

# Possible Solution towards the Reduction of Case Pendency

Prateek

Institutional Affiliation: Amity Law School, Noida

Designation: Student

Co Author

Gargi Singh

Institutional Affiliation: Amity Law School, Noida

Designation: Assistant Professor-I, Amity Law School

## Introduction

Judicial delay has been the customary analysis of the problem of case pendency in India, but delay is merely the tip of the iceberg of structural restraints in the justice delivery system. The courts around the nation are under heavy resource constraints, archaic administration, complexity of procedures and lack of balanced technological adoption. The institutional capacity of the judiciary has not grown in line with population expansion, economic activity and the growth in rights consciousness.

This chapter is solution centric in dealing with the problem. It works on the assumption that it is impossible to make substantial reductions in pendency by individual reforms or interventions in bits and pieces. Rather, it needs a concerted approach that can enhance judicial capacity at the same time enhancing the use of technology to modernize the court administration, boosting the use of non-judicial dispute resolution, and simplifying the procedural framework that regulates litigation.

The basic need which is discussed first is the provision of judicial resources by appointment of additional judges, enhancements in the court infrastructure and additional staffing support. It then explores how judicial workflow can be transformed by technological integration via Case Management Systems, e-courts, virtual hearings, AI tools, blockchain record systems, and data analytics and decrease administrative delay. The chapter also discusses the role of ADR mechanisms in taking much of the dispute out of the court process, and the role of simplifying the process of litigation in eliminating systemic bottlenecks that do not add to the length of litigation.

To base these proposals on real-life experience,

the chapter discusses the best examples of judicial reforms in other jurisdictions and finds out what best practices have been introduced by Indian states that have shown some quantifiable changes in case management. This is aimed at featuring a detailed reform agenda which is theoretically sound with practical flexibility to the Indian judicial setting.

## 4.1 Increasing Judicial Resources

Absence of sufficient judicial resources is one of the other greatest causes of judicial pendency in India as it causes congestion of the courts, and delays in the resolution of the cases. More judicial resources (in the form of judges, court infrastructure and staffing) are essential to deal with the backlog and guarantee effective operation of the judicial system. The section suggests viable solutions in increasing the judicial resources, and consequently, increase the capacity of the judiciary to effectively address case pendency.

### Increasing the Number of Judges.

One of the key reasons that the case takes too long to get resolved is the extreme lack of judges in the higher courts (Supreme Court and High Courts) as well as the lower ones (district courts and lower courts). India is one of the few countries in the world which has a low ratio of judges to its population, it is estimated that there are approximately 15 judges per 10 lakh population, this is far below the recommended ratio of the United Nations and other legal experts.

### Proposed Solutions:

- Judicial Appointments: The Indian judiciary should be keen on appointing the judges to

fill the available vacancies in different courts. This process may be further quickened by a more efficient and open judicial appointment process, which should make sure that the judicial vacancy backlog does not add to the pendency. The National Judicial Appointments Commission (NJAC) when well implemented may assist in minimizing delays in appointing judges and provide a merit-based process of appointing judges.

- **Enhance Judicial Recruitment:** State and district-level courts should prioritize regular recruitment, to recruit new judges and court officers. One of the key bottlenecks in the judicial system is the high rate of judicial vacancies in the subordinate courts. The national and state level judicial recruitment drive may assist in addressing the need of judges and ensure reduced workload on the available judges.

**Expansion of Specialization:** To further enhance efficiency can be done by specialization in judicial positions wherein there can be specialization in areas that have backlogs i.e. law dealing with family law, corporate law, environmental law, and intellectual property rights. A judge appointed based on his or her expertise on a particular area would help to save time that would be wasted due to the nature of dealing with cases.

**Increasing Retirement Age:** Another option is to increase the retirement age of the judges so that they can have experienced and senior judges to work longer decreasing the burden on the vacancies in the Judicial system.

### **Court Infrastructure: Provision of improved infrastructure.**

Poor facilities at the court are a major setback towards the efficient administration of justice in India. Most of the courts particularly the district and subordinate courts have outdated facilities, inadequate court rooms and modern facilities. The courts physical set up directly influences the effectiveness of judicial process and is a factor in the delay in case processing.

### **Proposed Solutions:**

- **Construction of New Courtrooms:** most of the Indian courts lack the number of

courtrooms thus creating a delay in the schedules and overcrowded facilities. The government should come up with proper funds to construct new courtrooms and to expand the already existing court buildings. This will enable more cases to be dealt with at the same time and delays brought about by congested courtrooms will be reduced.

- **Modernization of Infrastructure:** The current court infrastructure of most areas is obsolete and not well prepared to meet the needs of judicial proceedings in the present world. This involves the provision of basic facilities like good seating, electricity, computers, air-conditioning and internet facilities. It is important that investment in the improvement of court facilities particularly in remote and rural regions is done to enhance efficiency of judicial procedures.

- **Modern Technology Infrastructure:** A modernized court infrastructure must be put in place in the country as part of the e-Courts Project. This does not only entail making physical improvements on the courtrooms but also installing advanced technology systems like video conferencing facilities to hold virtual hearings, cloud-based data storage and automated case management systems. Such technologies have the potential to accelerate a case, reduce paperwork and enhance the efficiency of the court, overall.

- **Integrated Court Complexes:** Integrated court complexes can be developed to house several courts in one building or in a campus to assist in minimizing administrative delays, improving coordination between courts and providing a centralized place where the public can access. This would simplify the logistical functions including filing cases, and facilitate the fast exchange of cases among various levels of the judicial system.

### **Improving Staffing Levels**

The lack of not only judges but also support personnel (clerks, stenographers, court reporters, IT professionals and administrative personnel) is also one of the causes of judicial delays. These practitioners are important in processing of cases, managing of documents as well as administering the courtrooms. Lack of enough personnel at the

court level implies that the courts and the judges have to devote a lot of time to administration instead of being able to concentrate on handling just the cases.

### Proposed Solutions:

- **Recruiting More Court Personnel:** This has to be a concerted effort to recruit more court personnel more so at the lower courts. These would be clerks, typists, stenographers, IT professionals and data entry operators. The number of staff would also increase the time in which judges can concentrate on the decision-making and legal analysis instead of clerical and administrative, thus accelerating cases.

- **Training and Capacity Building:** The current court personnel should be trained constantly on new technologies, case management and administrative procedures. Through investing in capacity building programs, the efficiency of the support staff can be enhanced greatly with delays due to manual errors, poor record keeping and poor utilization of case management software being reduced.

- **Outsourcing Administrative Work:** When there are budget and resource constraints that would prevent an increase in the number of employees, the courts may contemplate outsourcing some of the administrative work like data entry, record keeping and digitization of case files to third party vendors or other agencies. This might assist in clearing the administrative backlog and judicial officers could concentrate on hearing cases.

- **Enhancing Working Culture:** It is important to review the working culture in courts. Courts should make sure that support staff, judges and administrative officers coordinate their work and have the tools and resources they need to do their job effectively. This will involve developing professional development programs and provision of sufficient pay to judicial personnel.

### Conclusion

Among the most important issues in dealing with the problem of judicial pendency in India is increasing the judicial resources. The judicial system can reduce cases backlog,

speed with which cases are heard and overall standard of justice through various means such as ensuring that there are more judges, better infrastructure and better staffing. The sustainability of judicial reforms in India in the long run requires a holistic approach that incorporates sufficient funding, strategic thinking and enforcement of reforms.

Secondly, enhancing judicial accountability and improving working conditions of judges and court employees will establish an environment that will see improved case management, resulting in faster cases being resolved and a more efficient justice delivery system.

### 4.2 Adopting Technology

The incorporation of technology in the functioning of the courts can be regarded as one of the biggest inventions in the current judicial system. As the caseloads continue to rise, and the judicial process continues to be complex, implementation of technology solutions has been necessary in order to facilitate efficient court proceedings, reduce the problem of judicial pendency and increase efficiency. This part discusses how different technological applications can revolutionize the judicial system in India through better management of cases, less delays and overall efficacy of the judiciary.

#### 1. Case Management System (CSM)

Case Management Systems (CMS) are one of the most basic methods that can be used to enhance judicial efficiency with the help of technology. Strong CMS enables the courts to manage cases in a well-structured way meaning that there is no delays in filing, tracking and hearing of cases.

#### Potential Benefits:

- **Automated Case Allocation:** CMS systems are able to automate assigning cases to judges depending on their specialization, work load, and priority in order to have cases heard in a timely fashion and not postponed. This does away with delays which may be caused by manual assignment of cases.

**Digital Case Tracking:** CMS enables all stakeholders in a case (judges, lawyers, litigants, etc.) to monitor the status of cases in the real-time. This openness gives the

stakeholders awareness on the progress of a case and are able to make plans. Hearing and procedural deadlines are automatically reminded of to prevent unnecessary adjournments.

- **Efficient Scheduling:** An effective CMS can facilitate effective scheduling of hearings, to avoid duplication of courtrooms or judges and cut down on waiting time of litigants. It also may aid in prioritizing urgent cases, including bail hearings, urgent civil or cases involving a minor.
- **Elimination of Physical Files:** With the use of digital case management the physical files are eliminated and there is no delay in dealing with the physical files due to loss of documents and misplacement of the case files and manual errors. It also guarantees that the records of the court are stored electronically and the chances of physical damage to the records are therefore minimal and easy accessibility to previous decisions and case history are made possible.

## 2 Virtual hearings and E- COURTS.

In India, the e-courts Project, a project that seeks to computerize court records and apply ICT based (Information and Communication Technology) solutions to the courts is already leading the pack towards enhancing judicial efficiency. The project consists of a number of elements, including the e-filing, e-summons, virtual hearings and digital courtrooms.

### Potential benefits;

**E-Filing:** With the advent of e-filing, litigants and lawyers can file cases and submit documents online, thus cutting down visits to the court. This will make the filing process fast and enable the courts to process more cases without logistical limitations that were experienced with manual records.

**Virtual Hearings:** The COVID-19 pandemic further stimulated the adoption of virtual hearings, using such platforms as Vidyio, Cisco WebEx, and Zoom. Such hearings allow judges, litigants, and lawyers to attend remotely and save time, which is wasted when they are forced to attend the hearings in person and are hindered by traveling. Hearings that are virtual are especially useful in cases with large volumes, as well as litigants who are distant and may otherwise have problems with

traveling.

**Access to Court Records:** Due to digitization of court records, litigants and lawyers can now have access to information about their cases, judgments and orders online and do not have to make several visits to the courts physically, making them faster to get information on their cases. This also helps in more transparency in the judicial process.

**Better Case Tracking:** E-courts and e-filing abilities enable better tracking of the cases through the court system, thus being able to identify the areas of bottlenecks and delays. The case tracking and monitoring in real-time can offer crucial information to policy-makers and judicial administrators to resolve the same issues and enhance performance overall.

## 3 Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning to predictive analytics.

Suggestions and applicable precedents, which are made depending on the facts of the case, will make legal research time-consuming and will make the decision making process faster. Machine Learning (ML) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) can transform the case management and enhance the efficiency of the judicial process. Through AI, the court system will be able to conduct significant amounts of cases more accurately and efficiently.

### Potential Benefits:

**Predictive Analytics:** AI may be employed to examine past data of court cases to find out trends, patterns, and bottlenecks of the judicial process. As an example, AI-based technologies can be used to make predictions of the probability of lateness of the case basing on the past outcomes, the performance of the court and the nature of the case. This may assist courts to proactively manage their resources which will make sure that cases are heard within the appropriate time.

**Automated Case Summarization:** AI can also help judges and lawyers by summarizing long documents and judgments in cases, allowing them to easily understand the essentials of a case. This saves on time that would be used in looking at huge amounts of case records which should be used on real hearings and decision-making.

**Case Outcome Prediction:** AI could be used to give predictions based on past case law and other similar cases. This assists lawyers and

litigants to make good judgments on settlement and the probable result of a case. This may help in minimizing the amount of time spent in litigation and promote alternative dispute resolution (ADR) in other cases.

Court Decision Assistance: AI tools can assist judges, by offering case law.

#### **4 Blockchain on Integrity of court records.**

The blockchain technology promises the opportunity to provide integrity and safety of court records. The judiciary can avoid digital case files and evidences being stored on a blockchain that would be inaccessible to them. hacking, make data transparent and enhance the integrity of court records.

#### **Potential Benefits;**

Security and Transparency: Since blockchain technology does not allow any manipulation of court records and evidence once it is posted in the system it ensures authenticity and helps to avoid manipulation and loss of data. This increases the confidence of litigants, and the general populace in the judiciary.

- **Effective Case Tracking and Auditing:** Blockchain has the capability to give a permanent audit of the whole judicial procedure, and real-time tracking and auditing of case advancement. Such a system of accountability might be used to solve the problem of corruption, delays or irregularities in handling cases.

Smart Contracts: In some instances, like property disputes or financial settlements, Blockchain technology has the potential to be employed to make automated contracts to enforce judgments, eliminating the need to litigate in the future and expediting judgment enforcement.

#### **5. Judicial Performance monitoring using Data analytics.**

Analytics, in particular data analytics, is vital to the proper functioning of courts and the judicial system. Using big data, courts will be able to evaluate the effectiveness of judges and courts, see how cases backlog, and what can be done to improve.

#### **Potential Benefit:**

Real-Time Performance Tracking: Data analytics can be used to track individual case performance, judicial performance, and trend

in case backlog data across various courts by the judicial administrators. This will enable the courts to base their decisions regarding the allocation of resources with data, and priority cases will have timely hearings.

- **Court Efficiency:** Data analytics can be used to improve efficacy of Courts by eliminating inefficiencies, systemic problems that contribute to case delays, including judicial absenteeism, adjournment, or understaffing. This data can be then utilized to make corrective measures to enhance court operations.

Resource Allocation: This can be done by studying the caseloads and regions that had the highest case pendency can be put first in terms of resource allocation like extra judges, staff and court rooms so that the high pressure courts are given the attention they deserve.

#### **Conclusion**

The potential of integrating technology in the judicial system can be tremendous in streamlining court processes, managing cases and ultimately lowering judicial pendency. Automated case management systems, predictive analytics with the use of AI, virtual hearings, and blockchain in data security are only some technological solutions that can bring big gains in efficiency and access to justice.

Nevertheless, effective integration of technology needs to be supported by adequate infrastructure, proper training, and institutional support. There should be efforts to bring equality in accessing technology in the judicial system particularly in rural and remote locations where digital tools have been slow to adopt. These technologies can be implemented in a more holistic and in phases to create a more transparent, efficient and timely system of justice in India.

#### **4.3 Promoting ADR Mechanism**

Promotion of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms is one of the most promising ways to resolve the issue of judicial pendency and delays in the case resolution in India. ADR is an effective and affordable method of settling a dispute out of the conventional courtroom environment, and can greatly decrease the number of cases that courts handle and provide quicker resolutions. This section will discuss how ADR can enhance the judicial system making it leaner,

and enabling faster and more convenient access to justice.

### General Mechanism of ADR.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) refers to some of the approaches of settling disputes without taking them to the court. The fundamental ADR processes are:

- Mediation: This is a voluntary process where the disputing parties use the assistance of a neutral third party (the mediator) to help them to arrive at an acceptable resolution to their dispute.
  - Arbitration: A procedure by which parties (the arbitrator) listen to the evidence and renders a binding resolution. Commercial dispute is frequently resolved by arbitration.
  - Conciliation: Conciliation Like mediation, conciliation makes use of a third party who facilitates the parties to compromise but with more involvement in offering solutions.
  - Negotiation: This involves a first hand talk between the disputing parties with or without the services of counsel to resolve the dispute.
- These ADR systems provide a more relaxed and informal procedure as opposed to the traditional path of litigation in courts.

### ADR role in decreasing burden.

The huge number of cases pending in the Indian judiciary has been one of the most pressing challenges in the Indian judiciary as it has caused a considerable delay in cases being resolved. ADR mechanisms can be important in reducing this burden in a number of ways: A. Case: Diversion and Pre-Litigation Settlement.

#### A. Case Diversion and Pre-Litigation Settlements

ADR may be encouraged as an initial step to disputing parties, to prevent cases going through the formal court process at the very onset. The greatest strength of ADR is that pre-litigation resolution can be achieved and hence many of the disputes can be resolved even before they are taken to court.

Mandatory Pre-Litigation ADR In some jurisdictions, mandatory ADR has been used, under which parties are obliged first to seek a resolution to their dispute by means of ADR (such as mediation or conciliation) before initiating a case in court. This helps to minimize the cases that get to court and the judicial system concentrates on cases which

are more complex.

Less filing in the Court: ADR can decrease the number of cases being filed in the court by promoting settlement prior to litigation and a decrease in the overall number of cases filed in the court, which will also directly help to solve the problem of case pendency.

#### B. Faster Resolution of Disputes

- The conventional court procedure may take a considerable amount of time and may consist of numerous hearings, procedure delays, and delays in delivering verdicts. Conversely, ADR mechanisms will be quicker in nature and will usually end the dispute within a few weeks or months.
- Fast-tracked Processes: ADR processes, especially mediation and negotiation are normally faster than the lengthy litigation processes. This is not only beneficial to the parties, but also helps clear the backlog in the courts, since cases, which would have otherwise taken years to be resolved, are resolved in a much shorter period of time.
- Settlement and Finality: The arbitration, especially, provides a binding determination that can be approved by the courts and offers finality, without the need of a lengthy court case. This eliminates the requirement to make numerous appeals or additional procedure delays.

#### C. Encouraging Early Settlement

- ADR will urge parties to discuss and resolve their conflicts in advance and not wait until they go through the long legal procedure in court. It is particularly critical when it comes to situations in which the relationship between the parties (commonly business partners, family members or neighbors) can be maintained by means of a friendly solution.
- Mediation and Conciliation are facilitative in promoting collaborative dialogue that may lead to the win-win solutions in which both parties will be happy with the outcome. The parties involved in the earlier resolve their differences the less the court system will be strained.
- Out of Court Settlement: A good number of cases especially those pertaining to family law, consumer law, and a dispute to do with property can be resolved by informal mediation without having to pass a judgment

by a court. This will enable courts to concentrate on cases which cannot be solved through ADR.

### **ADR contribution to accelerate the dispute resolution process**

Formal judicial system tends to experience a time lag because of various factors such as a large caseload, inefficiency in the process and an adjournment of cases. ADR offers a new avenue of quick settlement of disputes, which is beneficial to parties and assists in enhancing overall operations of a judicial system.

#### **A. Mediation and Conciliation as Faster Alternatives**

Mediation and conciliation processes are informal, confidential and voluntary as opposed to court hearings. Such processes are usually less time consuming and so disputes are resolved quicker.

It is through the interests of the parties and not the legal technicalities that are central to mediation which assists in resolving the dispute swiftly. It can be concluded during one or a complex of the sessions with the issue.

- Conciliation, in which the conciliator can propose solutions, is also less time consuming. As both sides are satisfied with the result, it can be much faster than standard litigation, when one waits the decision of a judge by Lessening the number of court hearings and adjournments.

#### **B. Reduction in Court Hearings and Adjournments**

ADR mechanisms are capable of cutting down on court hearings to a considerable level when it comes to resolving disputes. This does not only assist parties in coming out of their disputes more quickly, but also relieves court schedules enabling judges to extend to more complicated or unresolved cases.

- Mediation and arbitration are also less prone to hearings compared to formal litigation, and thus there is no need to wait between hearings or be subjected to delays due to procedural delays.
- Less Adjournment: ADR is more flexible and thus minimizes cases of adjournment and delays that are typical during court hearings.

#### **C. Reduced Costs**

Although litigation may be a costly and time-

consuming procedure, ADR mechanisms are likely to be less expensive. The faster settlement of disputes means that there will be reduced legal expenses, court costs and other expenses.

Lower Costs to litigants: ADR processes can be cheaper since fewer court appearances are necessary and can be conducted outside courtrooms. This renders ADR a viable option especially to those who may have a limited financial capability.

- Savings on the Judicial System: The judiciary may save resources (time, money, and manpower), which would go to waste during the long litigation process by addressing them through ADR. This is capable of enhancing the efficiency of the justice system in general.

#### **Difficulties in the promotion of ADR mechanism.**

Despite the many advantages of ADR, there are a few issues that require to be overcome in achieving its full potential in not only decreasing judicial pendency but also accelerating the process of resolving disputes.

#### **Lack of Awareness and Training**

A lack of awareness of the advantages of ADR by the general population and those who work in the legal field is one of the largest impediments to the adoption of ADR. Lots of people and attorneys tend to use the traditional litigation because they might be unaware of the benefits of ADR.

**A. Creating Awareness: Government, educations, and activities of the legal aid organizations have the potential to create awareness that ADR is effective in the resolution of disputes.**

Training Mediators and Arbitrators: Special training programs on how to be mediators and arbitrators should be developed so that they know how to deal with various types of disputes in an effective and unbiased manner.

#### **B Resistance from the Legal Community**

The legal fraternity and especially lawyers might not be keen to embrace ADR mechanisms in large numbers as the mechanisms might lead to the reduction in the number of cases being tried in the court and as a result, their earnings might be affected.

Overcoming Resistance: To overcome this resistance, the legal community needs to see the importance of ADR in developing a more efficient judicial system. Lawyers may be instrumental in advising the clients on ADR, which will lead to fewer cases in their backlog and thereby, quicker resolutions.

### C Ensuring Quality Control

It is important to make ADR processes fair, impartial and equitable in order to succeed. In the absence of regulation and supervision, one can expect the possibility of bias or even unqualified practitioners to affect the quality of the resolution.

•Regulatory Framework: A national framework governing the regulation of ADR processes may be used to make sure that the above mechanisms are effective, fair and transparent.

### Conclusion

Introduction of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes is an essential policy in the remedy of the problem of judicial pendency in India. ADR can greatly enhance the efficiency of the justice system by taking cases out of the official court system, offering quicker and less expensive solutions, and by freeing up courts. To entirely exploit the potential of ADR, we need to increase awareness, train legal professionals and establish a conducive regulatory environment. In such a way, India can make sure that ADR is a part of its judicial reforms, which will offer a quicker, more convenient, and cheaper way to resolve conflict.

### 4.4 Streamlining Legal Procedures

Complexity of the legal procedures is one of the major causes of judicial pendency in India that not only increases the delays, but also restricts access to justice to most citizens, particularly those who belong to marginalized groups. To minimize the number of bottlenecks in the legal system, to make the legal system more efficient, and to make justice more accessible to everyone, it is crucial to streamline the legal procedures. This part presents the suggested reforms which are put forward to streamline the legal procedures, minimize the delays in the process of law and make justice more accessible.

### Simplifying Legal Framework

The Indian legal system is associated with a high level of complexity because of a considerable amount of legislation, rules and procedures that tend to intersect or even oppose one another. In order to deal with this, the following reforms may be thought of a law merging.

#### A. Consolidation of Laws

The consolidation of laws and regulations is one of the initial steps towards simplification of legal procedures. This would entail checking on the current laws and abolishing unnecessary or contradicting clauses.

Unified Codes: India might proceed to establish unified codes in such areas as civil procedure, criminal procedure, and family law, combining similar laws into one, unified body of law. This would minimise the confusion and inconsistency in the legal processes.

Repealing Obsolete Laws: With Consistent audits of outdated or obsolete laws, it is possible to eliminate the archaism provisions that are no longer in the best interest of the people, thus simplifying the legal process.

#### B. Clear and User-Friendly Language

Law and court decisions are usually composed in complicated, technical terms, which may not be easily comprehended by the layman. The reforms must be targeted at simplifying and increasing the accessibility of legal language.

Plain Language Legislation: It could be attempted to convert legal procedures to plain language that would be easier to understand by litigants to determine their rights and duties. This would also assist self-represented litigants to be able to negotiate the legal system better.

Court Notices and Documents: Court forms, notices and orders may be in simple, clear and concise language and litigants should not be overwhelmed with legalese.

#### Digitalization of Legal Process.

Manual processing of cases and documents is one of the reasons why the Indian judicial system is so slow in delivering justice. The use of digital solutions can significantly increase efficiency and transparency to guarantee faster case handling and more readily accessible legal services. A. E-Courts and Filing of cases online.

**A. E-Courts and Online Case Filing**

India already started to implement e-courts, although their further development and integration into the legal framework may further speed up the process.

**Online Filing and Case Management:** This would increase the number of cases that online case filing systems can handle as well as enable litigants to file their cases and submit documents electronically. This would save time being taken to do physical paper work and enable faster processing of cases.

**E-Courts-Hearings:** Virtual hearings, through video conferencing, may be used in far off areas, and therefore, the legal system would become more accessible to geographically remote people. This would also help in clearing the backlog of cases which are pending physical hearing.

**Digital Case Tracking:** A digital case tracking system can be implemented, where litigants, lawyers, and judges will have access to track the cases in real-time. This would minimize the delays that are occasioned due to unavailability of information or misplaced documents.

**B. Electronic Evidence Submission**

Submission of physical documents in court is time consuming and it may lead to error or loss of documents. A provision of evidence and filings in electronic form would save on the administrative delays and would enhance the efficiency of the judicial system.

**Standardized Digital Formats:** Uniformity of electronic evidence could be developed and this would standardize the procedure and its acceptance in the courtrooms.

**Cloud Storage of Legal Documents:** Cloud storage solutions of legal documents would mean that the files are safe, are accessible and easily retrieved hence the chances of losing or misplacing the records are minimal.

**4.5 Improving Case Management**

One of the leading causes of the delay in cases disposal is an inefficient management of cases. With the implementation of more efficient case management systems, efficiency, and quicker case resolution can be achieved.

**A. Case Scheduling and Monitoring Systems**

Among the easiest but most efficient reforms,

a centralized system of case management would work that assures the appropriate scheduling and supervision of cases.

**Automated Case Scheduling:** Hearings that can be automated and scheduled to occur at a specific date, determined by the severity of the case and the resources available to conduct the hearings can be introduced to avoid delays due to overlapping hearings or overloaded court dockets.

**Case Monitoring:** Court administrators or judges might review cases on a regular basis to help recognize delays and take appropriate steps before a case is just waiting around. To illustrate this, judges may be dedicated to follow up on the development of outstanding cases and adherence to hearing schedules.

**B. Time Limits for Case Disposal**

The time limits of the cases disposal are possible to prevent the considerable delays. The reforms may suggest the existence of time limits within each phase of a legal procedure like filing, hearings and delivery of judgments.

**Case-Specific Deadlines:** i.e. There may be case specific deadlines e.g. in a complex case like a commercial dispute or family law case, case specific deadlines may be provided, but these may be extended in exceptional cases.

**Fast Track Courts:** In cases that are urgent such as the criminal cases or in cases involving minor disputes, the creation of fast-track courts can ensure that these cases are dealt with much faster.

**Streamlining of steps of the procedure.**

The procedural steps that are entailed in litigation are considered to be one of the biggest causes of delays. Another great aspect of reform would be fewer steps and less legal formalities to enhance access and hasten procedures.

**A. Expedited Appeal Processes**

The existing procedure of appeals may be sluggish, complicated and can result in additional delays in dispute resolution. A faster system of appeal should be introduced so that in cases of appeals, time is not wasted by taking long before the case is resolved.

**Preliminary screening:** A preliminary screening of the appeals might eliminate frivolous or baseless appeals and the court system will concentrate on more serious issues.

**B. Simplification of Evidence Procedures**

Evidence submission and examination in most instances can be carried out through several steps thereby causing delays. The reforms may be aimed at making this process simpler.

**Witness Testimonies:** Since less complex case might allow written depositions, or a video conference might be allowed to take the testimony of a witness < would help to cut down on delays and the necessity of physical appearance.

**Electronic Evidence:** The use of digital evidence including emails, digital documents among others would encourage the use of electronic evidence and save time used in submitting evidence that would be spent in handling the hardcopy evidence.

**ACCESS to Justice -Everywhere.**

In order to actually simplify the legal processes, one should look at reforms which would enhance access to justice by all citizens, especially those marginalized groups who stand in the way of seeking legal services and resources.

**A. Legal Aid and Awareness Programs**

**Growth of legal aid:** Growth in legal aid, in particular and low-income people would guarantee access to legal representation and judicial process to all socioeconomic groups.

**Awareness Campaigns:** Government awareness campaigns may help sensitize the people on their rights, and avenues that they can sue the government. This would make citizens more powerful and motivate them to resort to the law before the conflicts can develop.

**B. Simplified Court Procedures for Minor Disputes**

**Small Claims Courts:** Small claims courts might be created or expanded, to deal with fewer, less serious and less expensive disputes without the long process involved in other courts. This would help in faster handling of minor cases hence limiting the number of cases handled by the normal courts.

**Streamlined Filing procedures:** Easier filing of simple cases or lesser civil litigation may go a long way in enhancing the efficiency of the judicial system, lessening the administrative load and speeding up the time-span of cases.

**Conclusion**

Simplification of the legal processes is an important aspect of judicial reforms that seek to decrease pendency of cases, increase access to justice and speed up dispute resolution. By making laws simpler, automating processes and providing more efficient and timely case management, the Indian judicial system is able to conquer its existing inefficiencies and deliver a more efficient, more accessible and timely justice.

With these reforms in place, the judiciary will be in a better position to address the needs of the people and deliver justice in a quick, fair and devoid of unnecessary delays.

**Improving Case Management**

A key component of judicial efficiency is the effective case management. By making the handling of cases leaner, it is possible to save a lot of time, enhance access to justice and relieve the courts. The subsequent recommendations of the improvements are aimed at capitalizing on technology, data-driven solutions, systematic reforms to make the case management system more efficient and transparent.

**1 Electronic Record Keeping****A. Centralized Digital Database**

**Cloud-Based storage:** Moving off paper-based to cloud-based storage systems of the case records would make access of all legal documents secure and real time. The case files can be accessed by the judges, lawyers and litigants anywhere and this can improve flexibility and efficiency.

**Unified Database:** All case-related documents (pleadings, judgments and evidence) can be stored in a digital database that can be coordinated with the internal systems of the court and external systems such as the police, legal aid services etc. This would save time used in locating documents and would also eliminate the possibility of losing hardcopy documents.

**B. Paperless Environment**

**E-filing of Documents:** The move towards total paperless situation where all documents (motions, pleadings, evidence) are electronically filed would help in reducing paperwork as well as administrative error such as lost documents. This would also expedite the entire legal procedure as documents would

be readily available to all the parties.

**Automated Updates:** An automated system that would update the case statuses, the filing deadlines and in-court hearings on the platform would enable all stakeholders (judges, lawyers, litigants) to monitor the case proceedings in real-time.

### C. Data Security and Integrity

**Blockchain Technology:** To guarantee the confidentiality and integrity of case records, it might be considered the use of blockchain technology. Using blockchain, the tampering or falsification of all case-related documents can be prevented, as it can offer an immutable and transparent registry of all the documents.

**A case tracking and online filing.** Switching to online filing and improving case tracking systems can contribute immensely to the case management. Such steps would minimize the administrative delays, access to justice, and would help in making the court proceedings more transparent.

### A. E-Filing Platforms

**Online Filing of Cases:** It would be preferable to increase the number of courts (lower courts and tribunals included) to file their cases online, to offer litigants and lawyers a quicker and simpler method of filing cases. This would also stop the physical appearances in terms of filling the desks and save the court personnel the hassle of attending to the desks and chances of clerical mistakes.

**Integrated Filing System:** The fully integrated electronic filing system can be implemented whereby all the filings will be automatically categorized into the case management system. This would remove redundancies, minimize delays and it would be possible to track efficiently the progress of cases. The progress of cases is tracked using this section.

### B. Case Progress Tracking

**Real-Time Case Update:** An online case tracking would allow litigants and lawyers to receive updates on the status of their cases in real-time. This would involve information of the dates of hearing, pending judgment and other important developments.

**Notifications and Alerts:** Automated email/SMS alerts and notifications about crucial developments (hearing schedule, availability of order, or case development) can be used to keep all parties in the know, so they

do not have to spend time waiting in line due to misunderstandings.

### C. Virtual Filing and Document

#### Submission

**Document upload:** Documents, such as evidence and supporting material should be uploaded online. Document checks can be automated in order to prevent document failures due to incorrect formats and documents submitted incorrectly.

#### Virtual Hearing

One of the greatest changes in the management of cases is the adoption of virtual hearings, primarily since the COVID-19 pandemic. Virtual hearings are more flexible, more accessible and efficient, and the hearings are more effective. Further enhancement and expansion of this technology will also help to reduce problems of pendency.

### A. High-Quality Video Conferencing

**Better Infrastructure to Virtual Hearings:** Courts must invest in high quality video conferencing equipment that enhances a smooth experience amongst the judges, lawyers and litigants. The platforms should be audio-visually clear and have a secure connection so as to communicate effectively.

**Hybrid Model of Hearings:** A hybrid approach in which most cases are conducted as a physical and virtual hearing might expand the accessibility, particularly to the people in faraway or underserved locales.

### B. Accessible Virtual Courtrooms

**Inclusive Virtual Courtrooms:** Virtual hearings ought to be made to accommodate various technological abilities. It is important to ensure that systems are simple to use, and accessible to people with little technological skills or resources. This may be provision of mobile support, low bandwidth connections and offline proceedings in case of network problems.

**Virtual Public Access:** Virtual hearings might also be streamed live so that the public or media can access them, but thus make the judicial proceedings transparent and responsible.

### C. Streamlined Scheduling for Virtual Hearings

Automated Scheduling of Virtual Hearings: A centralized system will be able to schedule and assign time slots of the virtual hearing over the complexity of the case and the resources available. This makes sure that the time in court is efficiently utilized, prevents any backlog and avoids any unnecessary delays.

### **Case Management Software**

The entire judicial process will be streamlined by use of case management software which incorporates the case data, case scheduling and communication among different stakeholders. Courts are able to anticipate, and solve problems better by examining data concerning historical cases.

#### **A. AI-Based Case Scheduling**

Predictive Case Management: Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning were used to predict the outcome of cases based on past cases, past judgments and complexities related to cases, which would be beneficial in optimizing the resource allocation of a court. AI can propose the best days of the hearings, depending on the capacity of the court, and availability of the judges, to minimize conflicts and backlogs.

#### **B. Case Prioritization**

Automated Case Prioritization: A smart case management system must have the capability of prioritizing cases automatically depending on the urgency and complexity. An example is the criminal cases or high-priority civil cases would be identified as a faster hearing and less urgent cases would be scheduled with a higher degree of flexibility.

#### **C. Data-Driven Insights:**

Performance Tracking Analytics: With the help of case data, courts will have an opportunity to evaluate the performance in terms of the average time to dispose a case, the effectiveness of the case resolution techniques, and the effectiveness of virtual hearings. This information can be applied to the policy-making in the future and follow the changes caused by reforms.

### **Training and Capacity building.**

Although technological improvements are of great importance, it is also imperative to have court staff, judges and other stakeholders well trained in the usage of new systems.

#### **A. Training Programs**

Judicial Training on Technology: Judges, court staff, and lawyers must be provided with a regular training on the use of e-filing systems, case management software, and a virtual hearing platform to achieve a smooth implementation process and reduce change resistance.

#### **B. User-Friendly Interface for Litigants**

Litigant Education: Informing litigants on online filing systems, virtual hearings and utilization of digital case tracking systems will be taken care of so that technological barriers do not impede litigants. This may include offering easy tutorials, trainings or hotlines to help people who are not at all technologically savvy.

### **Conclusion**

Indian courts can significantly enhance the case management systems by introducing electronic record-keeping and online filing and conducting hearings virtually. Such enhancements will simplify the court processes, minimize delays, improve transparency and improve access to justice by litigants. Courts can be more efficient in resource allocation and prioritize cases better by using AI-powered analytics, automated scheduling, and integrating case management platforms to make more rational decisions. When these technological solutions are coupled with extensive training of all stakeholders, then it can change the judicial system into a more efficient, transparent and accessible means of administering justice.

#### **4.6 Successful Reforms in Other Countries: Applicability To The Indian Context**

Reform of the judicial system in most countries has played a critical role in solving problems of case pendency, delays in justice and the inefficiency of the legal system. A number of nations have adopted new reforms which have led to significant changes towards their courts. The examination of these reforms may have its valuable lessons as to how India can address its own judicial problems. This part examines some of the successful judicial reforms that have been used elsewhere and how these can be applied in the Indian scenario.

#### **Case Management Reform: United States**

To enhance the speed of its courts and cut

delays in the federal and state courts, the United States has embraced a number of reforms to enhance case management. These reforms are aimed at streamlining the case handling process, by using technology, changing procedures, and management techniques.

Important changes in the U.S

**Case Management Systems:** Case Management/Electronic Case Files (CM/ECF) that have been introduced in the federal courts enable the electronic filing of cases, real-time tracking of cases and instant access to the court documents. This system can be used to minimize paperwork, delays and human error and enable faster and more efficient handling of cases.

**Time Limits in Case Disposition:** Federal courts have embraced time constraints to certain types of cases, such as civil cases, and criminal cases, in that cases are resolved within a reasonable time.

**Specialized Courts:** Special courts have been implemented (commercial courts, drug courts, family courts, etc) to allow the expedited process of adjudication of certain types of cases, and to free up the general courts.

**Applicability to INDIA:**

**Adoption of CM/ECF Systems:** India can also adopt similar electronic filing systems as well as digital case management tools that can enable faster and efficient handling of cases. Implementing a national platform to deal with cases would possibly aid in standardizing all the processes in all the courts.

**Time Bound Case Disposal:** India can gain by increasing time limits in which to resolve the various kinds of cases. Implementing performance measures to identify timelines of cases, and putting in place penalties when delay occurs may motivate the courts to focus on timely disposition.

**Introduction of Specialized Courts:** There are family courts and commercial courts in some parts of India, but an increase in the number of specialized courts, covering other types of cases, such as labour disputes, environmental cases, and small claims, would reduce the workload of the general courts

**Fast Track Courts: United Kingdom**

Fast-track courts have been used to deal with the ever-increasing cases of court backlogs especially in the criminal justice system in the United Kingdom. The aim of these courts is to speed up the pace at which cases are handled

as they concentrate on simple, routine cases that can be addressed faster.

**Major changes in the UK**

**Fast-Track Trials:** UK is now putting in place fast-track trials of some categories of cases notably low-level criminal crimes. These trials aim at facilitating the court procedure and make sure the criminals are addressed as soon as possible.

**Technology Integration:** UK court services have been digitalized, which has enabled easy scheduling, submission of documents and tracking of cases. Online evidence submission and digital hearings (introduced due to the pandemic) have become a new permanent part of the system, enhancing access to justice.

**Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR):** UK has been increasing the application of ADR mechanisms, including mediation and arbitration, where the parties can resolve their disputes out of the court system, leaving the court system with more complex cases.

**Applicability to INDIA:**

**Increased Fast-Track Courts:** India can further develop the idea of fast-track courts, particularly in criminal cases, as well as family disputes, where delays are most common. The system may have a low focus on low-complexity cases which can be solved quickly resulting in a reduction in the overall pendency of cases.

**Using Technology to be efficient:** The UK has been successful with digital hearings and electronic filing systems and this can be used to a model of India. Adopting an extensive e-court system in all the levels of courts can enhance efficiency and transparency.

**Publicizing ADR:** ADR has been effectively applied in UK to decrease case backlog. India can also encourage mediation and arbitration by enshrining them as a pre-trial process in some kinds of cases and taking the stress off the regular judicial system.

**Use Of Technology In Courtrooms Singapore:** Singapore has had a very efficient legal system and this has incorporated technology as an essential element in judicial reform. The nation has rolled out a number of technological innovations which have significantly enhanced management of cases and efficiency of the courts.

**The Major Reforms In Singapore:**

**Integrated Legal Case Management:** Singapore presented the Integrated Case Management

System (ICMS) that enables centralized tracking of cases, and automated processing of documents and real-time communication between courts, lawyers and litigants.

**E-Court and Virtual Hearings:** Singapore has been on the forefront in using e-courts and virtual hearings, where the filing of cases online, video conferencing hearings, and online access to case documents are done easily. This will go a long way to minimize delays and make courts more accessible.

**Case Allocation Data Analytics:** Singapore has data analytics on cases allocation, where the courts predict the cases, and assign resources based on prediction. This guarantees that cases that are more complex are taken first in line whereas simpler cases can be handled faster.

#### **Applicability To India:**

**Adopting a Unified Case Management System:** India can take the example of the ICMS used in Singapore and develop a centralized case management system that will combine all the court hearings, documents and filings to provide a seamless process.

**Increasing E-Courts and Virtual Hearings:** Since India has a large population and because of its geographical dispersion of the legal system, a wider adoption of virtual hearings and e-courts would enhance the accessibility and decrease delays. Efforts such as the National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG) can be extended further to update on a real-time basis on the status of cases.

**Data Analytics to allocate resources efficiently:** India can also introduce predictive analytics to ensure that it schedules cases more efficiently, prioritizes urgent cases, and allocates judicial resources better. This would help lessen the number of cases in the court and hasten the process of closing the cases.

**Judicial Autonomy and accountability:**  
**Finland**

Finland boasts of one of the most effective judicial systems in the world which was spurred by an interest to maintain independence, accountability and trust of the courts. The reforms that have been adopted in the country have made the system to be transparent, fair and efficient.

#### **Finland: Reform**

**Decentralization of Courts:** Finland has decentralized its justice system by establishing regional administrative courts, which are used to streamline the cases and decrease delays in urban areas, by locally administering certain

categories of cases.

**Judicial Performance Monitoring:** Finland has also put in place regular performance checks on judges, which will give an evaluation of how the judges handle their own cases, and how they stick to the timelines of the judicial system. This makes sure that the judges are not absolved when there is delaying of cases.

**Publicity of Decisions to Courts:** Decisions by the court in Finland are available to all individuals and this means that there is transparency in the court proceedings. This will make litigants and the rest of the population trace the results of cases and keep the system responsible.

#### **Applicability To India:**

**Decentralization of Court Operations:** In India, decentralization of workload of regional courts, particularly in specific types of cases, such as family law or labour disputes, may help to decrease the number of cases in urban center that have a large number of cases.

**Performance Monitoring and Accountability:** India can implement a performance monitoring system among judges and the performance measurement can be about the time taken to dispose of cases. Punishing judges who are delaying cases (without sacrificing integrity and impartiality) would encourage them to be more efficient in their handling of cases.

**Increasing Openness and Community Confidence:** It might help to make judgments and court orders readily available to the populace; doing so may enhance the level of transparency and confidence in the judicial system. This may be accomplished by providing an online repository of judgments, and updating on the status of cases.

#### **Conclusion**

Judicial reforms in other countries such as the United States, United Kingdom, Singapore and Finland provide some insights that can be used to enhance the Indian judicial system. The main lessons of these reforms are that technology integration is crucial, that specific courts should be created, that data analytics are to be used in prioritization of cases, and that alternative dispute resolution should be encouraged. With this adaptation and application of parallel reforms to suit the specific needs and problems of the Indian judicial system, India will be well on the way toward case pendency, delays, and increased

efficiency and accessibility of the courts.

#### **4.7 Best Practices Of Indian States/Courts Successful Case Management Practice And Reform**

The varied legal environment in India has witnessed various states and courts adopting novel case management and court reforms in order to improve their case pendency, efficiency and access to justice. Even though issues like understaffed courts, old technology, and poor infrastructure continue to be a problem, other states and courts have implemented reforms which could be the best practices that others can emulate. This paper has identified and discussed some of the effective case management practices and reforms in various states/ courts in India.

##### **1. Fast Track Courts: Implimentation In Multiple States**

In 2000, the Government of India came up with the fast-track court system to deal with the backlog of cases, especially in criminal cases. Although these courts were originally formed with intention of dealing in rape, sex and child abuse cases, over time, they have been successful and made use of fast-track courts to deal with other cases.

States with successful Implementation:

**Tamil Nadu:** Tamil Nadu has been successful in using fast-track courts to deal with cases involving the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, cases involving economic offenses and labor disputes. The state has also incorporated technology in the system, e-filing and digital tracking of cases can be done thereby minimizing delays in processing cases.

**Uttar Pradesh:** In Uttar Pradesh, fast-track courts were established to hasten the criminal cases, including cases of terrorism and organized crime. Special district courts were formed which specifically handled murder cases thus giving quick trials and verdicts.

Lesson to other states:

Complementary actions: Special fast-track courts dedicated to particular types of cases (e.g., safety of women, economic crimes, drugs) have proven to be a successful way of reducing pendency.

- Fast-track courts in use of technology should be extended to have e-filing systems to help ease the rapid handling of cases and increased transparency.

##### **E- Courts and Digitization of Judicial Process: Delhi.**

Delhi is the leader in embracing technology in the judiciary. Delhi Judicial Academy and the Delhi High Court have been at the forefront in efforts to computerize all court records, make filing of cases electronic as well as technology to speed up the process of delivering justice.

##### **Delhi: Important Reform:**

**E-Filing and E-Case Management:** Delhi High Court came up with an e-filing system in 2011 where lawyers can file a case and submit documents online. This application has greatly minimized the amount of paperwork and enhanced better management of cases.

**Virtual Hearings:** The Delhi High Court embraced the concept of virtual hearings in the COVID-19 pandemic, and thus the judicial process became more convenient to people who could not go to hearings in person. Online hearings have become a new norm and have made the hearing process simpler and minimized time wastage on adjournments.

**Digital Case Management:** Delhi has incorporated an online case management system that monitors all the cases, sets hearing dates and informs lawyers and litigants about the status of their cases. This system can enable the courts to minimize adjournments and more effectively judge available resources.

##### **Lesson to other states:**

E-courts and online filing have been found to be successful in enhancing accessibility and accelerating the

court process. Digital infrastructure used by other states should be similar to reduce delays.

- The virtual hearing system needs to be broadened so that hearings can be more

accessible and particularly to litigants in the rural or remote regions.

**KERALA:** INITIATIVE in Family and small claim court.

Kerala has been keen on the housekeeping of cases by establishing special courts that deal with particular cases such as family courts and small claims courts. The state has been the first to introduce a number of reforms to minimize delays in such categories of courts.

Some of the major reforms in **Kerala:**

**Family Courts:** Kerala has started family courts in most of the districts where family disputes are addressed concerning marriage, divorce, child custody and maintenance. These courts are run on a conciliation and settlement basis that involves mediation thereby minimizing the duration in which litigations are undertaken.

**Small Claims Courts:** Kerala introduced small claims courts, to deal with minor financial disputes (up to 10 lakh). The benefits of these courts include simplified processes, less formalities and quicker handling of cases.

- **Mediation and Conciliation Centers:** The state has been investing in mediation centers in order to offer alternative dispute resolution (ADR) services. Such centers promote parties to resolve cases amicably, and leave the court resources to more complex cases.

Lesson to other states:

The recommendations are: States should think of establishing family-related courts and small claims to reduce the time taken to decide.

- Court-ordered solutions such as mediation and conciliation may prove to be quite effective in minimizing pendency and should be much more prevalent.

**KARNATAKA:** Judicial reforms and the management of the cases.

The state of Karnataka has made some judicial reforms which are directed towards effective management of cases and curbing delays. The development of the state focusing on automation and improvement of the court infrastructure has paid off.

The main reforms in **Karnataka:**

- **Automated Case Management System (CMS):** Karnataka has instituted Automated Case Management

System (CMS) that enables the courts to monitor the status of cases, manage the case schedules and monitor the case progress electronically. This system combines information of various courts, this enables flow of information.

**E-Court Implementation:** Karnataka, is a pioneer in the e-court program, with most of the courts taking electronic case records, which have simplified and accelerated the task of tracking cases and accessing documents. e-court system has helped to enhance the time of cases being processed.

**E-Justice Portal:** The state has established an e-justice portal through which lawyers and litigants can obtain information on a case, submit documents and monitor a case. The system will help to curb unnecessary adjournments and delays.

Lesson to other states:

Case management can be automated and this can significantly enhance the rate of the judicial process. CMS model ought to be extended in other states.

E-courts have already been a success in Karnataka as it has alleviated delays and enhanced accessibility. This model needs to be extended in the country.

**LEGAL Aid and outreach programmes at Maharashtra.**

Maharashtra has introduced legal aid programmes and outreach to minimize pendency of cases particularly in the remote regions. These are directed towards enhancing access to justice to poor and disadvantaged groups.

**MAHARASHTRA:** The major reforms in Maharashtra were:

**The Maharashtra State Legal Services Authority (MSLSA):** MSLSA has put in place the legal aid services to make sure that its citizens who are unable to afford an attorney are not disadvantaged. The state has engaged in awareness campaigns to enlighten the citizens of their legal rights, and motivate them to seek prompt

intervention.

**Mobile Legal Aid Vans:** To cover remote locations Maharashtra has been using mobile legal aid vans, which offer legal advice and assistance to the village and rural population. This assists in decreasing the reliance on the physical appearance of courts, and making disputes resolved faster.

**Lok Adalats:** Maharashtra has strongly encouraged Lok Adalats (people court) as a solution to quick and effective resolving of minor disputes without having to go to a formal court.

#### **Lesson to other states:**

The legal aid service can also help decrease the number of cases handled by courts, thereby enabling the courts to concentrate on more complicated cases. The increase in the law outreach programs will enhance access to justice among the vulnerable groups.

- The small cases could be solved through the Lok Adalats and other alternative dispute resolution systems that can be widely applied to ease the burden of the formal court system.

#### **Conclusion**

Judicial reforms and new practices in case management have demonstrated that states in India can greatly decrease pendency and enhance efficiency. E-filing and digital case management in Delhi, development of dedicated courts in Kerala and Tamil Nadu and computerization of case tracking in Karnataka are just some of the examples of how technology can be integrated and specialized case management can be applied to streamline the judicial system. These best practices can be used to advantage other states, and adapted to their needs, making their legal system more efficient and more accessible to all citizens.

#### **4.8 Lesson Learned: Main Contributor To Success Judicial Reform.**

The case studies of the judicial reforms in various states and courts in India have given a good insight on the practice and reforms that have been effective in overcoming the problems of case pendency, delay in dispensing justice and efficiency of the judicial system. Since technology has

improved, its infrastructure has been enhanced, and alternative dispute resolution means have been established, the lessons learnt through these initiatives can be used to inform more reforms. The following are the main reasons behind a successful judicial reform, which were analyzed by the case studies.

#### **1. Technology Intergration and E-Courts**

Integration of technology in the judicial process has been one of the most important changes in the judicial system of many states and in particular, Delhi, Karnataka and Maharashtra. Technology has been critical in the minimization of delays, enhancement of efficiency and transparency.

#### **Key lessons:**

**Automation and digitalization of paperwork,** human errors and time and resources spent on cases are minimized in states having electronic filing systems and digital case management systems in place, significantly decreasing the workload on paper and human errors. Implementation of such systems will see that the information on cases is arranged in order and is easily accessible to avoid delays due to inefficiency in administration.

**Virtual Hearings:** Virtual hearings have been shown to be an effective method to proceed with cases even in times when it is necessary to be physically present (COVID-19). Such an approach must become a regular practice and increased to make it more accessible.

**Online Case Tracking:** The use of online platforms which enable litigants and lawyers to know the status of their cases in real-time has helped to reduce adjournments and enhance accountability among judicial officers. All courts should be made to have the same case tracking system to bring about uniformity and transparency.

#### **2. Specialization of Courts**

A few states, including Kerala and Tamil Nadu have followed the formula of specialized courts handling special cases. This has assisted in the facilitation of the judicial process, minimized on the delays and the quality of the judgment since the courts are now able to concentrate on certain areas of law.

#### **Key lessons:**

- Family and Small Claims Courts: The family courts and small claims courts in Kerala have demonstrated that when special types of cases are handled in a specialized setting, solutions to such cases are achieved much faster. Equally, a specialized economic offense court can speed up the process of dealing with cases of corporate fraud or other financial related crimes, which are usually difficult and time consuming to deal with.

- Specialized Fast-Track Courts: Experience with the creation of special fast-track courts, e.g. those dealing with sexual violence, has demonstrated that by simplifying and focusing the section of the casebook, pendency can be greatly minimized.

The judicial system could also be burdened because of the large number of cases to be handled in the courts. This can be alleviated by integrating ADR in the courts to handle certain cases such as family issues or commercial contracts to reduce the burden on the judiciary and the time taken to resolve a case.

### 3. Good Caswe Management System

Other states such as Karnataka and Delhi have implemented automated case management systems which help courts to effectively monitor case progress, resource allocation and reduce delays. One of the main factors contributing to the efficiency of the judicial system has been the use of technology to deal with cases.

#### Key lessons:

- Automated Case Management: Automated systems that can be used to monitor case statuses, schedule

hearings and manage court appearances make sure that the flow of cases is tracked in real-time. This minimizes the delays which might be caused by manual delays or inefficiency in the administration.

**Data-Driven Decision Making:** Data analytics have been used by states such as Karnataka to track the progress of cases and forecast the time required to dispose of cases. This fact-based solution will help the courts to more effectively use the available resources, with high priority cases being solved initially.

Indeed, the judicial system should be integrated on all levels: not only higher

courts but also at the district and taluka levels. This can make sure that all the judicial ecosystem is interconnected and no bottleneck of any level.

### 4. Infrastructure Development

Access to sufficient infrastructure is a critical element towards achieving success in judicial reform. The amenities of a courtroom, the number of staff, and material resources are necessary to ensure that the cases are processed with little complication and unwarranted delays.

#### Key Lessons:

- Investment in Court Infrastructure: The States that have put emphasis on infrastructure e.g. building of new rooms and refurbishing of the old ones have had greater case management and quicker case disposal. Modern court facilities should be invested in to handle the rising cases and a comfortable and functional environment should be provided to the judges, litigants and lawyers.

- Staffing: Staffing can be improved to avoid case bottlenecks by ensuring that there is enough staffing particularly in lower courts. Regular appointment of judges, clerks and administrators is paramount to overcome shortage which usually causes delays in processing of cases.

### 5. Will Of Political Support and Administrative Support

Both the government and the judiciary need to be strongly committed towards dealing with challenges in the judiciary. No judicial reforms can be successful without political goodwill with the required administrative backing.

#### Key lesson:

**Government Support to Reforms:** Tamil Nadu and Delhi were successful in their judicial reforms because of the high political will and support of the administration. Governments must put in place the required funds, resources and legislative support on the implementation of the reforms.

- Judiciary/Executive Cooperation: Closely-coordinated judiciary/executive cooperation has often been the key to successful reforms. This kind of collaboration will make sure that the reforms

are properly coordinated, properly funded and in a timely manner.

#### 6. Public Awareness and Legal Aids

Legal assistance and making the community aware of the existing judicial services is important in enhancing access to justice, especially to marginalized communities. The legality aid outreach and mobile legal aid vans have played a crucial role in bringing justice to the rural regions in Maharashtra.

##### Key Lesson:

- **Legal Aid to Vulnerable Groups:** The widening of legal aid services to cover free legal services to low-income litigants can be used to make justice accessible to everyone in the country, and those who cannot afford litigation services in employment of lawyers.
- **Mobile Legal Aid Services:** Mobile legal aid vans have proven to be successful in Maharashtra and this indicates that there is a need to access underserved regions and educate people on their rights. This type of services assists in diminishing the cases that end up being delayed because of lack of knowledge or legal support.

#### 7. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Mechanism

Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) has become a topic of interest to many states in an attempt to reduce congestion in the courts and foster faster dispute resolution outside of the traditional court system. ADR processes such as mediation, arbitration and Lok Adalats have become common in the whole of India.

##### Key lessons:

**Encouraging ADR:** Out-of-court settlements can be used to help ease the court load in a number of states with Lok Adalats proving to be a highly effective approach in reducing the number of cases in a court. Making sure that the litigants are motivated to settle disagreements through ADR, prior to going to formal court proceedings can fast track the resolution of the case.

**Court-Mandated Mediation:** In some states, there is court-mandated mediation of some categories of cases (e.g., family disputes) that have served to reduce the amount of cases in courts and encourage friendly

resolutions between parties.

#### Conclusion

Based on the case studies of different states and courts, the main factors contributing to the successful judicial reform in India include the adoption of technology, special courts, automated case management system, the development of infrastructure, political will and encouraging the adoption of alternative dispute resolution mechanism. When these reforms are adapted to the needs of a particular jurisdiction, they can radically decrease the time spent on cases and enhance the efficiency of a judicial system, in general. Judicial reforms to guarantee future success should be maintained, tuned to technological changes and through cooperation by government, judiciary and the people.