpersonal activities. Relational preferences as reinforcement compatibility outcomes indicate environmental adaptability and mutual reinforcement techniques for effective relationships. Communication, social reinforcement, and understanding the adaptive foundation of impulsive or defensive behaviours may be needed by hearing partners (McCullagh, 2021). Families and communities can also promote constructive dispute resolution, teamwork, and emotional regulation to reduce maladaptive patterns while honoring deaf people's natural behaviour.

Consequently, CBATDSR underscores that behavioural adaptation is contingent upon the environment, and inclusive interventions must focus on stimulus modification, reinforcement of positive behaviours, and reduction of aversive conditions. Whether in education, therapy, community design, or interpersonal contexts, the theory provides a practical roadmap for enhancing social inclusion, well-being, and adaptive functioning among deaf individuals, aligning behavioural principles with ethical and philosophical considerations of human dignity and agency.

Theoretical Contribution

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response enhances the

multidisciplinary examination of deafness by amalgamating behavioural psychology, social philosophy, and disability studies into a cohesive explanatory framework. Previous study often examined deaf behavioral patterns through deficit-focused psychological interpretations or solely sociocultural views; however, CBATDSR integrates these approaches by highlighting adaptive learning processes within environmental contexts. The theory broadens behaviorist concepts by utilizing classical and operant conditioning methods to analyze the experiences of deaf individuals in communication-restricted settings. It reinterprets behavioral traits typically viewed as deficiencies into contextually relevant adaptive strategies. Moreover, CBATDSR enhances inclusive social policy by offering a behavioral rationale for the significance of environmental change, accessible communication systems, and community-based reinforcement frameworks in fostering favorable social outcomes. The idea promotes a more humanitarian and ethically sound comprehension of deaf social behavior by prioritizing adaptation over lack.

Conclusion

The Compensatory Behavioural Adaptation Theory of Deaf Social Response (CBATDSR) offers a new paradigm for comprehending the behavioral patterns of deaf individuals as adaptive responses influenced by contextual factors, social reinforcement, and communication limitations. The theory reframes behaviors like physical expressiveness, impulsivity, group solidarity, selective relational engagement, and alternative cognitive processing as acquired strategies for navigating environments traditionally characterized by oral communication and social marginalization.

From a behaviorist perspective, these behaviors are not indicative of pathology but rather represent functional adaptations shaped by stimulus-response mechanisms and reward requirements. The idea underscores the significance of social and environmental contexts in influencing behaviors, emphasizing the ethical and practical necessity of establishing inclusive environments that foster positive reinforcement for socially beneficial actions. Philosophically, CBATDSR emphasizes the need of contextualizing behavior, acknowledging human adaptability,

and upholding individual dignity while fostering societal cohesiveness.

Recommendations

In light of the theoretical propositions, several recommendations emerge for education, therapy, policy, and social practice:

1. Schools should design curricula and learning environments that incorporate visual, tactile, and sign-mediated communication, promoting reinforcement of adaptive behaviours while reducing aversive experiences associated with communication barriers.
2. Mental health and behavioural professionals should interpret behaviours as environmentally conditioned responses and employ positive reinforcement techniques to guide adaptive behavioural change, while respecting individual autonomy.
3. Public spaces, workplaces, and social programs should minimize aversive stimuli and provide structured opportunities for inclusion and reinforcement of prosocial behaviours. Policies supporting deaf-led initiatives and peer-support networks are particularly effective in promoting group cohesion and behavioural adaptation.
4. Families, partners, and community members should engage in mutually reinforcing communication strategies, recognizing adaptive behavioural tendencies, and fostering environments where positive social responses are consistently encouraged.

Reference

Ajako, E., & Green, A. U. (2024). Management of Deaf Persons Using the Principles of Religious Studies, and Human Relations: implications and imperatives. *OHAZURUME-Unizik Journal of Culture and Civilization*, 3(4).

Albert, U. G., Gabriel, C. U., Nwude, P. D. F. P., & Umeoranefo, I. C. (2025). Enquiry of Hospital Emergency Practices on Patients with Hearing and Speech Impairment: Implications and Solutions. *Journal of Theoretical and Empirical Studies in Education*, 9(2), 137-151.

Alfirević, N., Arslanagić-Kalajdžić, M., & Lep, Ž. (2023). The role of higher education and civic involvement in converting young adults' social responsibility to prosocial behavior. *Scientific reports*, 13(1), 2559.

Ammar, A., Trabelsi, K., Boujelbane, M. A., Salem, A., Boukhris, O., Glenn, J. M., Zmijewski, P., Jahrami, H. A., Chtourou, H., & Schöllhorn, W. I. (2024). The effects of contextual interference learning on the acquisition and relatively permanent gains in skilled performance: a critical systematic review with multilevel meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 36(2), 57.

Anuforo, C., Albert, U., & Achebe, G. (2025). DEAF EXCLUSION IN PRODUCTIVE ACTIVITIES: IMPLICATION FOR HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT IN ODOAKPU ONITSH NORTH. *Impact International Journals and Publications*, 1(4), 240-250.

Arican, C., Bulk, J., Deisig, N., & Nawrot, M. P. (2020). Cockroaches show individuality in learning and memory during classical and operant conditioning. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 10, 1539.

Bai, Y., & Bruno, D. (2020). Addressing communication barriers among deaf populations who use American sign language in hearing-centric social work settings. *Columbia Social Work Review*, 18(1), 37-50.

Carney, T., Bigby, C., Then, S.-N., Smith, E., Wiesel, I., & Douglas, J. (2023). Paternalism to Empowerment: All in the Eye of the Beholder? *Disability & Society*, 38(3), 503-523.

Coleman, B., & Dulewicz, V. (2025). Psychopathy. In *The Dark Triad: Psychopaths, Narcissists and Machiavellians in the Workplace* (pp. 13-41). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Daza González, M. T., Phillips-Silver, J., López Liria, R., Gioiosa Murno, N., Fernández García, L., & Ruiz-Castañeda, P. (2021). Inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity in deaf children are not due to deficits in inhibitory control, but may reflect an adaptive strategy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 629032.

Dunn, R. (2024). *The Stigma of Deaf: Managing a Stigmatized Identity and Well-being* [The University of Nebraska-Lincoln].

Edenfield, T. (2020). Performing Identities of the Auditory-Verbal Deaf Students in the Classrooms: A Teacher's Performative Memoir.

Farneth, M. (2025). Ritual, Protest, and the Relational Ethics of Solidarity. *Solidarity and Power: Feminist Approaches to Religious Ethics*, 175.

Fellinger, J., Dall, M., Weber, C., & Holzinger, D. (2022). Communicative deficits associated with maladaptive behavior in individuals with

- deafness and special needs. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 944719.
- Fenneman, J. (2023). *Is impulsivity adaptive in harsh and unpredictable environments? Reviewing, integrating, and developing formal models of impulsivity* SI: sn].
- Gagle, H. J. (2023). Group Ideation Treatment (GRIT)-A Group Approach to the Treatment of Suicidal Ideation and Relapse into a Maladaptive Coping Skill.
- Green, A. U. Counseling Persons with Hearing Speech Impairment for National Security Safety: Implication for Counseling.
- Green, A. U. International Journal Advanced Research Publications.
- Green, A. U., & Nweke, J. N. Autonomy and Dependence: Philosophical Perspectives on Special Persons. *GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT JPPSS*, 68.
- Green, R. A. (2025). INSIGHTFUL ANALYSIS OF MARRIAGES BETWEEN DEAF COUPLES IN NIGERIA. *JASSD-Journal of African Studies and Sustainable Development*, 5(4).
- Guoli, Y. (2025). Conditioned Reflex. In *The ECPH Encyclopedia of Psychology* (pp. 286-287). Springer.
- Hemer, S. R. (2023). The ethics and obligations of long-term ethnographic relationships: revelatory moments and the concept of solidarity. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 29(3), 631-647.
- Lambert, C., Choi, F., & Chandrasekharan, E. (2024). "Positive reinforcement helps breed positive behavior": Moderator Perspectives on Encouraging Desirable Behavior. *Proceedings of the ACM on human-computer interaction*, 8(CSCW2), 1-33.
- Leigh, I. W., Andrews, J. F., Harris, R. L., & Ávila, T. G. (2020). *Deaf culture: Exploring deaf communities in the United States*. Plural Publishing.
- Listman, J. D., & Kurz, K. B. (2020). Lived experience: Deaf professionals' stories of resilience and risks. *The Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 25(2), 239-249.
- McCullagh, J. (2021). Hearing. In *Encyclopedia of Autism Spectrum Disorders* (pp. 2325-2325). Springer.
- Oye, B. (2024). *The transformative power of vision: Fostering destiny and unveiling true glory*. Faloye oyewale.
- Rajaraman, A., Austin, J. L., Gover, H. C., Cammilleri, A. P., Donnelly, D. R., & Hanley, G. P. (2022). Toward trauma-informed applications of behavior analysis. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 55(1), 40-61.
- Ribes, E. (2022). Human Behaviour as Operant Behaviour: An Empirical or Conceptual Issue? In *Behaviour analysis and contemporary psychology* (pp. 117-134). Routledge.
- Rusdiah, R. (2025). *The Analysis of Non-Verbal Communication Strategies applied by Teachers and Students in An Online EFL Classroom at Universitas Sulawesi Barat Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar*].
- Schegloff, E. A. (2020). Interaction: The infrastructure for social institutions, the natural ecological niche for language, and the arena in which culture is enacted. In *Roots of human sociality* (pp. 70-96). Routledge.
- Susetyarini, R. E., Baiduri, B., Darmayanti, R., Nuryami, N., Siregar, Y. S., Sahara, N., & Suprayitno, K. (2024). Learning Reform: Why does Behavioral Theory prevent interactive teaching? *AMCA Journal of Community Development*, 4(1), 43-50.
- Taylor, E. (2021). Developmental neuropsychiatry.
- Taylor, S. E., & Crocker, J. (2022). Schematic bases of social information processing. In *Social cognition* (pp. 89-134). Routledge.
- Toohy, M. J. (2021). Cognitive behavioral therapy for anger management.
- Uluroti, G. A. (2025). Maladaptive Behaviors in Persons with Hearing and Speech Impairment: Psychotherapeutic Approach for Positive Results. *International Journal For Psychotherapy In Africa*, 10(1).
- Uluroti, G. A. (2024). Cultural sensitivity in psychotherapy for deaf individuals in Nigeria. *International Journal For Psychotherapy In Africa*, 9(3).
- White, N. (2024). *Effects of Simulating Hearing Loss, An Audiological Approach to Increase Communication Partners' Knowledge and Empathy*
- Wilson-Menzfeld, G., Gates, J., Jackson-Corbett, C., & Erfani, G. (2025). Communication experiences of deaf/hard-of-hearing patients during healthcare access and consultation: a systematic narrative review. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 2025(1), 8867224.
- Yang, H., Zhang, W., Wu, Y., Yao, Y., & Su, M. (2022). The association among neighborhood mutual support, well-being, and social work. *Journal of Social Work*, 22(6), 1345-1373.

Yanjie, S. (2025). Newborn Reflex. In *The ECPH Encyclopedia of Psychology* (pp. 1000-1001). Springer.