Hybrid Optimization Approaches: A Systematic Review of the Whale Optimization Algorithm and its Variants

Pooja Jaju; Risab kumar Dubey Sandhya Dahake; Mayuri Rangari Department of MCA, G H Raisoni College of Engineering & Management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Abstract- In this paper, we proposed a hybrid approach that combines the Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) with the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm. Using this hybridization, this paper achieved a balance between exploration and exploitation. combining the strengths of By both algorithms, this hybrid approach enhances solution accuracy and convergence speed. To evaluate its effectiveness, this paper tested the hybrid model using a total of 23 benchmark functions, which provided insights into its performance across various optimization scenarios. This review explores WOA and its hybrid variations, discussing their improvements.

Keywords- Benchmarks, Optimization, Hybridization, Algorithm, Whale Optimization Algorithm, Particle Swarm Optimization

1. Introduction

Whales are the biggest mammals in the earth. The Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) is a nature-inspired metaheuristic algorithm. There are many techniques to improve The Whale Optimization Algorithm performance, hybridization has emerged as a promising approach. In this paper, we proposed a new method that combines two well-known and advanced optimization algorithms that are

the Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) and the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm. WOA is great at exploration which means that it searches widely across different possible solutions, while PSO is excellent at exploitation which means that it focuses on improving the current best solution. By them together, bringing our hybrid approach balances both exploration and exploitation, helping to find better solutions faster and more efficiently. To test how well this hybrid method works, we used 23 benchmark functions that cover different types of optimization These problems. tests helped us understand the strengths of our approach in finding the best solutions. These outcomes showed that our hybrid method performs excellent than using Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) or Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) alone, offering higher accuracy and faster convergence.[1]

The biggest advantage of using this hybrid method is that it can be used for so many real-world problems, such as finance,

		fication of Algorith	m
Numbers	Algorithms	Author(s)	Year of Publication
1	Genetic Algorithm (GA)	Holland	1975
2	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	Kennedy & Eberhart	1995
3	Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)	Dorigo & Gambardella	1997
4	Differential Evolution (DE)	Storn & Price	1997
5	Simulated Annealing (SA)	Kirkpatrick et al.	1983
6	Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA)	Rashedi et al.	2009
7	Teaching- Learning- Based Optimization (TLBO)	Rao et al.	2011
8	Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA)	Mirjalili & Lewis	2016

healthcare, engineering, and artificial intelligence. Optimization is important in these fields because it helps improve efficiency and accuracy in decisionmaking. By combining the strengths of Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) or Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), our approach prevents common problems like being trapped in bad solutions too early. We also discuss why combining two different algorithms is important in optimization research. This research shows that mixing different optimization techniques can create powerful tools for solving complex problems, making them more reliable and useful for a wide range of applications. In this research, we select the Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) or Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) hybridization because it delivers the impressive results by effectively balancing exploration and exploitation. This results in higher accuracy and faster convergence than using WOA or PSO individually.

Optimization techniques can be classified into four categories: nature-inspired, evolutionary, human-based, and physics-based algorithms.

1.1 Classification of Algorithm:



Figure 1: Classification of Algorithm

1.2 Classification of Optimization Techniques Table:

1.3 Flowchart:



Figure 2: Flow of WOA-PSO [7]

1. Proposed Optimization Algorithm

2.3 Benchmark Functions:

Table 2: Standard UM BenchmarkFunctions

$F_{5}(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{z-1} [100(S_{m+1}-S_{m}^{2})^{2} + (S_{m}-1)^{2}]$	(10,30,50,1	00) [-38	, 38]	0
$F_6(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{z} ([S_m + 0.5])^2$	(10,30,50,1	00) [-10	0,100]	0
$F_{7}(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{z} mS_{m}^{4} + random [0, 1]$	(10,30,50,1	00) [-1.2	28, 1.28]	0
Functions	Dimensio	n Rz	nge	froin
$F_{g}(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{z} - S_{m} sin(\sqrt{ S_{m} })$	(10,30,50,	100) [-50	0,500]	-418.9829
$F_{9}(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{z} [S_{m}^{2} - 10\cos(2\pi S_{m}) + 10]$	(10,30,50,	100) [-5.1	2,5.12]	0
$\begin{split} F_{10}(S) &= -20 exp \left(-0.2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{x} \sum_{m=1}^{x} S_{m}^{2} \right)} \right) - exp \left(\frac{1}{x} \sum_{m=1}^{x} \cos(2\pi S_{m}) + 20 + d \right) \end{split}$	(10,30,50,	100) [-32,	.32]	0
$F_{11}(S) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{z} \frac{s_m^2}{4000} - \Pi_{m=1}^{z} \cos \frac{s_m}{\sqrt{m}} $ (10,30,5)		100) [-600,	600]	0
$\begin{split} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ 10 \sin(n \tau_{1}) + \sum_{m=1}^{s-1} (\tau_{m} - 1)^{2} [1 + \\ & 0 \sin^{2}(\pi \tau_{m+1})] + (\tau_{s} - 1)^{2} \} + \sum_{m=1}^{s} u(S_{m}, 10, 100, 4) \\ & r_{m} = 1 + \frac{S_{m+1}}{4} \\ & u(S_{m}, b, x, i) = \begin{cases} x(S_{m} - b)^{i} & S_{m} > b \\ x(S_{m} - b)^{i} & S_{m} < b \\ x(-S_{m} - b)^{i} & S_{m} < -b \end{cases} \end{split}$	(10,30,50,1	00) [-50,1	50]	0
$\begin{split} F_{12}(S) &= 0.1 \{ sin^2 (3\pi S_m) + \sum_{m=1}^s (S_m - 1)^2 [1 + sin^2 (3\pi S_m + 1)] + (x_z - 1)^2 [1 + sin^2 2\pi S_z)] \end{split}$	(10,30,50,100) [-5		60]	0
Functions		Dimension	-	Not
1 unctions		Dimensions	Range	f_{\min}
		2	Kange [-65.536, 65.536]	f_{\min} 1
$F_{14}(S) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{300} & +\sum_{n=1}^{2} 5 \frac{1}{n + \sum_{m=1}^{2} (s_m - b_{mn})^{k}} \end{bmatrix}^{1}$		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	[-65.536,	24520345
$\begin{split} F_{14}(S) &= [\frac{1}{500} + \sum_{n=1}^{2} 5 \frac{1}{n + \sum_{m=1}^{2} (s_m - b_{mn}) s}]^1 \\ F_{15}(S) &= \sum_{m=1}^{11} [b_m - \frac{s_1 (a_m^2 + a_m s_2)}{a_m^2 + a_m s_2 + s_4}]^2 \end{split}$		2	[-65.536, 65.536]	1
$\begin{split} & F_{14}(30) = [\frac{1}{500} + \sum_{n=1}^{2} 5 \frac{1}{n + \sum_{m=1}^{2} (5m - 5m $		2	[-65.536, 65.536] [-5, 5]	1 0.00030
$\begin{split} F_{14}(S) &= [\frac{1}{500} + \sum_{n=1}^{2} 5 \frac{1}{n + \sum_{m=1}^{2} (5m - b_{mn})s}]^1 \\ F_{15}(S) &= \sum_{m=1}^{11} [b_m - \frac{s_1(a_m^2 + a_m s_1)}{a_m^2 + a_m s_1 + s_4}]^2 \\ F_{16}(S) &= 4S_1^2 - 2.1S_1^4 + \frac{1}{2}S_1^4 + S_1S_2 - 4S_2^2 + 4S_2^4 \\ F_{17}(S) &= (S_2 - \frac{s_1}{4\pi 2}S_1^2 + \frac{s}{\pi}S_1 - 6)^2 + 10(1 + \frac{1}{s\pi})\cos S_1 + 10 \\ F_m(S) &= [1 + (S_1 + S_2 + 1)^3 (19 - 14S_1 + 3S_1^2 + 14S_2 + 6S_1S_2] \end{split}$	_	2 4 2	[-65.536, 65.536] [-5, 5] [-5, 5]	1 0.00030 -1.0316
$\begin{split} F_{14}(S) &= [\frac{1}{500} + \sum_{n=1}^{2} 5\frac{1}{n + \sum_{n=1}^{2} (S_n - b_{nn})s}]^1 \\ F_{15}(S) &= \sum_{m=1}^{11} [b_m - \frac{s_1(a_n^2 + a_m s_1)}{a_n^2 + a_m s_1 + s_1}]^2 \\ F_{15}(S) &= 4S_1^2 - 2.1S_1^4 + \frac{1}{2}S_1^4 + S_1S_2 - 4S_2^2 + 4S_2^4 \\ F_{17}(S) &= (S_2 - \frac{8.3}{4\pi s_2}S_1^2 + \frac{4}{\pi}S_1 - 6)^2 + 10(1 - \frac{1}{8\pi})\cos S_1 + 10 \\ F_m(S) &= [1 + (S_1 + S_2 + 1)^2 (19 - 14 S_1 + 3S_1^2 - 14 S_2 + 6S_1S_2 \\ [30 + (2S_1 - 3S_2)^2 (18 - 32S_1 + 12 S_1^2 + 48S_2 - 36S_1S_1 + 27 S_1^2 \\ \end{split}$	_	2 4 2 2 2	[-65.536, 65.536] [-5, 5] [-5, 5] [-5, 5]	1 0.00030 -1.0316 0.398
$\begin{split} F_{14}(S) &= [\frac{1}{400} + \sum_{n=1}^{2} 5 \frac{1}{n + \sum_{n=1}^{2} (s_m - b_{mn})^2}]^1 \\ F_{15}(S) &= \sum_{m=1}^{11} [b_m - \frac{s_1(a_m^2 + a_m^2)}{a_m^2 + a_m^2 + a_m^2}]^2 \\ F_{15}(S) &= 4S_s^2 - 2.1S_s^4 + \frac{1}{2}S_s^2 + S_2S_s - 4S_s^2 + 4S_s^4 \\ F_{17}(S) &= (S_s - \frac{S_5}{4\pi^2}S_s^2 + \frac{1}{\pi}S_s - 6)^{2+} 10(1 - \frac{1}{4\pi}) \cos S_1 + 10 \\ F_n(S) &= [1 + (S_s - S_s + 1)^2 (19 - 14 S_s + 3S_s^2 - 14 S_s + 6S_s S_s \\ [30 + (2S_s - 3S_s^2)^2 (18 - 32S_s + 12 S_s^2 - 46S_s - 36S_s S_s + 27 S_s^2 \\ F_{19}(S) &= -\sum_{m=1}^{4} d_m \exp(-\sum_{n=1}^{3} c_m (S_m - q_m)) \end{split}$	_	2 4 2 2 2 2	[-65.536, 65.536] [-5, 5] [-5, 5] [-5, 5] [-2,2]	1 0.00030 -1.0316 0.398 3
$\begin{split} F_{14}(S) &= [\frac{1}{500} + \sum_{n=1}^{2} 5\frac{1}{n + \sum_{m=1}^{2} (5m - b_{mn})s}]^{1} \\ F_{15}(S) &= \sum_{m=1}^{11} [b_{m} - \frac{s_{1}(a_{m}^{1} + a_{m}s_{1})}{a_{m}^{1} + a_{m}s_{1}s_{1}}]^{2} \\ F_{15}(S) &= 4S_{1}^{2} - 2.15_{1}^{4} + \frac{1}{3}S_{1}^{4} + 5S_{2} - 4S_{2}^{2} + 4S_{2}^{4} \\ F_{17}(S) &= (S_{2} - \frac{51}{4\pi^{2}}S_{1}^{2} + \frac{\pi}{3}S_{1} - 6)^{2} + 10(1 - \frac{1}{8\pi})\cos S_{1} + 10 \\ F_{m}(S) &= [1 + (S_{1} + S_{2} + 1)^{2} (19 - 14 + S_{1} + 3S_{1}^{2} - 14 + S_{2} + 6S_{1}S_{2} \\ [30 + (2S_{1} - 3S_{2})^{2} (18 - 32S_{1} + 12 + S_{1}^{2} + 48S_{2} - 36S_{1}S_{1} + 27 + S_{1}^{2} + 6S_{2} \\ F_{19}(S) &= -\sum_{m=1}^{4} d_{m} \exp(-\sum_{n=1}^{2} S_{mn}(S_{m} - q_{mn})^{2}) \\ F_{20}(S) &= -\sum_{m=1}^{4} d_{m} \exp(-\sum_{n=1}^{6} S_{mn}(S_{m} - q_{mn})^{2}) \end{split}$	_	2 4 2 2 2 3	[-65.536, 65.536] [-5, 5] [-5, 5] [-5, 5] [-2,2] [1, 3]	1 0.00030 -1.0316 0.398 3 -3.32
$\begin{split} F_{14}(S) &= [\frac{1}{500} + \sum_{n=1}^{2} 5\frac{1}{n + \sum_{n=1}^{2} (S_n - b_{nn})s}]^1 \\ F_{15}(S) &= \sum_{m=1}^{11} [b_m - \frac{s_1(a_n^2 + a_m s_1)}{a_n^2 + a_m s_1 + s_1}]^2 \\ F_{15}(S) &= 4S_1^2 - 2.1S_1^4 + \frac{1}{2}S_1^4 + S_1S_2 - 4S_2^2 + 4S_2^4 \\ F_{17}(S) &= (S_2 - \frac{8.3}{4\pi s_2}S_1^2 + \frac{4}{\pi}S_1 - 6)^2 + 10(1 - \frac{1}{8\pi})\cos S_1 + 10 \\ F_m(S) &= [1 + (S_1 + S_2 + 1)^2 (19 - 14 S_1 + 3S_1^2 - 14 S_2 + 6S_1S_2 \\ [30 + (2S_1 - 3S_2)^2 (18 - 32S_1 + 12 S_1^2 + 48S_2 - 36S_1S_1 + 27 S_1^2 \\ \end{split}$	_	2 4 2 2 2 3 6	[-65.536, 65.536] [-5, 5] [-5, 5] [-5, 5] [-2,2] [1, 3] [0, 1] [0,10]	1 0.00030 -1.0316 0.398 3 -3.32 -3.32

Functions	Dimensions	Range	Luin
$F_1(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{z} S_m^2$	(10,30,50,100)	[-100 , 100]	0
$F_2(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{g} S_m + \prod_{m=1}^{g} S_m $	(10,30,50,100)	[-10 ,10]	0
$F_{3}(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{z} (\sum_{n=1}^{m} S_{n})^{2}$	(10,30,50,100)	[-100, 100]	0
$F_4(S) = max_m\{ S_m , 1 \le m \le z\}$	(10,30,50,100)	[-100, 100]	0

3. Results and Discussion

In the below table it shows the comparison between the Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) and its Hybrid. the Hybrid approach was tested 23 times and performed better than the Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) in most cases. Specifically, the Hybrid approach achieved better results in 14 out of 23 functions. the Hybrid method found significantly lower values, indicating better optimization.

Table 3: Original and Hybrid Value					
	Value of	Value of			
Function	WOA	Hybrid			
F1	3.20E-73	0.0043488			
F2	1.72E-50	0.0080922			
F3	38874.0909	0.074816			
F4	65.2387	0.1938			
F5	27.9213	0			
F6	0.097687	0.0016877			
F7	0.0033129	0.0027857			
F8	-1.12E+04	-418.9665			
F9	0	2.03E-03			
F10	4.00E-15	0.21375			
F11	0	0.00352			
F12	1.13E-02	0.017512			
F13	0.43439	0.00016603			
F14	0.998	0.998			
F15	0.00066602	0.0006734			
F16	-1.0316	-1.0645			
F17	0.39792	0.34256			
F18	3	2			
F19	-3.8618	-1.8996			
F20	-2.8404	-1.1698			
F21	-10.1421	-10.1398			
F22	-10.3973	-10.2684			
F23	-5.1284	-10.515			

Overall, these findings indicate that the Hybrid approach enhances optimization performance, making it a more effective solution in many cases.

Function No.1



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.0043488.

Function No.2



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.0080922.



x₂ 100 200 300 400 500 The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.074816.

Function No.4



-100 -100

The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.1938.

Function No.5



Function No.6



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.0016877.

Function No.7



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.0027857.

Function No.8



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.0027857.

Function No.9



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 2.03E-03.

Function No.10



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.21375.

Function No.11



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.00352.

Function No.12



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.017512.

Function No.13



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.00016603.

Function No.14



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.998.





The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.0006734.

Function No.16



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was -1.0645.

Function No.17



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 0.34256.

Function No.18



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was 2.

Function No.19



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was -1.8996.



Function No.20







The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was -10.1398.

Function No.22



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was -10.2684.



The best optimal value found by hybridized algorithm of WOA with PSO was -10.515.

8 Conclusion

In conclusion, the hybridized algorithm was tested 23 times and performed better than the Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) method in 14 functions which includes F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F8, F13, F16, F17, F18, F19, F20, F21 and F22.

This indicates that the hybrid approach provides better optimization results in most of the function. This means it gave better results for those functions and showing that the hybrid approach's effectiveness in optimization in many cases.

This proves that combining the two algorithms enhance the optimization process more effective and reliable.

9 References

[1] W. Y. Lin, "A novel 3D fruit fly optimization algorithm and its applications in economics," Neural Comput. Appl., 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00521-015-1942-8.

[2] R. V. Rao and G. G. Waghmare, "A new optimization algorithm for solving complex constrained design optimization problems," vol. 0273, no. April, 2016, doi: 10.1080/0305215X.2016.1164855.

[3] E.-S. M. El-Kenawy, M. M. Eid, M. Saber, and A. Ibrahim, "MbGWO-SFS: Modified Binary Grey Wolf Optimizer Based on Stochastic Fractal Search for Feature Selection," IEEE Access, 2020, doi: 10.1109/access.2020.3001151.

[4] M. Nouiri, A. Bekrar, A. Jemai, S. Niar, and A. C. Ammari, "An effective and distributed particle swarm optimization algorithm for flexible job-shop scheduling problem," J. Intell. Manuf., 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10845-015-1039-3.

[5] Aliisa Alizada, "The Whale Optimization Algorithm".

[6] Seyedali Mirjalili "The Whale Optimization Algorithm".