Digitalizing Nigerian Languages: Innovation Models for Teaching, Localization, and Community Knowledge Production

Dr. Onyenwe Isidore Anayochukwu (Ph.d.)
Department of Linguistics and African Languages,
University of Abuja

Abstract
This study examines the digitalization of Nigerian languages through the development of innovation models that integrate language teaching,localization,community-based knowledge production, and technological support. Adopting a qualitative research design and a thematic analysis of existing literature, the study identifies key patterns shaping the digital transformation of indigenous languages.The findings indicate that digital tools contribute to improved language teaching, localization enhances accessibility, and community participation supports the preservation and continued relevance of these languages. However, these efforts remain largely fragmented, limiting their overall effectiveness and scalability. While emerging technologies, particularly artificial intelligence, present new opportunities for language development, their application is constrained by the low-resource nature of many Nigerian languages.The study therefore proposes an integrated innovation model that aligns educational practices, technological development, and community engagement within a unified framework. It concludes that the sustainable digitalization of Nigerian languages requires a coordinated socio-technical approach capable of positioning these languages as active mediums of knowledge production in digital environments.

Keywords: Nigerian languages, digitalization, language teaching, localization, community knowledge production, innovation models

Introduction

The digitalization of indigenous languages has become an important issue in current discussions on education, cultural preservation technological inclusion, and knowledge production. In multilingual societies such as Nigeria, this issue is particularly urgent. The country is home to over 500 languages, each representing distinct histories, identities, and community-based knowledge systems (Eberhard, Simons, & Fennig, 2023). Despite this rich linguistic diversity, Nigerian languages remain significantly underrepresented in digital spaces, especially within educational technologies, software systems, and online knowledge platforms.

This underrepresentation reflects a broader global trend in which indigenous and low-resource languages are often excluded from dominant digital infrastructures (Joshi et al., 2020). In this context, digitalization should not be understood simply as the documentation of languages. Rather, it involves transforming linguistic resources into usable digital forms that can support teaching, localization, and the production of knowledge within communities. In education, for instance, digital tools such as mobile applications, multimedia platforms, and online learning systems offer new opportunities to enhance the teaching and learning of Nigerian languages, particularly among digitally engaged populations.

Localization is another key dimension of language digitalization. It involves adapting digital systems—including software interfaces, websites, and applications—to reflect the linguistic and cultural contexts of users. By enabling individuals to interact with technology in their native languages, localization promotes greater digital inclusion. However, effective localization goes beyond direct translation. It requires the development of standardized terminology, culturally

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

appropriate expressions, and consistent writing systems.

In addition, community knowledge production has become increasingly central to language digitalization efforts. Digital platforms now allow speakers to create, share, and preserve indigenous knowledge in more decentralized ways. This shift reduces reliance on formal institutions and encourages active community participation. It also aligns with contemporary views on language sustainability, which emphasize continuous use and intergenerational transmission rather than passive preservation (Himmelmann, 1998).

Although artificial intelligence is not the primary focus of this study, it remains an important part of the evolving digital landscape. AI-driven tools, including natural language processing systems, speech technologies, and machine translation models, are beginning to support Nigerian languages. However, their development and application remain uneven due to ongoing resource limitations (Adebara et al., 2022; Adelani et al., 2021). As a result, AI should be understood as one component within a broader ecosystem that also includes educational technologies, localization strategies, and community-driven initiatives.

Despite these advancements, several challenges continue to limit progress. These include inadequate digital infrastructure, low levels of digital literacy, the absence of standardized linguistic resources, and insufficient institutional support. Together, these factors constrain both the effectiveness and long-term sustainability of efforts to digitalize Nigerian languages.

Against this background, this study examines innovation models for the digitalization of Nigerian languages, with particular focus on teaching, localization, and community knowledge production. By analyzing existing approaches and identifying key patterns, the study aims to contribute to the development of more integrated and sustainable frameworks for promoting Nigerian languages in digital environments.

Statement of the Problem

The rapid global shift toward digital technologies has transformed how languages are taught, used, and sustained. However, this transformation has not been evenly experienced across all linguistic communities. In Nigeria, despite the country's extensive linguistic diversity and the cultural importance of its languages, their presence in digital environments remains limited and uneven (Eberhard, Simons, & Fennig, 2023). This gap raises important concerns about linguistic inclusion, access to knowledge, and the long-term sustainability of indigenous languages in the digital age.

Although efforts have been made to document and preserve Nigerian languages, these initiatives have largely remained within traditional or archival approaches. As a result, a clear gap exists between language preservation and its practical use in digital contexts. As noted by Himmelmann (1998), documentation alone does not ensure language vitality unless it is supported by active use in everyday and contemporary domains. Without such integration, languages risk becoming static records rather than evolving tools of communication.

In addition, the digitalization of Nigerian languages is constrained by several structural and technological challenges. These include the lack of standardized writing systems, limited digital language resources, insufficient educational technologies designed for indigenous languages, and minimal localization of digital platforms (Adebara et al., 2022). Consequently, many speakers rely on dominant global languages when engaging with digital technologies, further reinforcing linguistic marginalization and reducing opportunities for indigenous language use in education, governance, and knowledge production.

Moreover, while digital tools have the potential to support language learning and community engagement, their application within Nigerian language contexts remains fragmented. Existing efforts in areas such as digital language education, software localization, and online knowledge sharing are often isolated and uncoordinated. This lack of integration limits their scalability, sustainability, and overall impact.

At the same time, emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence are beginning to influence language development. However, their application to Nigerian languages remains constrained by low-resource conditions and uneven access to technological infrastructure (Joshi et al., 2020; Adelani et al., 2021). As a result, the benefits of these

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

technologies are not widely or equitably distributed.

Therefore, the central problem addressed in this study is the absence of structured and sustainable innovation models that effectively integrate teaching, localization, and community knowledge production in the digitalization of Nigerian languages. Without such coordinated frameworks, current efforts remain fragmented, limiting the ability of these languages to achieve functional relevance and active participation in contemporary digital environments.

Research Questions

1. What are the key challenges affecting the digitalization of Nigerian languages in contemporary digital ecosystems?
2. How are digital tools and platforms currently being utilized in the teaching of Nigerian languages?
3. What role does localization play in enhancing the accessibility and usability of Nigerian languages in digital environments?
4. How do community-driven digital platforms contribute to knowledge production and sustainability of Nigerian languages?
5. What innovation models can be identified for integrating teaching, localization, and community knowledge production in the digitalization of Nigerian languages?
6. To what extent can emerging technologies, including artificial intelligence, support the effective digitalization of Nigerian languages?

Significance of the Study

This study contributes to ongoing discussions on the digitalization of indigenous languages, with particular focus on Nigeria, where linguistic diversity remains insufficiently represented in digital environments. By examining innovation models that integrate teaching, localization, and community knowledge production, the study provides a structured perspective on how Nigerian languages can attain functional relevance in the digital age.

From an academic standpoint, the study extends existing scholarship by moving beyond language documentation toward practical and sustainable approaches to digitalization. It contributes to interdisciplinary research at the intersection of linguistics, education, and digital technology, offering a more integrated framework for understanding language development in contemporary digital contexts.

In the field of education, the study highlights the potential of digital tools and platforms to improve the teaching and learning of Nigerian languages. The insights generated can inform curriculum design, digital pedagogy, and the inclusion of indigenous languages within technology-supported learning environments.

The study also has practical relevance for technology developers and policymakers. By emphasizing the role of localization, it demonstrates how digital systems can be adapted to reflect local linguistic and cultural contexts, thereby promoting greater accessibility and digital inclusion for Nigerian language users.

At the community level, the study underscores the importance of participatory approaches to knowledge production. It recognizes that sustainable language development depends on the active involvement of speakers in creating, sharing, and preserving linguistic and cultural knowledge through digital platforms.

Finally, the study provides strategic insights into the structural challenges affecting the digitalization of Nigerian languages, including resource limitations, infrastructural constraints, and uneven technological adoption. In addressing these issues, it offers a foundation for the development of policies and innovation frameworks that support the long-term sustainability of Nigerian languages in digital environments.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in the Sociocultural Theory of Learning and the Diffusion of Innovation Theory. Together, these theories provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the digitalization of Nigerian languages across educational, technological, and community contexts.

The Sociocultural Theory, developed by Lev Vygotsky (1978), views learning as a socially mediated process shaped by interaction, collaboration, and the use of cultural tools. Within this framework, language is not only a means of communication but also a central tool for thinking and knowledge construction. In this study, digital platforms, educational technologies, and localized tools are understood as mediating resources that support the teaching and learning of Nigerian languages. Their integration into educational

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

contexts enables collaborative learning environments where knowledge is co-constructed among learners, educators, and communities. This perspective also reinforces the importance of community knowledge production, as it highlights the role of participation and social interaction in sustaining linguistic practices.

Complementing this perspective is the Diffusion of Innovation Theory proposed by Everett Rogers (2003), which explains how new ideas, technologies, and practices spread within a social system over time. This theory is particularly relevant for understanding how digital tools, localization strategies, and language technologies are adopted in Nigerian contexts. The digitalization of Nigerian languages can be viewed as an innovation process in which stakeholders—including educators, developers, policymakers, and language communities—adopt new digital practices at different rates. The extent of adoption is influenced by factors such as accessibility, perceived usefulness, cultural relevance, and institutional support.

The integration of these two theories provides a strong analytical foundation for the study. While Sociocultural Theory explains how digital tools support learning and community-based knowledge production, Diffusion of Innovation Theory explains how these tools and practices spread and become embedded within society. Together, they support the argument that effective digitalization of Nigerian languages depends not only on the availability of technological tools but also on social interaction, community participation, and the successful diffusion of innovations across different user groups.

Literature Review

Digitalization of Indigenous and Nigerian Languages

The digitalization of indigenous languages has gained increasing attention in recent years, particularly in response to concerns about language endangerment and exclusion from digital communication systems. In this context, digitalization refers to the process of converting linguistic resources into formats that can be stored, processed, and used through digital technologies. While earlier efforts focused mainly on documentation, more recent studies emphasize the need to move beyond preservation toward meaningful and functional use in digital spaces (Himmelmann, 1998).

In Nigeria, the challenge of digital inclusion is closely linked to the classification of many indigenous languages as low-resource. As noted by Joshi et al. (2020), languages with limited digital data, computational tools, and standardized corpora are often underrepresented in global technological systems. As a result, Nigerian languages remain largely absent from many digital platforms, which restricts their use in education, communication, and knowledge production.

Digital Technologies and Language Teaching

The integration of digital technologies into language education has reshaped traditional teaching methods, creating new opportunities for learning indigenous languages. Tools such as mobile applications, multimedia platforms, and online learning systems support more interactive, flexible, and learner-centered experiences. From a sociocultural perspective, these technologies act as mediating tools that facilitate collaboration and knowledge construction (Lev Vygotsky, 1978).

In addition, digital learning platforms can improve learner engagement and expand access, particularly among younger and digitally active populations. However, the use of these technologies for Nigerian languages remains limited. This is largely due to the lack of adequate resources, the absence of standardized teaching materials, and their weak integration into formal education systems.

Localization and Digital Inclusion

Localization is a key aspect of language digitalization because it allows digital systems to reflect the linguistic and cultural contexts of their users. Through localization, software interfaces, websites, and digital services can be adapted into indigenous languages, making them more accessible and easier to use. This plays an important role in promoting digital inclusion by enabling users to engage with technology in their native languages.

However, effective localization goes beyond direct translation. It requires the development of culturally appropriate terminology, as well as consistent and standardized writing systems. As noted by Adebara et al. (2022),

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

the absence of well-developed linguistic resources continues to limit the effectiveness of localization efforts for many Nigerian languages.

Community Knowledge Production and Participatory Digital Platforms

Recent studies increasingly highlight the importance of community-driven approaches to language sustainability. Digital platforms now provide opportunities for speakers to actively participate in the creation, sharing, and preservation of linguistic and cultural knowledge. This represents a shift from institution-led preservation to more participatory and decentralized forms of knowledge production.

Such an approach aligns with sociocultural perspectives, which emphasize the role of active participation and social interaction in sustaining language use (Lev Vygotsky, 1978). By engaging communities directly, digital platforms help ensure that languages remain dynamic and relevant within everyday contexts.

Emerging Technologies and the Digitalization of Nigerian Languages

Emerging technologies, particularly artificial intelligence, are increasingly influencing the digitalization of languages. AI-driven tools, including natural language processing systems and speech technologies, offer new possibilities for improving language accessibility and use in digital environments.

However, the application of these technologies to Nigerian languages remains limited. This is largely due to their classification as low-resource languages, which means there is insufficient data and technological infrastructure to support advanced development (Adebara et al., 2022; Adelani et al., 2021). As a result, while these technologies show significant promise, their impact on Nigerian languages is still developing.

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design to examine innovation models for the digitalization of Nigerian languages, with particular focus on teaching, localization, and community knowledge production. A qualitative approach is appropriate because the study seeks to interpret ideas, patterns, relationships, and conceptual trends within existing scholarship rather than generate statistical data. It also allows for a deeper exploration of how digital tools, localized technologies, and community-based platforms contribute to the sustainability of Nigerian languages.

Data Sources and Selection Criteria

The study relies on secondary data obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, scholarly books, and credible institutional publications. These sources were selected for their relevance to key themes such as indigenous language digitalization, educational technology, localization practices, African language computing, and community-based knowledge systems.

Selection was guided by two main considerations: academic credibility and contextual relevance. Priority was given to sources that directly address the digital transformation of indigenous or Nigerian languages, the use of technology in language teaching, the localization of digital platforms, and participatory approaches to knowledge production. This ensured that the study remained grounded in reliable scholarship while maintaining a clear focus on the Nigerian and broader African context. The selected literature therefore provided a strong basis for identifying recurring patterns and innovation models.

Analytical Approach

The study employs thematic analysis as its primary method of data analysis. This approach is suitable because it enables the systematic identification, organization, and interpretation of recurring patterns within qualitative data. Following the framework proposed by Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke (2006), the analysis was conducted in several stages.

First, the selected sources were carefully reviewed to gain familiarity with key arguments and recurring issues. Relevant ideas were then coded based on their alignment with the study's objectives. These codes were subsequently grouped into broader themes, including digital tools for language teaching, localization and digital inclusion, community-driven knowledge production, technological

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

innovation, and structural challenges. The themes were refined to ensure clarity, consistency, and relevance. Finally, they were interpreted to generate insights into the types of innovation models required for the sustainable digitalization of Nigerian languages.

Validity and Trustworthiness

The credibility of the study was strengthened through the use of peer-reviewed and authoritative sources. Cross-referencing was also employed to compare insights across multiple studies, reducing reliance on a single perspective and improving analytical balance. In addition, consistency was maintained by aligning the research questions, literature review, and thematic analysis.

Transparency was ensured throughout the research process. The study adopts a thematic synthesis approach, allowing insights from different sources to be integrated into a coherent and academically grounded interpretation.

Ethical Considerations

This study is based entirely on secondary data and does not involve direct interaction with human participants. Nevertheless, ethical standards were strictly observed. All sources were properly acknowledged, and the ideas of other scholars were represented accurately. Care was taken to avoid misinterpretation or misrepresentation of existing research. Academic integrity was maintained through appropriate citation, responsible synthesis, and transparent analysis.

Data Analysis and Results

1. Digital Teaching Innovation Model

The analysis identifies digital teaching as a central pathway for sustaining Nigerian languages within contemporary educational contexts. Digital technologies extend language learning beyond the traditional classroom by enabling the use of multimedia resources, mobile learning platforms, digital archives, and interactive content. From a sociocultural perspective, these tools function as mediating instruments that support collaboration, cultural transmission, and knowledge construction (Lev Vygotsky, 1978).

Research on digital pedagogy further shows that technology-enhanced learning environments can improve learner engagement, accessibility, and retention when they are intentionally aligned with pedagogical goals, rather than used as add-ons (Redecker, 2017). In the context of African and low-resource languages, effective digital learning also depends on the availability of appropriate linguistic resources, culturally relevant content, and supportive institutional frameworks (Adebara & Abdul-Mageed, 2022; Joshi et al., 2020).

For Nigerian languages, the findings suggest that innovation in teaching should go beyond simply converting printed materials into digital formats. Effective digital teaching requires learner-centered platforms that integrate audio, visual, textual, and interactive elements. This is particularly important given the tonal and oral richness of many Nigerian languages. Elements such as pronunciation, sound patterns, storytelling, songs, and oral performance need to be actively incorporated into digital learning environments. In this model, technology functions as a pedagogical mediator rather than a passive repository of information.

However, the analysis also reveals key constraints. These include limited availability of localized content, inadequate teacher preparation, weak institutional integration, and unequal access to digital infrastructure. This suggests that the main challenge is not simply the lack of technology, but the absence of coordinated educational frameworks that connect pedagogy, digital literacy, teacher capacity, and culturally grounded learning practices.

2. Localization and Digital Inclusion Model

The second major theme identifies localization as a critical model for advancing digital inclusion. Localization enables digital platforms—including software interfaces, learning applications, websites, and public communication tools—to reflect the linguistic and cultural contexts of their users. Studies on linguistic diversity in digital systems show that the exclusion of low-resource languages from technological platforms contributes significantly to digital inequality and limits participation in global knowledge systems (Joshi et al., 2020).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

Adebara and Abdul-Mageed (2022) further emphasize that the development of African language technologies requires more than translation. It involves the creation of culturally relevant terminology, standardized linguistic resources, and context-sensitive computational tools. This is particularly important in Nigeria, where orthographic inconsistencies, dialectal variation, and limited technical vocabulary continue to constrain effective localization. As a result, localization must be understood as both a linguistic and socio-technical process.

The findings also show that localization directly supports digital inclusion. When users can interact with digital tools in their native languages, technology becomes more accessible, meaningful, and culturally relevant. However, achieving effective localization requires collaboration among linguists, educators, translators, software developers, and language communities. Without such collaboration, localized systems risk being inaccurate, superficial, or disconnected from real language use.

The localization model emerging from this analysis therefore emphasizes terminology development, orthographic standardization, cultural adaptation, user-centered design, and community validation. In this sense, localization is not merely a technical process but a key pathway for promoting linguistic inclusion, accessibility, and meaningful participation in digital environments.

3. Community Knowledge Production Model

The findings show that community knowledge production is central to the sustainable digitalization of Nigerian languages. These languages are not only tools for communication; they also carry indigenous knowledge, oral traditions, ecological insights, proverbs, religious expressions, and cultural memory. As Himmelmann (1998) notes, documentation becomes meaningful only when it supports continued language use and remains relevant to the communities that speak the language.

Within this context, digital platforms create new opportunities for participatory knowledge production. They allow speakers to actively contribute to the creation, preservation, and sharing of linguistic and cultural content. This aligns with sociocultural perspectives, which emphasize that knowledge is shaped through interaction, shared practices, and the use of cultural tools (Lev Vygotsky, 1978). Community members are therefore not just users of digital language initiatives but active contributors to language sustainability.

Research on African language technology and participatory approaches further highlights the importance of community involvement in developing resources that are both culturally grounded and practically useful (Nekoto et al., 2020; Orife et al., 2020). Community-driven platforms—such as digital storytelling spaces, collaborative dictionaries, oral history archives, local-language blogs, podcasts, and social media groups—can significantly expand the presence of Nigerian languages in digital environments.

Overall, this model shifts language digitalization from passive preservation to active cultural production. Communities become creators of digital knowledge rather than subjects of documentation. This strengthens language ownership, supports intergenerational transmission, and promotes the everyday use of Nigerian languages in contemporary digital spaces.

4. Emerging Technology Support Model

Another key finding is the supportive role of emerging technologies in the digitalization of Nigerian languages. Technologies such as artificial intelligence, natural language processing, speech recognition, text-to-speech systems, and machine translation offer new possibilities for improving language accessibility and usability. However, their application remains uneven, particularly for low-resource languages such as many Nigerian languages (Adebara & Abdul-Mageed, 2022; Adelani et al., 2021; Joshi et al., 2020).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

Modern language technologies rely heavily on large-scale datasets and computational resources. Models such as BERT and other large language systems have demonstrated the effectiveness of data-driven approaches, but their success depends on the availability of extensive, high-quality linguistic data (Brown et al., 2020; Devlin et al., 2019). Because many Nigerian languages lack sufficient corpora, annotated datasets, and standardized digital resources, their integration into advanced technologies remains limited.

Despite these constraints, the findings suggest that emerging technologies can play a meaningful supporting role when integrated into broader educational and community frameworks. For example, AI can assist with transcribing oral materials, modeling pronunciation, supporting translation, enabling speech-based learning, and developing searchable digital archives. However, the effectiveness of these tools depends on the availability of reliable datasets, ethical data practices, representation of dialectal variation, and active community involvement.

Importantly, this model highlights the need to avoid technological determinism. The digitalization of Nigerian languages cannot rely on technology alone. Instead, emerging technologies should be understood as supportive components within a wider ecosystem that includes language teaching, localization, community participation, and institutional support.

5. Participatory Infrastructure and Sustainability Model

The analysis identifies participatory infrastructure as a key foundation for the sustainable digitalization of Nigerian languages. Effective digitalization requires more than the availability of digital tools; it depends on supporting systems such as institutional frameworks, skilled personnel, digital literacy, funding structures, and active community engagement. As explained by Everett Rogers (2003), the adoption of innovations is influenced by factors such as accessibility, perceived usefulness, compatibility, and the broader social context in which they are introduced.

In the Nigerian context, several infrastructural challenges continue to limit the scalability and sustainability of digital language initiatives. These include inadequate internet access, limited funding, low levels of digital literacy, insufficient teacher training, lack of technical expertise, and weak policy implementation. As a result, many digital language projects remain isolated, short-lived, or inaccessible to the communities they are intended to support.

Studies by Orife et al. (2020) and Nekoto et al. (2020) further show that sustainable development of African language technologies depends on collaborative, community-driven approaches that prioritize local needs and contexts. This suggests that successful digitalization must be built around users and communities, rather than driven by technology alone.

The participatory infrastructure model therefore emphasizes the need for training programs, open-access repositories, community archives, school-based digital resources, local-language publishing systems, and platforms that enable continuous contribution and updating of language materials. Without such supporting structures, even well-designed technological solutions are unlikely to achieve long-term impact.

6. Integrated Innovation Model

The most significant outcome of the analysis is the identification of an integrated innovation model that connects teaching, localization, community knowledge production, participatory infrastructure, and emerging technologies. Existing research shows that efforts to digitalize African languages are often fragmented across separate projects, tools, and institutional initiatives (Adebara & Abdul-Mageed, 2022; Nekoto et al., 2020). This fragmentation limits their sustainability, scalability, and overall effectiveness.

The findings of this study indicate that these components are closely interconnected. Digital teaching depends on localized and culturally relevant content; localization relies on community validation and standardized linguistic resources; community knowledge production requires accessible platforms and adequate digital literacy; and emerging technologies depend on structured data and sustained institutional support. As a result, treating these elements in isolation weakens the overall impact of digitalization efforts.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

The integrated model proposed in this study emphasizes coordination among educators, linguists, software developers, policymakers, cultural practitioners, and language communities. It positions Nigerian languages not merely as objects of preservation, but as active tools for teaching, communication, cultural expression, and knowledge production. In doing so, it aligns with both sociocultural perspectives, which highlight participation and mediated learning, and diffusion of innovation theory, which explains how new practices are adopted and sustained within social systems (Everett Rogers, 2003; Lev Vygotsky, 1978).

In holistic terms,the integrated innovation model offers a holistic pathway for the digitalization of Nigerian languages. It moves beyond isolated interventions and proposes a coordinated framework through which these languages can be taught digitally, incorporated into technological systems through localization, sustained through community participation, and supported by emerging technologies where appropriate.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide important insights into the evolving landscape of Nigerian language digitalization, particularly when examined through the lenses of sociocultural theory and diffusion of innovation. The results indicate that digitalization is not merely a technological process but a socio-educational transformation that depends on the interaction between tools, users, and institutional structures.

From a sociocultural perspective, the prominence of digital teaching and community knowledge production underscores the central role of participation, interaction, and cultural mediation in sustaining Nigerian languages. As Vygotsky (1978) posits, learning and knowledge construction are inherently social processes. The findings support this view by demonstrating that digital tools become effective only when they are embedded within collaborative learning environments and community-driven practices.

In relation to localization, the findings reveal that digital inclusion is closely tied to linguistic accessibility. The limited presence of Nigerian languages in digital platforms reinforces existing inequalities in access to information and technological participation. This aligns with Joshi et al. (2020), who argue that low-resource languages remain marginalized in global NLP systems due to data scarcity and infrastructural limitations. Therefore, localization emerges as both a technical necessity and a socio-political intervention aimed at promoting linguistic equity in digital spaces.

Furthermore, the role of emerging technologies, particularly artificial intelligence, highlights both opportunities and constraints. While AI offers tools for enhancing language accessibility through speech recognition, translation, and language learning systems, its effectiveness is limited by the availability of linguistic resources (Adebara & Abdul-Mageed, 2022; Adelani et al., 2021). This suggests that technological advancement alone cannot resolve the challenges of language digitalization; rather, it must be complemented by systematic data development and community validation. The identification of participatory infrastructure as a key component of sustainability also reinforces the relevance of diffusion of innovation theory. Rogers (2003) emphasizes that the adoption of innovations depends on factors such as accessibility, perceived usefulness, and social context. The findings show that digital language initiatives in Nigeria often struggle to scale due to infrastructural gaps, low digital literacy, and limited institutional support.

Most importantly, the study demonstrates that the digitalization of Nigerian languages requires an integrated approach. The interdependence of digital teaching, localization, community knowledge production, and technological support suggests that fragmented interventions are insufficient. Instead, sustainable digitalization must be grounded in coordinated models that align educational practices, technological development, and community participation.

Holistically, the discussion reveals that Nigerian language digitalization is best understood as a socio-technical process that combines linguistic resources, digital tools, human participation, and institutional frameworks. Without this integration, efforts toward digitalization risk remaining isolated, uneven, and unsustainable.

Conclusion

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

This study examined the digitalization of Nigerian languages through innovation models that integrate teaching, localization, community knowledge production, and technological support. The findings show that while progress has been made, current efforts remain fragmented and insufficient for achieving sustainable digital inclusion.

The findings affirmed that language digitalization is not only a technological process but also a socio-educational one that depends on the effective integration of digital tools into teaching, localized systems, and community practices. Digital teaching improves access to learning, localization enhances inclusion, and community participation strengthens language use. However, these elements are most effective when coordinated within a structured and integrated framework.

Accordingly, the study emphasizes the need for a unified approach that aligns educational strategies, technological development, and community engagement. Such coordination is essential for repositioning Nigerian languages as active tools for communication and knowledge production in digital environments.

The study contributes a practical framework for understanding how Nigerian languages can be sustainably integrated into digital spaces, while also highlighting the need for continued research, policy support, and collaborative efforts.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to support the sustainable digitalization of Nigerian languages:

1. Integrate Digital Tools into Language Education

Educational institutions should systematically incorporate digital tools—such as mobile applications, multimedia resources, and e-learning platforms—into the teaching of Nigerian languages. This should be supported by targeted teacher training to build the digital skills needed for effective implementation.

2. Develop Standardized Linguistic Resources

There is a need to develop and standardize key linguistic resources, including orthographies, digital corpora, and technical vocabularies. This will improve the quality of digital content, support localization, and enable the development of language technologies. The process should involve linguists, educators, and native speakers to ensure accuracy and cultural relevance.

3. Promote Localization in Digital Systems

Technology developers and policymakers should prioritize the localization of software, websites, and digital services into Nigerian languages. This should go beyond translation to include cultural adaptation and user-centered design, thereby improving accessibility and digital inclusion.

4. Support Community-Driven Digital Platforms

Community-based digital initiatives should be encouraged and sustained. Platforms such as digital storytelling hubs, community archives, local-language media, and collaborative knowledge spaces can promote active participation and strengthen language use in digital environments.

5. Invest in Digital Infrastructure and Capacity Building

Greater investment is needed in digital infrastructure, including internet access and technological resources, particularly in underserved areas. In addition, digital literacy programs should be expanded to enable broader participation in language digitalization efforts.

6. Strategically Integrate Emerging Technologies

Emerging technologies, including artificial intelligence, should be carefully integrated into language digitalization initiatives. This includes supporting the development of language datasets, speech technologies, and translation systems, while ensuring ethical practices, cultural sensitivity, and community involvement.

7. Develop Coordinated Innovation Frameworks

There is a need for coordinated frameworks that bring together teaching, localization, community participation, and technological development. Collaboration

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987

among policymakers, educators, technologists, and language communities is essential to ensure long-term sustainability and impact.

References

Adebara, I., & Abdul-Mageed, M. (2022). Towards Afrocentric NLP for African languages: Where we are and where we can go. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 3814-3841. Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.265

Adelani, D. I., Abbott, J., Neubig, G., D'souza, D., Kreutzer, J., Lignos, C., Palen-Michel, C., Buzaaba, H., Rijhwani, S., Ruder, S., Mayhew, S., Azime, I. A., Muhammad, S. H., Emezue, C. C., Nakatumba-Nabende, J., Ogayo, P., Anuoluwapo, A., Gitau, C., Mbaye, D., … Orife, I. (2021). MasakhaNER: Named entity recognition for African languages. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, *9*, 1116-1131. https://doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00416

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Agarwal, S., Herbert-Voss, A., Krueger, G., Henighan, T., Child, R., Ramesh, A., Ziegler, D. M., Wu, J., Winter, C., … Amodei, D. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, *33*, 1877-1901.

Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT 2019*, 4171-4186. Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423

Eberhard, D. M., Simons, G. F., & Fennig, C. D. (Eds.). (2023). *Ethnologue: Languages of the world* (26th ed.). SIL International.

Himmelmann, N. P. (1998). Documentary and descriptive linguistics. *Linguistics*, *36*(1), 161-195. https://doi.org/10.1515/ling.1998.36.1.161

Joshi, P., Santy, S., Budhiraja, A., Bali, K., & Choudhury, M. (2020). The state and fate of linguistic diversity and inclusion in the NLP world. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6282-6293. Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.560

Nekoto, W., Marivate, V., Matsila, T., Fasubaa, T., Kolawole, T., Fagbohungbe, T., Akinola, S. O., Muhammad, S. H., Kabongo, S., Osei, S., Sackey, F., Niyongabo, R. A., Macharm, R., Ogayo, P., Ahia, O., Berhe, M. M., Adeyemi, M., Mokgesi-Selinga, M., Okegbemi, L., … Bashir, A. (2020). Participatory research for low-resourced machine translation: A case study in African languages. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, 2144-2160. Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.findings-emnlp.195

Orife, I., Kreutzer, J., Sibanda, B., Whitenack, D., Siminyu, K., Martinus, L., Ali, J. T., Abbott, J., Marivate, V., Kabongo, S., Meressa, M., Murhabazi, E., Ahia, O., Mabuya, R., Mokgesi-Selinga, M., van Biljon, E., Ramkilowan, A., & others. (2020). *Masakhane - Machine translation for Africa*. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.11529

Redecker, C. (2017). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2760/159770

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20130987