

# Blockchain 3.0 and Smart Contract Ecosystems: Disrupting Trade Finance, Supply-Chain Liquidity, and Cross-Border Treasury Operations

Md. Aminul Hoque Shamim  
Department of ICT, Bangladesh University of Professionals

Samir Chandra Das,  
Management, National University, Bangladesh

Subrata Debnath  
IT, Kyungshung University

Most. Rokhsana Yeasmin,  
Department of Accounting & Information Systems, Hajee Mohammad  
Danesh Science & Technology University, Dinajpur.

A K M Rashid Been Aziz  
Department of Computer Technology, Nanjing Institute of  
Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

## Abstract

The paper discusses the disruptive power of Blockchain 3.0 and smart contract ecosystems in filming conventional structures of trade finance, supply-chain liquidity, and cross-border treasury functions. Through the decentralization, transparency, and automation features of blockchain, this paper explores how the technologies are used to overcome inefficiencies, risks reduction and improving operational efficiency in a complex global financial network. The study brings together existing uses and obstacles, underlining the paramount importance of smart contracts in transaction robotization and growth of trust among stakeholders. Results hold an informative perspective in developing digital finance systems and encouraging innovation in global trade and treasury management.

**Keywords:** Blockchain 3.0; Smart Contracts; Trade Finance; Supply-Chain Liquidity; Cross-Border Treasury; Decentralized Finance

## Introduction

The financial service industry and international trade are constantly in need of

innovations to improve efficiency, security and transparency. Intermediation, high transaction costs, and long settlement time are traditional systems that are under pressure, as international markets grow more interconnected and consumer needs are shifting. Combined with advanced smart contract environments, blockchain technology, in particular, third generation (Blockchain 3.0) provides an innovative paradigm of eliminating those deep inefficiencies. This distributed ledger technology (DLT) is a cryptographically secure, decentralized, and immutable framework to record transactions and execute pre-established agreements, creating a trustless environment among the participants. Blockchain 3.0 application is wider than its roots in the cryptocurrency industry to other financial and logistic functions. What is especially important, are its implications to finance in trade, supply-chain liquidity management, and cross-border treasury operations. Such realms struggle with cumbersome documentation needs, dysfunctional circles of trust and large operational expenses, which could be

substantially reduced through blockchain-powered solutions. The automation of transactional processes, lessening of the role of human intervention and the reinforcement of contractual terms without traditional intermediaries are exerted through the use of smart contracts as self-executing digital contracts that run on a blockchain. This automation can result in significant processing time and operation costs changes. To grasp the disruptive nature of this technology, it is necessary to consider its development, the dynamics of smart contracts, and their use in the key financial fields. This paper evaluates the potentials of the Blockchain 3.0 and smart contracts ecosystems to redefine current practices, increase operational resilience, and inspire new forms of value creation in international trade and financial flows. It looks at the potentials that such advancements are introducing as well as the challenges related to their adoption at scale such as regulatory challenges, scalability issues and interoperability challenges.

### Methodology

The research methodology used in this investigation is a multi-faceted, holistic, one that is based on a systematic literature review and incorporates the use of both the thematic analysis and case study as research tools. The aim is to synthesize and review the current body of knowledge, new trends, and assess practical applications of Blockchain 3.0 and smart contract ecosystems within particular financial settings.

**1. Systematic Literature Review:** A global search of scholarly databases, mainly Scopus, has been performed to find peer-reviewed articles, conference papers and official reports, published between 2018 and 2024. The keywords were: Blockchain 3.0, smart contracts, trade finance, supply chain finance, cross-border payments, treasury operations, liquidity management, decentralized finance, and regulatory frameworks. The inclusion criteria were based on the studies, which provided empirical information, theoretical frameworks, or in-depth insights into the use of blockchain in financial services. About 250 primary articles were located and ultimately narrowed down to a set of 50 highly relevant articles by screening abstracts and full-text to ensure that they were of high quality and

relevance to the topic under examination.[1]  
**2. Thematic Analysis:** The literature chosen was subjected to a thematic analysis so as to group and generalize the common concepts, challenges, opportunities and technological advances. This process involved: Preliminary marking of identifying concepts and ideas in the articles. Determining the general trends associated with the development of blockchain, smart contract features, and industry-specific implementations. Mapping interconnections among named themes to form a comprehensive grasp of the topic issue.

The given method helped to provide the literature review in a structured form, presenting a logical storyline that moves through the foundations up to the complicated applications and their consequences.[2]  
**3. Case Study Analysis:** Implementations and pilot projects were examined in the real world, to offer practical cases of the blockchain and smart contract technologies in action. The case studies were chosen on basis of the relevance to trade finance, supply chain management, and cross-border payments with consideration to efforts by large financial institutions, technology vendors, and industry consortia. The case study data were derived on the basis of published reports, whitepapers and scholarly analyses of the objectives of the project, the architectural design, implementation successes and performance measures.[3]

**4. Quantitative Data Synthesis:** Statistical results and performance measures were derived and combined where possible in the literature and case studies to measure the benefits (e.g., cost savings, efficiency gains, risk reduction) and limitations (e.g., scaling limits, power usage). This quantitative aspect empowers the level of analysis and justifies conclusions that are evidence-based.[4] [5][6]  
The approach focuses on having a balanced approach where both the transformative gains and the practical challenges related to implementing these high-tech technologies are considered. The hybridization of these research methods has allowed this paper to present a solid and comprehensive analysis of the Blockchain 3.0 and smart contract ecosystems concerning the outlined financial operations.

### Literature Review / Thematic Analysis

### **Evolution of Blockchain Technology: From Cryptocurrency to Blockchain 3.0**

The history of blockchain technology has followed very specific intervals of development, with each period being marked by the technology and its functionality being improved in terms of the scope of its applications. The first one, commonly referred to as Blockchain 1.0, was inaugurated with Bitcoin and it is mainly used to conduct decentralized transactions of digital currencies. [7] Its basic breakthrough was to establish a distributed, immutable registry that was guaranteed by cryptographic concepts and a consensus-mechanism, and it did not require a central node to carry out peer-to-peer transactions.[8] This initial phase laid the groundwork of the notions of decentralization, transparency and immutability as the primary principles of the technology.

The concept of smart contracts was brought about by blockchain 2.0, which was represented by Ethereum. This generation made it possible to program self-executing contractual agreements directly on the blockchain, and execute conditions traditionally automatically and without trust on meeting previously set conditions.[9] [10]. This growth took the blockchain out of transfers of currency funds to facilitate a broad spectrum of decentralized applications (dApps) in many different industries, such as finance, supply chain, and identity management. This programmability of Blockchain 2.0 expanded its potential use considerably, but posed issues of scalability, energy usage, and throughput in enterprise applications in particular, especially at scale.[11][12][13]

Blockchain 3.0 will be a continuation of the development, with a characteristic of overcoming the weaknesses of its predecessors at the expense of enterprise-level solutions, higher levels of scalability, interoperability, as well as sustainability. In this generation, improved consensus mechanisms (e.g., Proof-of-Stake, Delegated Proof-of-Stake, Byzantine Fault Tolerance variants), sharding and layer-2 are commonly used to enable higher transaction throughput and reduced latency.[14] [15] The availability of Interoperability between blockchain networks, or signalling and transfer of information between distinct blockchain networks, is a

fundamental aspect of Blockchain 3.0, enabling a multi-chain ecosystem as opposed to independent platforms.[16][17] [18] This facilitates compound cross-platform financial products and combined chain of supply remedies. Moreover, emphasis on energy efficiency and compliance with regulations is at the center of Blockchain 3.0 making it more widely adopted by institutions and the government.. This evolution can be seen in platforms such as Hyperledger Fabric and R3 Corda, which are custom-built to be used in permissioned enterprise settings, are more governance-oriented with privacy requirements needed in the regulated industries.

### **Smart Contracts: Mechanisms, Design, and Legal Considerations**

Smart contracts are self-executing agreements with the terms of the agreement directly written into lines of code. These digital agreements are recorded and copied into a network of blockchain that makes them transparent and unalterable. They are automated and deterministic in nature and can be executed automatically at the exact time that conditions are fulfilled without intermediaries.. Such mechanism decreases transaction cost, speeds up processes and rise counterparty risk, by implementing cryptographic security as a measure of compliance.

Smart contracts have several important elements of their design. First, the contractual reasoning needs to be directly compiled into code to be executed, usually in a dedicated programming language, such as Solidity on Ethereum. Second, real life data (e.g., exchange rates, shipping data) often have to be fed into the contract by external data input, or otherwise known as oracle (e.g., an oracle that has to be a real oracle). Third, the smart contract should have a connection with the back-end blockchain to capture the changes of states and perform functions, including paying money or transferring digital assets [19]. The most important aspect here is security in design because the weaknesses in the code can result into irreparable loss of finance, since blockchain is immutable. [20] High standards in auditing and testing are thus fundamental in their development.[21]

The area of legal consideration around smart contracts is still complicated. Although their

self-executing nature is efficient, most have a strong range of legal enforceability and recognition even within different jurisdictions.[22] [23]. Some questions remain on the interpretation of contracts, resolution of disputes and whether or not code constitutes a binding contract. Know Your Customer (KYC) compliance checks and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) compliance checks combined into programmable contracts is a system to perform legal compliance within regulated financial markets framed as a mechanism.[24] [25][26] [27]. Other frameworks suggest a hybrid variant, where conventional legal agreements are being utilized with blockchain-based execution in order to secure the effectiveness of technologies and legal recourse consequently.[28] In addition, legal and operational complexities exist in the issue of updating or amending immutable smart contracts, similar to software maintenance, as it is unique to the legal and operational framework.[29] [20] These factors bring out the necessity of continuous legal innovation in order to keep up with technological changes.

### **Blockchain in Trade Finance: Opportunities and Challenges**

The field of trade finance, which has long been based on paper-intensive processes, multiple intermediaries and opaque communication channels, is one that is highly suitable to be transformed by blockchain applications. The blockchain properties of immutability, transparency and decentralization directly deal with most of the risks and inefficiencies inherent in the existing operations of trade finance.[30] [31][32]

Opportunities for enhancement include:

1. **Reduced Transaction Costs and Time:** By digitizing documents like letters of credit (LCs) and bills of lading onto a distributed ledger, and automating their execution via smart contracts, the processing time for trade transactions can be significantly reduced. Traditional LC processing can take days or weeks, while blockchain solutions can shrink this to hours or even minutes [30]. This accelerates cash flow for exporters and importers, reducing working capital requirements.
2. **Enhanced Transparency and Trust:** A shared, immutable ledger provides all authorized participants (banks, buyers,

sellers, logistics providers) with real-time visibility into the transaction status and document authenticity. This mitigates fraud, double financing, and disputes, fostering greater trust among parties [33].

3. **Improved Accessibility for SMEs:** Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) often face difficulties accessing trade finance due to high costs and stringent collateral requirements. Blockchain solutions, by reducing risk and making transactions more transparent, can lower barriers to entry, enabling greater access to financing [30] [34].
4. **Streamlined Compliance:** Integrated Know Your Customer (KYC) and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) processes within blockchain platforms can automate compliance checks, reducing manual effort and ensuring regulatory adherence across borders [24].

However, the adoption of blockchain in trade finance encounters several challenges:

- **Interoperability:** The existence of multiple, disparate blockchain platforms (e.g., Marco Polo, We.trade) necessitates solutions for seamless communication and data exchange across different networks [15].
- **Regulatory Uncertainty:** The legal frameworks governing digital assets and smart contracts are still evolving, leading to ambiguities regarding enforceability and jurisdictional issues [35][36].
- **Ecosystem Adoption:** Widespread adoption requires network effects, where all participants in a trade ecosystem commit to using the technology. Inertia from established practices and significant upfront investment can hinder this [35].
- **Data Privacy:** While transparency is a benefit, sensitive commercial data requires careful management, often leading to the use of permissioned blockchains where access is restricted to authorized parties [14].

Despite these hurdles, the quantifiable benefits in terms of efficiency, cost reduction, and risk mitigation continue to drive pilot projects and gradual integration within the trade finance sector [3] [4].

### **Supply Chain Finance and Liquidity: Automation, Transparency, and Resilience**

Supply chain finance (SCF) is an effort to maximize working capital both on buyer and supplier side of a supply chain based on the creditworthiness of anchor buyers. [37]

Conventional SCF commonly encounters problems of information asymmetry, distrust of various participants, and manual and paper-based operations, which result in delays and higher expenses. The use of blockchain, and, especially, with the ability to utilize smart contracts, is an attractive solution to improving automation, transparency, and resilience in SCF processes.[38][39]

Smart contracts allow automation of payments made once certain events, like the delivery of goods or the approval of invoices, are confirmed. This eliminates human touch, minimizes the error and shortens the payback period, enhancing supplier liquidity. As an example, a smart contract can make payments to a supplier on the spot after a logistics provider has recorded something showing delivery on the blockchain, skipping long-term invoice payment procedures. This has proven to reduce settlement time of weeks to minutes and cut down costs of transactions up to 35 percent in supply chains of agriculture products.

It massively improves transparency because all licensed parties will have access to a distributed and unchangeable list of transactions, orders, and logistics information. [40] The visibility aids in confirming the genuineness of products, assisting in tracking their origin along with preventing fraud, particularly in intricate global supply chains. To lenders, this enhanced transparency would give them a better view of financial health and performance in the supply chain, enabling them to make more informed decisions about funding and possibly mitigating the risk of defaults.[41] [42][43] [44]. In agri-finance supply chains, a nearly half decrease in default risks have been proposed through the use of smart contracts in supply chains

The fact that blockchain allows us to have real-time data and uncensored records is valuable to resiliency in supply chains because of their need to respond quickly to disruptions.[45]. Open supply chain data can be used in the case of unexpected events, such as a natural disaster or a geopolitical upheaval, to find the affected nodes more promptly and create prompt rerouting or mitigation plans. E.g. the pharmaceutical industry, which entails the distribution of goods worldwide with complexities, can use blockchain to improve their sustainability and resiliency by tracing the authenticity of the products and

maintaining compliance levels. [46] This is also to aid in minimizing the ripple impact of disruption, through enhanced visibility and control.[47] [48] Difficulties persist, though, together with the requirement of powerful information management, recommendation with outdated systems and total stakeholder coordination throughout intricate supply chains[49].

### **Cross-Border Treasury Operations: Streamlining Payments, Compliance, and Risk Management**

Other important spheres of blockchain innovation include cross-border treasury operations, which, due to high transaction cost and long settlement times, fragmented regulation, and natural counterparty risks, have been traditionally cumbersome. . Though fundamental, the correspondent banking network is not always transparent and subject to compliance violations and fraud. The smart contracts built on blockchain technology could offer a revolutionary solution to these inefficiencies, as it presents a platform to automate and secure the international settlements.

One of the fundamental benefits is facilitating domestic and international payments. The distributed ledger Architecture of blockchain can facilitate real-time gross settlement (RTGS), payment-versus-payment (PvP), and delivery-versus-payment (DvP) structures, which greatly shortens settlement periods; days can be reduced to minutes. . This increase in acceleration is the benefit of the treasuries since they can release funds faster and it became unnecessary to resort to pre-funding of different currencies which is costly. Transaction costs are also proved to be reduced due to the avoidance of various intermediary variables and lessening overhead operational expenses. [50][51] An example is the end-to-end costs on some blockchain payment networks reported to be reduced by 80% over legacy systems. [52].

Know Your Customer (KYC), Anti-Money Laundering (AML), and Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) compliance checks are directly integrated into Know Your Customer (KYC) or the Anti-Money Laundering (AML) compliance checks into programmable smart contracts. . The compliance with laws and, at the same time, minimizing operational bottlenecks and manual verification are

possible thanks to this automation. The unchangeable audit trail and blockchain is also beneficial to regulators to perform their duties as it increases transparency and responsibility in financial flows. This is especially applicable in the complicated international financial structures where the regulatory provisions differ among the jurisdictions. [53].

The application of blockchain in risk management has the advantage of reducing counterparty risk and improving security. Distribution of the ledger and cryptographic security prevents tampering of transactions making fraud less likely to occur. Real-time settlement reduces the market fluctuation exposures when long settlement periods are made. Nevertheless, there are still some difficulties, such as the necessity of strong interoperability principles of different blockchain networks and legal understanding of blockchain-based transactions within the international borders, and diverse regulations of comparable digital assets in various jurisdictions.. Nonetheless, blockchain is an important improvement to the work of world treasuries due to its efficiency benefits and increased auditability.

### **Analysis / Discussion Disruptive Impact on Financial Intermediaries and Industry Structures**

The dissemination of Blockchain 3.0 and advanced smart contract environments has a far-reaching disruptive impact on incumbent financial intermediaries and typical industry models. Historically, the provision of trust services and the verification of transactions and information asymmetry has contributed significantly to the revenues accrued by traditional financial institutions, i.e., correspondent banks, clearinghouses, and custodians.[54] This is directly challenged by the fact that blockchain is able to create trustless environments with cryptographic security and consensus mechanisms that do not rely on the presence of trust. [55][56] The Automation of Smart contracts makes it possible to engage in direct peer-to-peer transactions and this could disintermediate bodies that historically handled contractual agreements and flows of payments. When it comes to cross-border payments, such as decreasing the number of middlemen, the cut in transaction costs and time to settle, weakening the value proposition of the

traditional correspondent banking network.. As applied to trade finance, replacing banks with blockchain to automate document implementation and processing might simplify operations, and thereby do not rely on banks to manually verify and reconcile their paper-based instruments such as Letters of credit. This does not mean that the financial institutions will automatically be completely obsolete but this means that they will change their direction. Banks can become facilitators of blockchain networks and/or offer niche digital asset services or build blockchain infrastructure that is compliant. They are able to capitalize on their current customer bases, regulatory experience, and capital to create and run permissioned blockchains of particular industry consortia, like the JPMorgan Onyx initiatives. Competitive conditions change, in favor of those institutions that would be able to adapt through the introduction of DLT, providing value-added services over blockchain platforms, and through adoption of new business models.[57]. The rise of the so-called decentralized finance (DeFi) ecosystems, although the latter is quite different, demonstrates the even greater utility of alternative financial models with no need to involve the party in question, making the latter innovate or be pushed to the outskirts.

### **Case Studies: Real-World Implementations and Outcomes JPMorgan Onyx and Inter-bank Blockchain Platforms**

One noticeable case of a major financial institution that is taking advantage of blockchain technology in wholesale payments is Onyx by JPMorgan. Introduced in late 2020, Onyx is an interbank settlement based on a permissioned blockchain network, Quorum (an Ethereum-based protocol), to support interbank settlement and tokenized asset transfers. This system has a key component, the JPM Coin, which is a stablecoin pegged to U.S. dollars deposited at JPMorgan Chase and allows instant transfer of the value [58] [59]. Among the major impacts has been that settlement time on interbank transactions has been shortened to almost real-time, which was previously taking a period of multi-days. An example of this is the initial reports by JPMorgan, claiming that billions of dollars worth of intraday repurchases agreements (repos) have occurred via the Onyx network, since this proves more efficient in capital

terms among respective banks. Programmable payments can also be performed there via smart contracts, allowing automated implementation of cumbersome financial contracts, e.g. collateral management. This regulated, authorized space meets important institutional needs of privacy, scalability and regulatory compliance, rendering it appropriate to large scale financial transactions.

#### Ripple's Cross-Border Payment Networks

Ripple, with its XRP Ledger and related technologies, such as RippleNet, is intent on streamlining financial institution cross-border payments. Ripple is designed more than a public blockchain where a high-volume transaction is highlighted in terms of speed and cost-efficiency. The main argument is that the relays the slow, expensive and opaque correspondent banking system with a faster, cheaper and open system. XRP is used as a bridge currency in the RippleNet, a network of the banks and payment providers to access on-demand liquidity (ODL), which means that middle-grounds (nostro/vostro accounts where money is kept) do not have to be funded beforehand in the destination currencies. This minimizes the foreign exchange expenses and working capital needs. According to data published by Ripple, the transactions that are completed with the help of ODL can be settled almost instantly (with seconds) and their cost is 80 percent less than the one associated with traditional wire transfers. As an illustration, examining research, the assistance of blockchain in cross-border financial systems may achieve a 71.9% and 95.7% reduction in the mean expense and transaction time, respectively. Although it is not a pure decentralized public blockchain, the Ripple model, based on the principles of DLTs, is aimed at eliminating certain pain points in international transfers to appeal to a network of more than 300 financial institutions all over the world.

#### Blockchain in Agricultural Contract Farming Systems

Blockchain and smart contract applications in the agricultural industry and mainly the contract farming have played a key role in solving the problem of trust and transparency and the lack of access to funds by small farmers. Contract farming is a common

practice between farmers and purchasers on future produce but the contracts are prone to issues of late payments, quality differences and inaccessibility of credit by farmers.

Another example is the blockchain system b\_verify, an open-source implementation of a blockchain that uses Bitcoin to supply chains with transparency in agricultural contexts. The system enables the operational capacity of a firm as well as its transactions in terms of inventory to be verified at low cost hence allowing better terms of finance to the farmers and suppliers. [60][61]. Smart contracts are implemented to pay off on verifiable events, e.g., on the delivery of crops by IoT sensors or on quality measurements documented on the ledger. According to simulation modelling, agri-finance smart contracts can help lower transaction costs by up to 35 percent, decrease settlement periods by weeks to minutes, and decrease default risks by almost half. This increases liquidity to farmers, establishing trust between the two and making the entire supply chain more resilient to fraud and conflict.[62]

#### Supply Chain Provenance and Anti-Fraud Applications

The blockchain immutable registry offers a powerful solution to the origin to consumer product tracking, being a key factor in minimizing important concerns about provenance, authenticity, and anti-fraud in supply chains. These solutions have been used in several industries especially those that are prone to counterfeiting or those that require high levels of transparency. This is demonstrated with the use by Walmart of Hyperledger Fabric to monitor fresh produce. In a test of tracking the provenance of a mango, Walmart recorded all tracked stages of the product (farm) to the store in seconds, a feat that used to take days or weekends. This significantly enhances food safety as food contaminated can be recalled quickly. In much the same way, TradeLens platform by Maersk and IBM digitalizes and tracks shipping containers around the world, providing real-time insights and lessening administrative overhead on the logistics chain participants of the logistics chain. It increases transparency, saves on costs of documents handling and alleviates risks with fraudulent documentation. Blockchain provenance could also be relevant in high-value items, like the

luxury market, or pharmaceuticals, which would ensure that fake products do not find their way into the market. This process secures the anti-fraud protection within the supply chain since it is hard to tamper with provenance records under the pretense of any malicious third party since the blockchain record is immutable.

### **Statistical Evidence: Quantifying Efficiency, Cost Savings, and Risk Reduction**

The integration of blockchain and smart contracts in any financial and supply chain processes is always evidenced in the quantifiable advantages of such integration by empirical investigation and pilot projects. These advantages are mainly reflected in the form of increased efficiency, massive cost reduction and significant minimization of risks involved.

In inter-country payment systems, such as blockchain-based solutions, the transaction costs and the time to settle are greatly lowered by blockchain-based systems. Research has shown that the systems may result in a reduction of the cost of cross-border transactions by removing middleman fees and overheads, with certain networks recording a 80 percent decrease of cross-border transactions costs in comparison to conventional correspondent relationships frameworks. Multi-day settlement, where traditional systems typically take many days, can become many minutes (or even fewer seconds) with DLT, which enhances treasury liquidity.. To be precise, a study indicates that cross-border financial activities that involve blockchain would save an average of 71.9 percentage points of cost, and 95.7 percentage points of transaction time. In supply chain finance, smart contracts are core to automation of payment trigger, cash flow velocity. Simulation models on agri-finance supply chains indicate that smart contracts can save transaction costs by up to 35 percent and can earn settlement time in weeks down to several minutes. Moreover, these models are estimated to reduce the risks of default by approximately half with the help of increased transparency, and computer-based check-ups. The unalterable history offered by blockchain also helps in the fight against fraud and in making tracing the transaction more easily, which directly leads to lower

operational risks and increased resilience, specifically in those spaces that are most sensitive to counterfeiting or complicated logistics.

The benefits of efficiency spread to auditability and compliance. The natural openness and irreversibility of blockchain records make regulation reporting and auditing easier. Smart contracts with integrated KYC/AML checks can be used to simplify compliance, which typically involves a lot of manual work and may lead to a human error. This results in stronger risk management systems in digital finance institutions, with high positive coefficients between automated controls and fraud detection rates (0.68), accuracy of regulatory reporting (0.72) and overall effectiveness of risk management (0.75).[63][64] These numerical conclusions confirm the obvious economic and operation benefits provided by the popularization of the Blockchain 3.0 and smart contract technologies.

### **Regulatory Challenges, Governance, and Adoption Barriers**

Although there is a technological prospective, the broad use of Blockchain 3.0 and smart contract landscapes has major regulatory obstacles, governance dilemmas, and a multiplicity of real-world impediments. The privacy and borderless features of blockchain technology are often inconsistent with the laws and regulations that typically have a geographical range.

#### **Regulatory Challenges:**

**Legal Environment of Smart Contracts:** The legal enforceability and legal status of self-executing smart contracts remains questionable in numerous jurisdictions, and thus ambiguous.. Issues of interpretation of the contract, mechanisms involved in the dispute resolution as well as liability in case of error in code are still not clearly decided.

**Data Privacy and GDPR:** Public blockchains Data privacy Since blockchain is immutable, and data cannot be erased may have conflicting implications with the provisions of the right to be forgotten in data protection regulations such as GDPR. The controlled blockchains are also permitted but come with the problem of centralization.

**AML/KYC Compliance:** Although blockchain can potentially automate part of compliance, it

is complicated to incorporate into decentralized systems in a globally consistent fashion, existing AML (Anti-Money Laundering) and KYC (Know Your Customer) requirements.

**Jurisdiction Arbitrage:** Regulation of blockchain transactions is challenging because it has a global nature, cross-border transactions, and countries can have laws that conflict with each other, creating potential regulatory arbitrage or enforcement challenges.

#### **Governance Issues:**

**Standardization and Interoperability:** The spread of dissimilar blockchain protocols and platforms results in silos. Smoothing Wedges There is no generally accepted data transfer and inter-chain communication standard, which impedes the development of a genuinely integrated financial ecosystem.

**Consortium Governance:** In the case of enterprise blockchains, robust and equitable governance frameworks between several and possibly conflicting parties are difficult to set up. The protocol upgrades, dispute resolution and access management procedures are protocols that need strong frameworks in making decisions.

#### **Adoption Barriers:**

**Scalability:** Most public blockchains have difficulties with throughput of transactions, constraining their use in high-volume financial applications. Although this is solved by Blockchain 3.0, there is an on-going quest to have enterprise-scale scalability, without sacrificing the decentralization.

**Interoperability with TD Systems:** Current financial infrastructure is huge investments. Introducing blockchain solutions into these notoriously complicated and entrenched systems is an important technical and financial challenge.

**Cost and Expertise** Blockchain development and deployment, as well as the talent requirement, can be very expensive at the beginning. The lack of specialists in blockchain is another obstacle to this issue.[65]

**Network Effects:** The value of blockchain networks increases with the number of participants. The first stumbling block of reaching a critical mass of stakeholders to embrace a new system is a challenge.[66].

**Organizational Readiness:** Resistance to change, lack of knowledge in organizations can hamper successful implementation.[67]. These complex issues necessitate co-operative action by the policy makers, industry consortia and technology providers to work out a synchronous requests and policies, interoperable standards, and realistic implementation plans.[68].

#### **Sustainability and Scalability Concerns in Blockchain Ecosystems**

Although blockchain has transformative potential, its long-term sustainability largely depends on how well it can solve some severe issues that surround its applicability in terms of sustainability and scalability. These coupled problems have a considerable effect on the environmental footprint and efficiency of blockchain-based solutions, especially as they move toward being enterprise-ready solutions.

#### **Sustainability Concerns:**

**Energy Usage:** Early blockchain versions, in particular the ones based on Proof-of-Work (PoW) consensus mechanisms (e.g., Bitcoin), are infamously power-consuming. The energy used to calculate a mining needs a lot of electricity which poses an environmental challenge and adds to global carbon emission. Though completely different estimates exist, comparison with Bitcoin alone has frequently been made to the amount of energy used by small nations each year.

**Move to Greener Alternatives:** More energy-saving consensus algorithms are being implemented in Blockchain 3.0 platforms: Proof-of-Stake (PoS), Delegated Proof-of-Stake (DPoS), or other Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) protocols. As an example, the shift of Ethereum to PoS (Ethereum 2.0) target is expected to decrease its emissions by more than 99 times. These options can have an extraordinary less negative impact on the environment, making blockchain closer to corporate sustainability goals.

**Hardware Waste:** Yet another environmental challenge is hardware waste whereby, due to the rapid obsolescence of specialized mining hardware (ASICs) in PoW systems, electronic waste is generated. PoS and other consensus schemes help to alleviate this, by depending less on heavy hardware.

#### **Scalability Concerns:**

**Transaction Throughput:** One of the shortcomings of most traditional blockchains is poor transaction throughput (transactions per second, TPS). Bitcoin has an operations rate of about 7 TPS and Ethereum 15-30 TPS in the early stages. This does not support large financial operations that need a high volume of thousands of TPS.

**Blockchain 3.0 Solutions:** Newer blockchains deal with scalability in a number of ways: **Sharding:** a blockchain can be split into smaller and often partially connected parts (shards) that can execute transactions simultaneously, thus boosting throughput. **Layer-2 Solutions:** Layer-2 protocols are protocols constructed over the underlying blockchain (Layer-1) to transact off-chain, and subsequently have their transactions resolved on the underlying blockchain periodically. The examples are Lightning Network with Bitcoin and Rollups with Ethereum. **Improved Consensus Mechanisms** PoS and BFT-based mechanisms are all more likely to achieve high finality and transaction rates, as they do not rely on large-scale puzzle computations as PoW does. **Permissioned Blockchains:** Enterprise-oriented systems such as Hyperledger Fabric and R3 Corda are envisioned to be scaled more to controlled conditions (with thousands of TPS often being possible), based on having fewer nodes of interest and with more dedicated consensus mechanisms.

**Data Storage:** In large scales, blockchains must increase the size of their ledger, which may present storage performance challenges on nodes, causing the concept of decentralization to fail in the event only powerful machines can become full nodes. State channel and pruning solutions can be used to address data footprint. In the quest to balance decentralization, security, and scalability, also known as the blockchain trilemma, is one of the main aspects of research and development. The developments in Blockchain 3.0 are explicitly aimed at addressing these shortcomings to facilitate wider, more enduring, and effective implementation across the key financial infrastructure.

### Conclusion

The third-generation development of blockchain technology, paired with the advanced functionality of smart contract

environments, offers an interesting structure that can cause important change in terms of trade finance, supply-chain liquidity and cross-border treasury. Such innovations solve many of the problems that have long existed in the financial inefficiencies, risk potentials and high costs of running traditional financial structures. The theoretical levels of 3.0 blockchain, which include increased scalability, interoperability and heightened focus on energy efficiency and compliance with regulations shift the technology beyond its crypto-foundations into potential uses by businesses of the enterprise grade. The key element of this shift is self-executing digital contracts (smart contracts) which facilitate complex transactional procedures, enforce a contract without intermediaries and ensure a non-modifiable audit trail. In trade finance, this is translated into shorter transaction times, decreased costs as well as improved transparency and helps to tackle the problem of fraud and double financing. In the case of supply chain liquidity, smart contracts hasten the time of payment, minimize risks of defaults, and generate increased trust among various participants, with simulation showing a nearly half reduction in the default risks of agri-finance supply chains. The benefits of a cross-border Treasury activity are that they provide much quicker settlement time, lower transaction costs (as low as 80 percent in certain situations), and a smooth compliance regime by combining KYC/AML validations. The fact that financial intermediaries are being disrupted is incontrovertible, and traditional institutions have to re-strategize their business models. Although this process can disintermediate some positions, new value-added service provision positions, functioning compliant blockchain networks, and creating digital assets solutions are being created. Examples of successful application of blockchain in interbank and cross-border payments include Case Studies like JPMorgan Onyx and RippleNet where efficiency and cost-effectiveness have been seen to be real improvements. On the same note, agricultural contract farming and supply chain provenance applications demonstrate the potential the technology has to achieve greater transparency, trust, and anti-fraud at complex value chains.

However, the path to widespread adoption is not without obstacles. Significant challenges

include evolving regulatory landscapes, the need for robust interoperability standards across diverse blockchain platforms, and the substantial investment required for integrating DLT with legacy systems. Addressing sustainability concerns, particularly energy consumption associated with certain blockchain designs, and continually improving scalability remain critical for ensuring long-term viability. Despite these complexities, the quantifiable benefits in terms of operational efficiency, cost reduction, and risk mitigation underscore the significant potential of Blockchain 3.0 and smart contract ecosystems to redefine the future of global finance and trade.

### References

- [1]S. Singh, R. Jaiswal, S. Gupta, and C. Kumar, "What we know and what should we know about the future of blockchain in finance," *F1000Research*, vol. 13. F1000 Research Ltd, p. 1051, Sep. 12, 2024. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.153215.1.
- [2]N. Borodacheva, "Blockchain for Supply Chain Resilience, Transparency, and Trust: a Multi-Case Study Analysis." May 2025.
- [3]A. Basumatary and S. Joshi, "Adoption Of Blockchain In Trade Finance And Its Impact On Financial Decision Making," 2022 *International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Applications (DASA)*. IEEE, pp. 556–559, Mar. 23, 2022. doi: 10.1109/dasa54658.2022.9765150.
- [4]"Blockchain-driven optimization of financial systems: enhancing transparency and efficiency in cross-border transactions and regulatory compliance," *Economic Annals-XXI*, vol. 214, no. 3–4. Institute of Society Transformation sp. z o.o., pp. 58–63, Apr. 29, 2025. doi: 10.21003/ea.v214-08.
- [5]D. S. P. Singh, "Smart Contracts in Agri-Finance Supply Chains," *Scientific Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain Technologies*, vol. 2, no. 2. Resagate Global, Apr. 01, 2025. doi: 10.63345/sjaibt.v2.i2.102.
- [6]R. Haider, Md. R. Amin, Md. S. Arifat, I. Hossain, and R. Ahmad, "Smart Automation: Revolutionizing Business Operations, Advertising Costs and Customer Satisfaction Through Technology," *European Economic Letters*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. null, 2026, [Online]. Available: <http://eelet.org.uk>
- [7]T. Kukman and S. Gričar, "Blockchain for Quality: Advancing Security, Efficiency, and Transparency in Financial Systems," *FinTech*, vol. 4, no. 1. MDPI AG, p. 7, Feb. 05, 2025. doi: 10.3390/fintech4010007.
- [8]R. Saxena, "Examining Blockchain's Revolutionary Effect on Financial Systems and Supply Chain Management," *International Journal of Advanced Research in Commerce, Management & Social Science*, vol. 08, no. 03(II). Inspira, pp. 148–154, Sep. 26, 2025. doi: 10.62823/ijarcms/8.3(ii).7981.
- [9]Z. Zheng *et al.*, "An overview on smart contracts: Challenges, advances and platforms," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 105. Elsevier BV, pp. 475–491, Apr. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.future.2019.12.019.
- [10]"Smart Contracts Implementation, Applications, Benefits, and Limitations," *Journal of Information Engineering and Applications*. International Institute for Science, Technology and Education, Sep. 2019. doi: 10.7176/jiea/9-5-07.
- [11]E. Leka, B. Selimi, and L. Lamani, "Systematic Literature Review of Blockchain Applications: Smart Contracts," 2019 *International Conference on Information Technologies (InfoTech)*. IEEE, pp. 1–3, Sep. 2019. doi: 10.1109/infotech.2019.8860872.
- [12]"Generative AI and Quantum-Inspired Optimization: Redefining Portfolio Risk Management and Real-Time Capital Allocation in Volatile Markets," *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.52783/jier.v6i1.4540.
- [13]Z. Tang, "Advancing Blockchain Ecosystems: Smart Contract Security and Scalability Examined." [Online]. Available: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/273400037>
- [14]Srinivas Allam, "Financial Institutions' Integration of Blockchain Technology for Cross-Border Payment Optimization: A Systematic Analysis," *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, vol. 7, no. 8. Al-Kindi Center for Research and Development, pp. 70–76, Jul. 26, 2025. doi: 10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.8.9.
- [15]A. Šilenskytė, J. Butkevičienė, and A. Bartminas, "Blockchain-based connectivity within digital platforms and ecosystems in international business," *Journal of International Management*, vol. 30, no. 3. Elsevier BV, p. 101109, Jun. 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.intman.2023.101109.
- [16]L. Hughes, Y. K. Dwivedi, S. K. Misra, N. P. Rana, V. Raghavan, and V. Akella,

- “Blockchain research, practice and policy: Applications, benefits, limitations, emerging research themes and research agenda,” *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 49. Elsevier BV, pp. 114–129, Dec. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.02.005.
- [17]R. Haider, T. Dwivedi, A. G. Girish, N. Verma, B. Kashyap, and V. S. Dubey, “Neuromarketing Approaches to Shaping Healthy Consumer Choices: An Integrative Analysis of Methods, Efficacy, and Ethical Considerations,” *International Journal of Drug Delivery Technology*, vol. 16, no. 4s, pp. 512–524, 2026, doi: 10.25258/ijddt.16.4s.62.
- [18]K. Steenmans, P. Taylor, and I. Steenmans, “Regulatory Opportunities and Challenges for Blockchain Adoption for Circular Economies,” *2021 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain (Blockchain)*. IEEE, pp. 572–577, Dec. 2021. doi: 10.1109/blockchain53845.2021.00086.
- [19]C. Sillaber and B. Wlatl, “Life Cycle of Smart Contracts in Blockchain Ecosystems,” *Datenschutz und Datensicherheit - DuD*, vol. 41, no. 8. Springer Science and Business Media LLC, pp. 497–500, Aug. 2017. doi: 10.1007/s11623-017-0819-7.
- [20]A. Vacca, A. Di Sorbo, C. A. Visaggio, and G. Canfora, “A systematic literature review of blockchain and smart contract development: Techniques, tools, and open challenges,” *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 174. Elsevier BV, p. 110891, Apr. 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2020.110891.
- [21]A. Novalita Savitri, M. Hardini, and G. Yao, “Risk Management Model for Compliance and Security in Blockchain Powered Payment Platforms,” *APTISI Transactions on Management (ATM)*, vol. 9, no. 2. Pandawan Sejahtera Indonesia, May 31, 2025. doi: 10.33050/atm.v9i2.2475.
- [22]R. jaiswal, “Smart Contracts and the Law: Enforceability and Regulatory Challenges of Smart Contracts,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18606978.
- [23]R. jaiswal, “Smart Contracts and the Law: Enforceability and Regulatory Challenges of Smart Contracts,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18606977.
- [24]E. Ayodele, M. A. Oye, B. C. Alimi, and S. B. Obitolu, “Investigating blockchain-based smart contracts for cross-border payment settlement, regulatory compliance and risk reduction in international finance,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.17265201.
- [25]Emmanuel Ayodele, Micheal Aduraseyi Oye, Bukola Christianah Alimi, and Samuel Bolade Obitolu, “Investigating blockchain-based smart contracts for cross-border payment settlement, regulatory compliance and risk reduction in international finance,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 16, no. 2. GSC Online Press, pp. 052–073, Aug. 30, 2025. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.16.2.2290.
- [26]H. Raiyan, Md. F. I. Shaif, R. Ahmed, N. H. Nafi, M. R. Sumon, and M. Rahman, “Assessing the impact of influencer marketing on brand value and business revenue: An empirical and thematic analysis,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 16, no. 02, pp. 471–482, 2025, doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.16.2.2355.
- [27]E. Ayodele, M. A. Oye, B. C. Alimi, and S. B. Obitolu, “Investigating blockchain-based smart contracts for cross-border payment settlement, regulatory compliance and risk reduction in international finance,” *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.17265202.
- [28]V. Dwivedi, V. Pattanaik, V. Deval, A. Dixit, A. Norta, and D. Draheim, “Legally Enforceable Smart-Contract Languages,” *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 54, no. 5. Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), pp. 1–34, Jun. 05, 2021. doi: 10.1145/3453475.
- [29]A. Vacca, A. Di Sorbo, A. Visaggio Corrado, and C. Gerardo, “A systematic literature review of blockchain and smart contract development: Techniques, tools, and open challenges.” *Morressier*, Sep. 16, 2021. doi: 10.26226/morressier.613b5418842293c031b5b620.
- [30]A. V. Bogucharskov, I. E. Pokamestov, K. R. Adamova, and Zh. N. Tropina, “Adoption of Blockchain Technology in Trade Finance Process,” *RePEc: Research Papers in Economics*.
- [31]H. Raiyan, Md. F. I. Shaif, R. Ahmed, N. H. Nafi, M. R. Sumon, and M. Rahman, “The influence of social media branding on consumer purchase behavior: A comprehensive empirical and thematic

- analysis,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 16, no. 02, pp. 460–470, 2025, doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.16.2.2354.
- [32]A. V. Bogucharskov, I. E. Pokamestov, K. R. Adamova, and Zh. N. Tropina, “Adoption of Blockchain Technology in Trade Finance Process,” *Journal of Reviews on Global Economics*, vol. 7. Lifescience Global, pp. 510–515, Nov. 12, 2018. doi: 10.6000/1929-7092.2018.07.47.
- [33]A. Joshi, M. Ranade, N. Patvardhan, and P. Sharma, “An Enquiry into the Adoption and Effectiveness of Blockchain Technology in Trade Finance,” *2024 IEEE International Students’ Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science (SCEECS)*. IEEE, pp. 1–7, Feb. 24, 2024. doi: 10.1109/sceecs61402.2024.10482370.
- [34]Public Library of Science (PLoS). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0299011.g002.
- [35]K. W. So, “Drivers and Barriers of Leveraging Blockchain Technology in Supply Chain Finance and Trade Finance: A Mixed Methods Approach to Examine the State of Adoption.” Ryerson University Library and Archives, Jun. 19, 2024. doi: 10.32920/26060779.
- [36]H. Raiyan, J. Jafia Tasnim, and C. Satu, “Exploring the link between suicidal ideation and digital environments: The hidden impact of marketing content,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 16, no. 02, pp. 607–614, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.16.2.2353.
- [37]W. Gao and P.-K. Chen, “Analysis of Barriers to Implement Blockchain in Supply Chain Finance,” *2021 3rd International Conference on E-Business and E-commerce Engineering*. ACM, pp. 46–52, Dec. 17, 2021. doi: 10.1145/3510249.3510258.
- [38]T. Zhang, J. Li, and X. JIANG, “Supply chain finance based on smart contract,” *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 187. Elsevier BV, pp. 12–17, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.04.027.
- [39]H. Liu *et al.*, “A Financial Management Platform Based on the Integration of Blockchain and Supply Chain,” *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 3. MDPI AG, p. 1497, Jan. 29, 2023. doi: 10.3390/s23031497.
- [40]E. Fernando, “Key Factor Adoption Blockchain Technology in Smart Supply Management: Literature Review.”
- [41]J. Chod, N. Trichakis, G. Tsoukalas, H. Aspegren, and M. Weber, “On the Financing Benefits of Supply Chain Transparency and Blockchain Adoption,” *DSpace@MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)*, May 2020.
- [42]J. Chod, N. Trichakis, G. Tsoukalas, H. Aspegren, and M. Weber, “Blockchain and the Value of Operational Transparency for Supply Chain Finance,” *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Elsevier BV, 2017. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3078945.
- [43]Raiyan Haider, Wahida Ahmed Megha, Jafia Tasnim Juba, Aroa Alamgir, and Labib Ahmad, “The conversational revolution in health promotion: Investigating chatbot impact on healthcare marketing, patient engagement, and service reach,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 15, no. 3. GSC Online Press, pp. 1585–1592, Jun. 30, 2025. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.3.1937.
- [44]J. Chod, N. Trichakis, G. Tsoukalas, H. Aspegren, and M. Weber, “On the Financing Benefits of Supply Chain Transparency and Blockchain Adoption,” *Management Science*, vol. 66, no. 10. Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences (INFORMS), pp. 4378–4396, Oct. 2020. doi: 10.1287/mnsc.2019.3434.
- [45]A. Lawrence, “How Blockchain Enhances Transparency and Resilience in Global Supply Chains.” Center for Open Science, Apr. 30, 2025. doi: 10.31219/osf.io/357nb\_v1.
- [46]T. Riedel, “Addressing Challenges: Adopting Blockchain Technology in the Pharmaceutical Industry for Enhanced Sustainability,” *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 8. MDPI AG, p. 3102, Apr. 09, 2024. doi: 10.3390/su16083102.
- [47]G. Özçelik, Ö. Faruk Yılmaz, and F. Betül Yeni, “Robust optimisation for ripple effect on reverse supply chain: an industrial case study,” *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 59, no. 1. Informa UK Limited, pp. 245–264, Mar. 17, 2020. doi: 10.1080/00207543.2020.1740348.
- [48]A. T. B. Duong *et al.*, “Ripple effect of disruptions on performance in supply chains: an empirical study,” *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, vol. 31, no. 13. Emerald, pp. 1–22, Apr. 10, 2023. doi: 10.1108/ecam-10-2022-0924.
- [49]G. Caldarelli, A. Zardini, and C. Rossignoli, “Blockchain adoption in the fashion sustainable supply chain: Pragmatically addressing barriers,” *Journal of*

*Organizational Change Management*, vol. 34, no. 2. Emerald, pp. 507–524, Feb. 17, 2021. doi: 10.1108/jocm-09-2020-0299.

[50] Raiyan Haider, Farhan Abrar Ibne Bari, Osru, Nishat Afia, and Mohammad Abiduzzaman Khan Mugdho, “Leveraging internet of things data for real-time marketing: Opportunities, challenges, and strategic implications,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 15, no. 3. GSC Online Press, pp. 1657–1663, Jun. 30, 2025. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.3.1936.

[51] L. Zhou, “Blockchain in Finance: Enhancing Transparency and Security in Cross-Border Transactions,” *Journal of Applied Economics and Policy Studies*, vol. 17, no. 1. EWA Publishing, pp. 56–60, Feb. 19, 2025. doi: 10.54254/2977-5701/2025.21075.

[52] dragon tech, “\ensuremathRevolutionizing CrossBorder Payments in Southeast Asia Through Blockchain Innovation\ensuremath.” Jan. 2025. doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.30536123.v1.

[53] “Analysis of risks and regulatory issues in the development of blockchain finance,” *Academic Journal of Business & Management*, vol. 4, no. 1. Francis Academic Press Ltd., 2022. doi: 10.25236/ajbm.2022.040111.

[54] A. Goswami, “Blockchain technology its disruptive impact of financial intermediaries,” *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT*, vol. 09, no. 06. Edtech Publishers (OPC) Private Limited, pp. 1–9, Jun. 23, 2025. doi: 10.55041/ijrsrem50972.

[55] N. Liu and Z. Ye, “Empirical research on the blockchain adoption – based on TAM,” *Applied Economics*, vol. 53, no. 37. Informa UK Limited, pp. 4263–4275, Mar. 25, 2021. doi: 10.1080/00036846.2021.1898535.

[56] Raiyan Haider, Md Farhan Abrar Ibne Bari, Md. Farhan Israk Shaif, Mushfiqur Rahman, Md. Nahid Hossain Ohi, and Kazi Md Mashrur Rahman, “Quantifying the Impact: Leveraging AI-Powered Sentiment Analysis for Strategic Digital Marketing and Enhanced Brand Reputation Management,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 15, no. 2. GSC Online Press, pp. 1103–1121, May 30, 2025. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.2.1524.

[57] D. Trabucchi, A. Moretto, T. Buganza, and A. MacCormack, “Disrupting the Disruptors or Enhancing Them? How

Blockchain Reshapes Two-Sided Platforms,” *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, vol. 37, no. 6. Wiley, pp. 552–574, Nov. 2020. doi: 10.1111/jpim.12557.

[58] R. Jaiswal, “PRISMA Checklist for article \ensuremathWhat we know and what should we know about the future of blockchain in finance\ensuremath.” Jan. 2024. doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.26805244.v1.

[59] Raiyan Haider, Md Farhan Abrar Ibne Bari, Md. Farhan Israk Shaif, and Mushfiqur Rahman, “Engineering hyper-personalization: Software challenges and brand performance in AI-driven digital marketing management: An empirical study,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 15, no. 2. GSC Online Press, pp. 1122–1141, May 30, 2025. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.2.1525.

[60] Raiyan Haider and Jasmima Sabatina, “Harnessing the power of micro-influencers: A comprehensive analysis of their effectiveness in promoting climate adaptation solutions,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 15, no. 2. GSC Online Press, pp. 595–610, May 30, 2025. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.2.1448.

[61] J. Chod, N. Trichakis, G. Tsoukalas, H. Aspegren, and M. Weber, “The Value of Operational Transparency for Supply Chain Finance and Implications for Blockchain Adoption.” 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/147703112>

[62] S. Kumari and V. K. Agarwal, “BlockchainTechnology—Adoption, Opportunities, and Challenges for a Sustainable Agricultural Ecosystem,” *Digital Agricultural Ecosystem*. Wiley, pp. 191–210, Apr. 16, 2024. doi: 10.1002/9781394242962.ch11.

[63] T. K. Shibahathulla, M. A. Ali, O. Gayyor, and A. Z. Ghaffari, “The role of automated controls and streamlined compliance in managing risks in digital finance,” *International Journal of Financial Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 03. World Scientific Pub Co Pte Ltd, Sep. 2024. doi: 10.1142/s2424786324430047.

[64] Raiyan Haider, “Navigating the digital political landscape: How social media marketing shapes voter perceptions and political brand equity in the 21st Century,” *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, vol. 15, no. 1. GSC Online Press, pp.

- 1736–1744, Apr. 30, 2025. doi: 10.30574/ijrsra.2025.15.1.1217.
- [65]K. Chavali, A. K. V. V., S. Mavuri, C. K. Tiwari, and A. Pal, “Investigation and Modelling of Barriers in Adoption of Blockchain Technology for Accounting and Finance,” *Journal of Global Information Management*, vol. 32, no. 1. IGI Global, pp. 1–23, Sep. 06, 2024. doi: 10.4018/jgim.353960.
- [66]K. W. So, “Drivers and Barriers of Leveraging Blockchain Technology in Supply Chain Finance and Trade Finance: A Mixed Methods Approach to Examine the State of Adoption.” Ryerson University Library and Archives, Jun. 19, 2024. doi: 10.32920/26060779.v1.
- [67]R. V. Rawin Vongurai, “Investigating Industry 4.0, Blockchain Adoption, and Sustainability on Thai Finance’s Operational Excellence,” *GLOBAL BUSINESS FINANCE REVIEW*, vol. 29, no. 5. People and Global Business Association, pp. 88–102, Jun. 30, 2024. doi: 10.17549/gbfr.2024.29.5.88.
- [68]L. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Li, H. Yu, and J. Li, “An ISM-DEMATEL analysis of blockchain adoption decision in the circular supply chain finance context,” *Management Decision*, vol. 62, no. 9. Emerald, pp. 2814–2835, Oct. 03, 2023. doi: 10.1108/md-03-2023-0302.