

The Effect of Supply Chain Integration on the Operational Performance of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Lagos State, Nigeria.

Sulaiman, Muhammad Faarouq
Department of Business Administration,
University of Lagos

Ganiyu, Taofeek Idowu
Department of Business Administration,
University of Lagos

Abstract:

This study investigated the effect of supply chain integration on the operational performance of selected manufacturing firms in Lagos State. The study adopted an exploratory cross-sectional survey research design. Dangote Flour Mills Plc, and Nestle Foods Nigeria Plc, Lagos State were purposively selected for the study, and the study's population includes 4,007 employees of the studied firms. Three hundred and sixty-four (364) employees were selected using a simple random sampling technique. Primary data was employed and a primary instrument (self-administered questionnaire) was designed to collect the data from the respondents. The survey data collected were analysed using descriptive statistics to achieve the mean, frequency distribution, and percentage of data collected, and inferential statistical tool (linear regression analysis) was used to test the study hypotheses with the aid of SPSS version 26. The findings of the study revealed that internal integration has a positive and a significant effect on productivity in selected food and beverages firms, supplier integration has a positive and a significant effect on quick delivery in selected food and beverages firms, and customer integration has a positive and a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected food and beverages firms. It is

therefore, concluded that supply chain integration strategies are effectively

Implemented to facilitate operational performance. The study recommends that the managers of manufacturing firms should ensure that information flows between production, inventory, purchasing, marketing, and distribution units to achieve effective and efficient production and meet customer demand. Also, a high degree of reactivity and adaptability should be fostered to satisfy the demands of internal customers and optimize productivity in every department within the firm.

Keywords: Customer Integration, Manufacturing Firms, Internal Integration, Operational Performance, Supply Chain Integration.

Introduction:

1.1 Background to the Study:

Operational performance is critical for a company's competitiveness in the supply chain. Improving this performance requires all supply chain participants to enhance their overall efficiency (Santoso et al., 2022). Operational performance includes optimizing resource use, reducing costs, and maximizing profit potential (Usman et al., 2020). Effective operational performance management involves ensuring timely deliveries, minimizing lead times, and

maintaining optimal machine levels (Yego&Namusonge, 2021). Global political and economic factors complicate traditional supply chain management, especially in a globalized market where partners and suppliers are across borders (Phan et al., 2020). Businesses now operate in a dynamic environment, facing increased competition, customer expectations, and the need to maintain high performance (Kumara & Rahman, 2015). To sustain competitiveness, manufacturing organizations must develop operational strategies that support effective implementation of their corporate competitive strategy, requiring strong cooperation and continuous adherence within the supply chain (Khan et al., 2022). Manufacturing companies face challenges such as cost reduction and enhanced customer service, pushing them to seek sustainable competitive advantages (Lassnig et al., 2022). To address these challenges, companies need robust supply chain strategies and technology to optimize internal and external processes, improve performance, reduce costs, and respond swiftly to customer needs (Palazzo & Voller, 2022).

Supply Chain Integration (SCI) has become increasingly important for organizational success. Companies must closely collaborate with customers and suppliers to enhance performance, meet consumer demand, and increase profitability (Kumar et al., 2017). SCI significantly improves a company's financial and operational performance, shifting tasks like inventory control and raw material acquisition to the supply chain level (Mohammadi et al., 2014). Effective SCI fosters cooperation and integration across supply chain businesses, enhancing performance and reducing costs (Cheng et al., 2016; Tseng & Liao, 2015).

SCI impacts operational success directly by fostering strong collaboration among supply

chain participants and indirectly by helping businesses eliminate non-value-adding operations (Hedijani & Saei, 2020). The intimate connection between SCI and operational effectiveness has been emphasized globally, with scholars advocating for a systematic approach to SCI in response to global competition (Uwamahoro, 2018)

1.Statement of the Problem Summary:

Manufacturing organizations in dynamic and competitive sectors must continuously improve operations to maintain sustainability and high performance. However, this can be challenging, particularly for firms in developing countries like Nigeria. Effective Supply Chain Integration (SCI) is essential for improving operational performance, yet many Nigerian manufacturing companies have not fully adopted SCI practices. Issues such as poor raw material quality, delayed deliveries, and noncompliance with environmental regulations hinder these companies' performance.

Research shows that SCI positively impacts operational efficiency, but many Nigerian firms, especially in Lagos State, continue to manage supply chain activities discretely rather than integrating them. The focus of most existing research has been on developed countries, with limited studies in Nigeria, particularly in the food and beverage subsector of the manufacturing industry. This study aims to investigate the effect of SCI on the operational performance of selected food and beverage firms in Lagos State, specifically Dangote Flour Mills Plc and Nestle Foods Nigeria Plc, focusing on the indicators of internal, supplier, and customer integration.

1.3 Aim and Objectives of the Study:

The study aims to investigate the effect of supply chain integration on the operational

performance of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State. Specifically, the study seeks to:

- i. examine the effect of internal integration on the productivity of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State.
- ii. assess the effect of supplier integration on quick delivery of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State.
- iii. determine the effect of customer integration on customer satisfaction of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State.

1.4. Research Questions:

Based on the objectives of the study, the following research questions were put forward and answered:

- i. What is the effect of internal integration on the productivity of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State?
- ii. To what extent is the effect of supplier integration on quick delivery of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State?
- iii. What is the effect of customer integration on customer satisfaction of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State?

1.5. Hypotheses of the Study

To achieve the study objectives, the following null hypotheses were tested in the study:

- i. Internal integration has no significant effect on the productivity of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State.
- ii. Supplier integration has no significant effect on quick delivery of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State.
- iii. Customer integration has no significant effect on customer satisfaction of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State.

1.6 Significance of the Study:

The study highlights the importance of Supply Chain Integration (SCI) indicators—such as internal integration, supplier integration, and customer integration—in improving operational efficiency and business competitiveness. These insights are valuable for both manufacturing and service industries, helping them implement appropriate SCI strategies to ensure successful production and marketing activities in a volatile business environment. The findings are particularly useful for food and beverage supply chain partners, including consumers, retailers, wholesalers, distributors, and producers. The study suggests that supply chain managers should enhance their firms' ability to adapt to disruptions and maintain a sustained competitive advantage by proactively designing and configuring their supply chain systems.

For managers in manufacturing companies, the study offers guidance in finding innovative solutions to supply chain challenges and identifying new opportunities for dynamic adaptation to changing environmental conditions. It also benefits other organizations by demonstrating the relevance of SCI strategies in mitigating risks, particularly those related to cost reduction and improved performance.

The study's findings are valuable to the government for developing policies and initiatives that support the growth and sustainability of the industrial sector. By understanding the challenges manufacturing firms face in implementing SCI, the government can improve access to funding, offer guidance, streamline regulations, and ultimately help businesses adopt SCI initiatives more effectively.

Industry policymakers can use the study's insights to develop and implement measures that reduce supply chain disruptions, making it easier for businesses to operate within the

supply chain. The study also contributes to the theoretical literature on the relationship between SCI indicators and operational performance, particularly within the Nigerian manufacturing context. It serves as a foundation for future research on SCI and provides a valuable resource for scholars, enriching the overall body of knowledge.

1.7 Scope of the Study:

This study analysed the effect of Supply Chain Integration (SCI) on the operational performance of listed firms in the food and beverages sub-sector of the manufacturing industry in Lagos State. The research focused specifically on two companies: Dangote Flour Mills Plc and Nestle Foods Nigeria Plc. The study examined three key SCI strategies—internal integration, supplier integration, and customer integration—to assess their impact on operational performance, measured by productivity, quick delivery, and customer satisfaction. Data was collected from senior and managerial staff of the selected firms and analysed using linear regression analysis.

Literature review:

The study is grounded in three key theories: Strategic Choice Theory, Resource-Based View (RBV) Theory, and Systems Theory, each offering a framework to understand supply chain integration (SCI) and operational performance.

Strategic Choice Theory:

Emphasizes the impact of senior management decisions on organizational performance and the relationships between internal and external entities. It highlights the importance of well-informed decisions in inventory management and other areas to enhance efficiency and sustain high performance levels. This theory is relevant as it underscores the role of managerial

decisions in integrating supply chains and improving competitiveness.

Resource-Based View (RBV) Theory posits that a firm's unique, valuable, and hard-to-replicate resources are crucial for maintaining competitive advantage and achieving superior performance. The theory suggests that strategic management and SCI are intertwined, with effective use of resources leading to enhanced operational flexibility and efficiency. This perspective is particularly pertinent for organizations aiming to leverage their resources to improve SCI and overall business performance.

Systems Theory focuses on the interconnections among various components of an organization, viewing them as part of a larger, integrated system. It highlights the importance of understanding these relationships to manage complexity and optimize processes. In the context of supply chain management, this theory underscores the need for internal integration and coordination of subsystems to ensure efficient processing and delivery of customer orders.

Together, these theories provide a comprehensive framework for examining how strategic decisions, resource management, and system integration contribute to effective SCI and operational success.

This section provides a conceptual review of the variables explored in the study, focusing on Supply Chain Integration (SCI) and its dimensions, as well as operational performance.

Supply Chain Integration (SCI):

SCI refers to the extent of integration between an organization's internal operations and those of its suppliers, customers, and other supply chain (SC)

participants. It is divided into internal and external integration:

- **Internal Integration:** Focuses on coordinating and collaborating within an organization's departments to meet customer and supplier demands.
 - **External Integration:** Emphasizes collaboration with suppliers and customers to streamline inter-organizational processes.
- SCI offers several advantages, including improved market share, financial performance, and customer satisfaction.

Dimensions of SCI

The SCI is measured through three primary dimensions:

- **Internal Integration:** Involves connecting different departments within an organization to work as a cohesive unit, improving information flow, reducing errors, and enhancing operational success.
- **Supplier Integration (SI):** Refers to the long-term, cooperative relationships between a company and its suppliers, involving shared strategic and operational practices to ensure a smooth supply chain.
- **Customer Integration (CI):** Focuses on collaborating with customers to understand their needs and improve product quality, responsiveness, and overall customer satisfaction.

Operational Performance:

Operational performance is assessed in terms of cost, quality, delivery, and flexibility, with key metrics including fulfilment, inventory performance, and responsiveness. It is crucial for achieving customer satisfaction, which is essential for organizational success. Meeting customer demands and maintaining satisfaction are top priorities for organizations in today's competitive environment.

2.3.4.2 Quick Delivery:

Quick delivery is a crucial service element for transactions involving physical products, especially for online merchants. Companies have implemented strategies to enhance delivery speed without extra cost to customers. Quick delivery can be categorized into two types:

- **Speedy Delivery:** Rapid shipment after an order is placed, often influencing purchase decisions.
- **Immediate Delivery:** Same-day delivery, typically available at a higher cost, with less precise time frames.

2.3.4.3 Productivity:

Productivity is a key issue in both industrialized and developing nations. It measures how effectively financial and human capital are utilized by dividing production output by one of the production variables. Productivity reflects the efficiency of resource use and conversion into tangible outcomes, influencing organizational performance.

2.4 Empirical Review:

The empirical review examines various studies on the impact of Supply Chain Integration (SCI) on operational performance. Key findings include:

- Setyadi (2023): SCI positively impacts product quality and operational performance.
- Masa'deh et al. (2022): SCI and technology management significantly influence operational performance.
- Oliveira and Gonzalez (2022): SCI, a multidimensional construct, greatly affects operational performance.
- Atnafu and Hussen (2017): SCI significantly improves businesses' operational performance.
- Yuen and Thai (2017): The impact of internal and external integration on operational performance varies between product and service supply chains.

2.5 Conceptual Framework:

The study's conceptual framework includes three SCI constructs: internal integration, supplier integration, and customer integration. Operational performance is represented by productivity, customer satisfaction, and quick delivery. The framework is based on the formulated hypotheses.

Methodology:

The study used an exploratory cross-sectional survey design to examine the impact of supply chain resilience (SCR) on the operational performance of selected manufacturing firms in Lagos. This design was chosen because it allows for the analysis of data from a population at a single point in time, facilitating the identification of variables and their relationships. The study targeted employees within the food and beverage sub-sector, specifically from Dangote Flour Mills Plc and Nestle Foods Nigeria Plc, which together have a total workforce of 4,007 employees. These firms were selected due to their significant role in the industry and their active participation in the stock market, with operations based in Lagos State, Nigeria's commercial hub. A simple random sampling technique was employed to select respondents from key departments, such as production, procurement/logistics, management, HR, and sales/marketing, ensuring an unbiased

collection of relevant data. The sample size was to be determined using Taro's (1967) formula.

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

Where;

n = Sample size

N = Population size = 4,007.

e = Margin of errors = 5% = 0.05

Hence;

n	=	4,007	
	1	+ 4,007(0.05)	²
n	=	4,007	
	1	+ 4,007(0.0025)	
n	=	364	

The study determined a sample size of 364 respondents, considered a true representation of the study population. This sample was distributed proportionally between the two companies using Bryman's (2011) formula. Specifically, 203 questionnaires were administered to respondents at Nestle Foods Nigeria Plc, which has 2,239 staff, and 161 questionnaires were distributed to respondents at Dangote Flour Mills Plc, with 1,768 staff. This allocation ensures a balanced and representative data collection process across both companies.

S/N	Company's Name	Number of Staff	Stable 3.1ample Size
1	Nestle Foods Nigeria Plc.	2, 239	2,239x364
			=203
			4,007
	Dangote Flour Mills Plc	1,768	1,768x364
			= 161
			4,007
	Total	4,007	364

Source: Computed by the researcher 2023

3.5 Data Collection Method:

In the study, 203 questionnaires were distributed to respondents at Nestle Foods Nigeria Plc, and 161 to those at Dangote Flour Mills Plc. Data collection was conducted using a well-structured questionnaire, designed based on research questions and divided into seven sections. These sections addressed respondent profiles, internal integration, supplier integration, customer integration, and

operational performance, with questions largely adapted from existing studies and structured on a five-point Likert scale. The study's validity and reliability were ensured through a pilot study involving 30 participants, employing face and content validity. Management experts reviewed the questionnaire to ensure its relevance and appropriateness, leading to adjustments where necessary. Reliability was tested using Cronbach's alpha, with a minimum threshold of 0.7 considered acceptable for internal consistency.

Table 3.2	Cronbach's Alpha Test for Reliability	Number of	Cronbach's
S/N	Variable	Items	Alpha
1	Internal Integration	5	0.704
2	Supplier Integration	5	0.820
3	Customer Integration	5	0.933
4	Productivity	3	0.801
5	Quick Delivery	2	0.703
6	Customer satisfaction	3	0.790

Data Presentation, Analysis, and Interpretation:

This chapter focused on the analysis of data. The chapter deals with the editing and presentation of data collected; the distribution of demographic data; the analysis of research question, the test of hypotheses; and the discussion of findings. The data analysis was done with the aid of SPSS version 26.

4.2 Presentation and Analysis of Demographic Data		
Table 4.1: Distribution of the Questionnaire		
Questionnaire		Total
Copies of Questionnaire administered		364
Copies of questionnaire Retrieved		323
Response Rate		88.7%
Source: Field Survey, 2024		

From the table 4.1, 364 copies of the questionnaire were administered on the respondents 323 copies were dully filled and collect. This gives an approximate response rate of 88.7% For a study of this nature, such

a percentage is very high thereby indicating the willingness of the respondents to cooperate. The distribution of demographic data of respondents is presented in Table 4.2 below:

Table 4.2 Distribution of Demographic Data of Respondents

Responses	Frequency	Percent (%)
Gender		
Male	197	61.0
Female	126	39.0

Total	323	100.0
Age		
22-31yrs	79	24.5
32-41yrs	138	42.7
42-51yrs	83	25.7
52yrs and above	23	7.1
Total	323	100.0
Qualification		
ND/Equivalent	56	17.3
HMD/B.Sc	189	58.5
MBA/M.Sc	78	24.1
Total	323	100.0
Years of Service		
3 - 6yrs	82	25.4
7 -10yrs	186	57.6
11yrs and above	55	17.0
Total	323	100.0
Position		
Top-level Manager	48	14.9
Middle-level Manager	169	52.3
Lower-level Manager	106	32.8
Total	323	100.0
Department		
Production	74	22.9
Procurement/Logistics	81	25.1
Stock/Warehouse	97	30.0
Sales/Marketing	51	15.8
HR	20	6.2
Total	323	100.0

Source: Field Survey, 2024

Table 4.2 provides a demographic breakdown of the study's respondents. The majority (61.0%) were male, while 39.0% were female, indicating gender diversity. In terms of age, most respondents (42.7%) were between 32-41 years, reflecting an active workforce. Educationally, 58.5% held HND/B.Sc. degrees, showing a high level of education among respondents. Regarding years of service, 57.6% had 7-10 years of experience, indicating a knowledgeable and experienced group. In terms of job roles, 52.3% were middle-level managers, ensuring representation across all managerial levels. Finally, the

respondents came from key departments: production (22.9%), procurement/logistics (25.1%), stock/warehouse (30.0%), sales/marketing (15.8%), and HR (15.8%), ensuring relevant departmental input for the study.

Data was presented and analysed in relation to research questions using a frequency distribution table.

Research Question I

Where 5= Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree

Table 4.3 What is the effect of internal integration on the productivity of selected manufacturing firms in Lagos State?

Statements		5	4	3	2	1	Total	Mean
In the manufacturing, my firm is very receptive to the demands of many departments	N	150	111	38	8	16	323	
	(%)	46.4	34.4	11.8	2.5	5	100	4.15
My company's departments work together to create manufacturing schedules supply initiatives and sales forecasts	N	158	114	20	15	16	323	
	(%)	48.9	35.3	6.2	4.6	5	100	4.42
emphasized in my firm.	N	187	112	5	12	7	323	
In my firm, there is a high degree of reactivity and adaptability to satisfy the demands of internal customers.	(%)	57.9	34.7	1.5	3.7	2.2	100	4.42
In my firm, we have an integrated inventory management system.	N	173	120	12	7	11	323	
	(%)	53.6	37.2	3.7	2.2	3.4	100	4.35

Source: Field Survey, 2024

Table 4.3 presents the mean values of responses, indicating general agreement among the respondents on various aspects of their firms' operations. The first row, with a mean of 4.15, shows that most respondents believe their firms are highly receptive to departmental demands. The second and third rows, both with a mean of 4.42, suggest strong agreement that departments collaborate effectively on manufacturing schedules, supply

Initiatives, and sales forecasts, and that there is a good flow of information between inventory, purchasing, marketing, and distribution divisions. The fourth row, with a mean of 3.97, indicates that respondents believe their firms are reactive and adaptable to internal customer demands. Lastly, the fifth row, with a mean of 4.35, reflects agreement that their firms have an integrated inventory management system.

Research Question II**Table 4.4 To what extent is the effect of supplier integration on quick delivery of selected manufacturing firms in Lagos State?**

Statements		5	4	3	2	1	Total	Mean
For quick response ordering procedures, my firm engages in extensive collaborative planning with suppliers.	N	187	120	8	5	3	323	4.50
	(%)	57.9	37.2	2.5	1.5	0.9	100.0	
My firm collaborates strategically with its suppliers on a high level.	N	203	98	14	4	4	323	4.52
	(%)	62.8	30.3	4.3	1.2	1.2	100.0	
To increase the accuracy, timeliness, and accessibility of information, my firm makes investments in inter-firm	N	202	98	15	6	2	323	4.52
	(%)	62.5	30.3	4.6	1.9	0.6	100.0	

information systems.										
			N	163	85	30	18	27	323	
Key suppliers are part of my firm's										
continual	quality	improvement	(%)	50.5	26.3	9.3	5.6	8.4	100.0	4.05
initiatives.										
Using information technology, my			N	125	124	27	16	31	323	
firm exchanges data with its main			(%)	38.7	38.4	8.4	5.0	9.6	100.0	3.92
suppliers.										

Source: Field Survey, 2024

Table 4.4 presents mean values that reflect strong agreement among respondents regarding their firms' collaboration with suppliers. The first row, with a mean of 4.50, indicates that most respondents strongly agree that their firms engage in extensive collaborative planning with suppliers for quick response ordering procedures. The second and third rows, both with a mean of 4.52, suggest that respondents strongly agree that their firms collaborate strategically with suppliers and

invest in inter-firm information systems to enhance information accuracy, timeliness, and accessibility. The fourth row, with a mean of 4.05, shows that respondents agree that key suppliers are involved in their firms' continuous quality improvement initiatives. Finally, the fifth row, with a mean of 3.92, indicates agreement that their firms use information technology to exchange data with main suppliers.

Research Question III

Table 4.5: What is the effect of customer integration on customer satisfaction of selected manufacturing firms in Lagos State?

Statements		5	4	3	2	1	Total	Mean
My firm shares information on available stock with its main customers.	N	159	109	23	14	19	323	4.15
	(%)	48.9	33.7	7.1	4.3	5.9	100.0	
My firm measures customer satisfaction in an appropriate and efficient manner.	N	136	106	21	24	36	323	3.87
	(%)	42.1	32.8	6.5	7.4	11.1	100.0	
Customers of my firm receive a great deal of information sharing concerning market data.	N	130	128	37	12	16	323	4.07
	(%)	40.2	39.6	11.5	3.7	5.0	100.0	
To predict demand visibility, my firm works closely with its customers on demand forecasting and market planning.	N	113	138	25	19	28	323	3.89
	(%)	35.0	42.7	7.7	5.9	8.7	100.0	
My firm involves customers in the Processofdevelopingnew products.	N	144	111	23	24	21	323	4.03
	(%)	44.6	34.4	7.1	7.4	6.5	100.0	

Source: Field Survey, 2024

4.3 Test of Hypotheses:

The hypotheses developed in the study were tested using linear regression analysis. The condition for testing each of the hypothesis is that when P value is less than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$) level of significance, the null hypothesis is rejected and when P value is greater than 0.05 ($p > 0.05$) level of

Significance, the null hypothesis is accepted.

Hypothesis One:

H₀: Internal integration has no significant effect on the productivity of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State.

Table 4.6a Model Summary

Model	R	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Std. Error (SE)
1	0.768 ^a	0.590	0.588	0.40484

a. Predictors: (Constant), Internal Integration

Table 4.6b ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	75.613	1	75.613	461.345	0.000
Residual	52.611	321	0.164		
Total	128.223	322			

a. Dependent Variable: Productivity.

b. Predictors: (Constant), Internal Integration

Table 4.6c Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	0.675	0.161		4.189	0.000
Internal Integration	0.817	0.038	0.768	21.479	0.000

a Dependent Variable: Productivity
The analysis of Table 4.6a reveals a strong positive and significant relationship between internal integration and productivity, with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.768 and p-value < 0.05. The coefficient of determination (R²) is 0.590, indicating that 59.0% of the variability in productivity is explained by internal integration, while the remaining 41.0% is due to other factors not included in the model. The Standard Error of the Estimate

(0.404) suggests strong accuracy in predicting productivity.

Table 4.6b shows that the overall model is statistically significant (F = 461.345; Sig.

= 0.001, $p < 0.05$), confirming that internal integration significantly affects productivity.

Table 4.6c indicates that the coefficient for internal integration (0.817) has a strong positive effect on productivity. The probability value (0.000) and t-statistics (21.479) further support the significance of

this effect ($p\text{-value} < 0.05$). Thus, the null hypothesis is rejected, and the alternate hypothesis, which states that internal integration positively and significantly affects productivity, is accepted.

- H0: Supplier integration has no significant effect on the quick delivery
- of selected food and beverage firms in Lagos State.

Hypothesis Two:

Table 4.7a Model Summary

Model	R	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Std. Error (SE)
1	0.698 ^a	0.488	0.486	0.45244

a. Predictors: (Constant), Supplier Integration

Table 4.7b ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	62.513	1	62.513	305.384	0.000 ^b
Residual	65.710	321	0.205		
Total	128.223	322			

a. Dependent Variable: Quick Delivery.

b. Predictors: (Constant), Supplier Integration

Table 4.7c Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	1.179	0.169		6.962	0.000
Supplier Integration	0.698	0.040	0.698	17.475	0.000

(0.452) suggests strong accuracy in predicting quick delivery.

a Dependent Variable: Quick Delivery

The analysis of Table 4.7a reveals a strong positive and significant relationship between supply integration and quick delivery, with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.698 and a $p\text{-value} < 0.05$. The coefficient of determination (R^2) is 0.488, indicating that 48.8% of the variability in quick delivery is explained by supply integration, while the remaining 51.2% is due to other factors not included in the model. The Standard Error of the Estimate

Table 4.7b shows that the overall model is statistically significant ($F = 305.384$; $Sig. = 0.001$, $p < 0.05$), confirming that supply integration significantly affects quick delivery.

Table 4.7c indicates that the coefficient for supply integration (0.698) has a strong

positive effect on quick delivery. The probability value (0.000) and t-statistics (17.475) further support the significance of this effect (p -value < 0.05). Thus, the null hypothesis is rejected, and the alternate hypothesis, which states that supply integration positively and significantly affects quick delivery, is accepted.

Hypothesis Three:

H₀: Customer integration has no significant effect on customer satisfaction

of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State

Table 4.8a Model Summary

Model	R	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Std. Error (SE)
1	0.782 ^a	0.611	0.610	0.39403

a. Predictors: (Constant), Customer Integration

^a**Table 4.8b ANOVA**

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	78.386	1	78.386	504.875	0.000 ^b
Residual	49.838	321	0.155		
Total	128.223	322			

a. Dependent Variable: Customer Satisfaction.

b. Predictors: (Constant), Customer Integration

Table 4.8c Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	1.311	0.126		10.381	0.000
Customer Integration	0.686	0.031	0.782	22.469	0.000

A Dependent Variable: Customer Satisfaction

A close examination of table 4.8a above indicates that $r = 0.782$, $p < 0.05$. This is an indication that there is a strong positive and significant relationship between the

customer integration and customer satisfaction. The R^2 value of 0.611 indicates that about 61.1% of the variations in customer satisfaction were due to the variations in the customer integration. This implies that 61.1% of the variations that occur in customer satisfaction can be explained by the customer integration. The balance of 38.9% could be explained by other variables not included in the model.

Summary:

Table 4.8a

- **Standard Error of the Estimate:** 0.394, indicating strong accuracy in predicting the output.

Table 4.8b

- **Significance of Overall Model:** $F = 504.875$, $\text{Sig.} = 0.001$ ($p < 0.05$), indicating the model is statistically significant.
- **Conclusion:** Customer integration has a significant effect on customer satisfaction.

Table 4.8c

- **Coefficient of Customer Integration:** 0.686, suggesting a strong positive effect on customer satisfaction.
- **Probability Value:** 0.000 and t-statistics of 22.469, indicating the effect is significant ($p < 0.05$).
- **Conclusion:** The null hypothesis is rejected; the alternate hypothesis stating customer integration positively and

significantly affects customer satisfaction is accepted.

Discussion of Findings

- **Internal Integration and Productivity:** Positive and significant effect in selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State. This integration facilitates effective information flow and enhances productivity. Supported by Masa'deh et al. (2022), Oliveira and Gonzalez (2022), Birhanu et al. (2022), and Johono and Siagian (2022).
- **Supplier Integration and Quick Delivery:** Positive and significant effect. Firms collaborate extensively with suppliers, improving response time, accuracy, and profitability. Supported by Simbarashe et al. (2022), Basel et al. (2022), Zaid et al. (2021), and Latuconsina (2021).
- **Customer Integration and Customer Satisfaction:** Positive and significant effect. Firms work closely with customers on demand forecasting and market planning, leading to improved satisfaction and profitability. Supported by Sutanto and Japutra (2021), Abdusalam (2021), Uwamahoro (2018), and Gollo and Paul (2018).

Summary of findings, conclusions and recommendations:

Summary of Findings:

The summary of findings is as follow:

The study's findings reveal that internal integration has a positive and a significant effect on productivity in selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State. This is indicated in hypothesis one with a coefficient of 0.817 and $p\text{-value} < 0.05$

The study's findings reveal that supplier integration has a positive and a significant effect on quick delivery in selected food and

beverages firms in Lagos State. This is indicated in hypothesis two with a coefficient of 0.698 and $p\text{-value} < 0.05$

The study's findings reveal that customer integration has a positive and a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State. This is indicated in hypothesis three with a coefficient of 0.686 and $p\text{-value} < 0.05$

Conclusions:

The study examined the effect of supply chain integration on the operational performance of selected food and beverages firms in Lagos State. It is evident from the findings that internal integration, supplier integration, and customer integration are effectively implemented to facilitate operational performance.

The study concludes that internal integration has a positive and a significant effect on productivity in selected food and beverages firms. The application of internal integration enhances information flows between inventory, purchasing, marketing, and distribution units to achieve effective and efficient production and meet customer demand.

The study concludes that supplier integration has a positive and a significant effect on quick delivery in selected food and beverages firms. The application of supplier integration strategy enables the firms to engage in extensive collaborative planning with suppliers for quick response ordering procedures. This facilitates quick delivery of raw materials for production and quick delivery of finished products to the customers.

The study concludes that customer integration has a positive and a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected food and beverages firms. By adopting

customer integration in the supply chain, the firms were able to work closely with their customers on demand forecasting and market planning to predict demand visibility. This facilitates customer satisfaction, which invariably optimise the sale volume and profitability of the firms in a highly competitive market.

Recommendations:

Based on the findings of the study and the conclusions drawn, it is sacrosanct for firms in the manufacturing sector to give hid to the following relevant recommendations:

- i. The managers of food and beverage firms should ensure that information flows between production, inventory, purchasing, marketing, and distribution units to achieve effective and efficient production and meet customer demand. Also, a high degree of reactivity and adaptability is should be fostered to satisfy the demands of internal customers and optimise productivity in every department within the firm.
- ii. The managers of food and beverage firms should engage in extensive collaborative planning with suppliers for quick response ordering procedures. This would facilitate quick delivery of raw materials for production and quick delivery of finished products to the customers.
- iii. It is expedient for the management of manufacturing firms to build a substantial inter-firm information system to increase the accuracy, timeliness, and accessibility of information in the supply chain. Also, they should collaborate strategically with key suppliers and engage them in continual quality improvement initiatives. This would enhance quick delivery of quality raw materials for production and quick delivery of quality products to the customers.

- iv. The managers of food and beverage firms should work closely with their customers on demand forecasting and market planning to predict demand visibility. Also, information on available stock should be shared with major customers aimed at satisfying customer demands. This would invariably optimise the sale volume and profitability of the firms in a highly competitive market.
- v. It is expedient for the managers of manufacturing firms to apply supply chain integration strategies in their production and marketing operation to facilitate operational performance in the market.

Contributions to Knowledge:

In addition to providing literature on SCI practices for would be researchers, the study contributes to knowledge in the following areas:

- i. The study provides a perceptive of the dimensions (internal, supplier, and customer integration) that manufacturing firms use to facilitate operational performance and this could provide a framework of reference for other manufacturing organisations to assess their operational performance in the market.
- ii. This study proposed and tested a SCI practices model for the Nigerian manufacturing sector that can mitigate the negative impact of their production process on the operating environment and satisfy the demand of the customers.
- iii. The study also contributes and enriches the current studies in the existing body of knowledge on the application of SCI practices by identifying the critical

activities (internal, supplier, and customer integration) that are relevant to the food and beverage firms for optimising product quality and enhance customer satisfaction.

Suggestions for Further Study:

The study put forward the following suggestions for further study:

- i. The study focused on two purposively selected firms in the food and beverages sub-sector of the Nigerian manufacturing sector. Further studies on SCI practices should look at the entire firms in the sub-sector to have a general view of the effect of SCI practices on operational performance in the sector.
- ii. Further researches should investigate SCI practices in other sub-sectors of the Nigerian manufacturing sector or probably the entire manufacturing sector, so that effect of SCI practices on operational performance could be examined.
- iii. This study was conducted in Lagos State; therefore, further studies could be conducted in other industrial clusters in Nigeria.
- iv. Three dimensions of SCI practices (internal, supplier, and customer integration practices) were explored in the study, further studies could explore other dimensions of SCI practices not captured in the study.

Limitations of the Study:

The study was confronted with a number of constraints which are stated below:

- i. Getting the respondents to agree to fill the questionnaire was a bit difficult. Some respondents were reluctant to fill the questionnaire due to their indifference

to the items contained in the questionnaire.

- ii. It may not be possible to generalise the findings of the study to other industries or sectors because the study focused on the food and beverages sub-sector of the Nigerian manufacturing sector.
- iii. Another limitation was the limited time frame in which this study had to be completed owing to a combination of official and academic commitments throughout the study.

Despite these limitations, the findings of this study do provide valuable insights into a number of pertinent issues in value-chain management. It also provides a platform for future investigation.

Bibliography:

Abdusalam, U. (2021). The effects of supply chain integration on operational performance: the case of Ethiopia commodity exchange, (Ecx) Jimma branch. A Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies of Jimma University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of the Degree of Master of Arts in Logistic and Supply Chain Management (MA)

Achieng, J., Paul, S., & Mbura, L. (2018). Influence of inventory management practices on performance of retail outlets in Nairobi City County. *International Academic Journal of Procurement and Supply Chain Management*, 3(1), 18-43.

Alfalfa, L. (2012) Supply chain integration framework using literature review.

Production planning and control, 1(1), 1-18.

Atnafu, D., & Hussen, S. O. (2017). The effect of supply chain integration on operational performance: a study on chemical and chemical product manufacturing firms in Ethiopia. *European Journal of Logistics, Purchasing and Supply Chain Management*, 5(2), 10-18.

Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120

Basel J. A. A., Hamzeh, A. A., Mahmoud, I. O. A., Jameel, M. A., Alaa, T. A., & Amer, A. H. B. (2022). Integration of supply chains and operational performance: The moderating effects of knowledge management. *Information Sciences Letters*, 11(4), 1-9

Bavarsad, B., Rahimi, F., Salimifard, A., & Ghalambor, M. (2017). Investigating the impact of social capital on performance in mehr-eqtasad banks from employee's perspective. *Quarterly Journal of Social Development*, 11(3), 211-242.

Bhatnagar, R., Kim, J., & Many, J. (2014). Candidate survey on program evaluation: examining instrument validity and program effectiveness. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(8), 683-690.

Birhanu, Y., Gizaw, T., Teshome, D., Boche, B., & Gudeta, T. (2022). The

- mediating effect of information sharing on pharmaceutical supply chain integration and operational performance in Ethiopia: an analytical cross-sectional study. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice*, 15(44), 1-11
- Budiarto, D. S., Prabowo, M. A., & Herawan, T. (2017). An integrated information system to support supply chain management and performance in SME's. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 10(2), 373-387.
- Cao, M., & Zhang, Q. (2011). Supply chain collaboration: impact on collaborative advantage and firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(3), 163-180.
- Carvalho, D. M., Kedge, F. P., & Machado, J. A. D. (2014). O uso da teoria da visão baseada em recursos em propriedades rurais: uma revisão sistemática da literatura. *Revista ADM*, 49(3), 506-518
- Cespedes, F. (1996). Beyond teamwork: how the wise can synchronize. *Marketing Management*, 5(1), 25-37.
- Chavez, R., Yu, W., Gimenez, C., Fynes, B., Wiengarten, F. (2015). Customer integration and operational performance: The mediating role of information quality. *Decision Support Systems*, 80(2), 83-95.
- Cheng, B. L. (2013). Service quality and the mediating effect of corporate image on the relationship between customer satisfaction and customer loyalty in the Malaysian hotel industry. *International Journal Business*, 15(1), 99-112.
- Cheng, Y., Chaudhuri, A., & Farooq, S. (2016). Interplant coordination, supply chain integration, and operational performance of a plant in a manufacturing network: A mediation analysis. *Supply Chain Management*, 21(5), 550-568.
- Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2007). Supply chain management. In *Strategy, planning & operation*.
- Das summa summarum des management, 1(1), 265-275.
- Dosi, G., Nelson, R., & Winter, S. (2000). *The nature and dynamics of organisational capabilities*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Flynn, B. B., Huo, B., & Zhao, X. (2010). The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(1), 58-71
- Frohlich, M. T., & Westbrook, R. (2001). *Arcs of integration: an international study of supply chain strategies*. *Journal of Operations Management*, 19 (2): 185-200.

- Gimenez, C., & Ventura, E. (2005). Logistics-production, logistics-marketing and external integration: Their impact on performance. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 25(1): 20–38.
- Gollo, A. D., & Paul, S. N. (2018). Effect of supply chain integration on operational performance at county government of Marsabit, Kenya. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(2), 527-533.
- Groover, M. P. (2007). *Automation, production systems, and computer-integrated manufacturing*. UK: Prentice Hall Press.
- He, Y, Lai, K. K., Sun, H., & Chen, Y. (2014). The impact of supplier integration on customer integration and new product performance: The mediating role of manufacturing flexibility under trust theory. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 14(7), 260-270.
- Hendijani, R., & Saei R. S. (2020) Supply chain integration and firm performance: the moderating role of demand uncertainty. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1-21.
- Jacobson, P. (2017). *Multivariate data analysis with readings*. Pearson Education International Press.
- Johono, D. F., & Siagian, H. (2022). Impact of supply chain integration, supply chain responsiveness, and innovation capability on operational performance in era covid-19. *Petra International Journal of Business Studies*, 5(1), 30–43
- Kim, S. W. (2009). An investigation on the direct and indirect effect of supply chain integration on firm performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 119(2), 328–346.
- Khan, M. T., Muhammad, D. I., Muhammad, R., Abdul, S., Arsalan, A., & Atif, J. (2022). Green Supply chain management practices' impact on operational performance with the mediation of technological innovation. *Sustainability* 14, 3362.
- Kumar, V., Chibuzo, E. N., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Kumari, A., Rocha-Lona, L., & Lopez-Torres, G. C. (2017). The impact of supply chain integration on performance: Evidence from the UK food sector. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 11(!), 814–821.
- Kumar, D., & Rahman, Z. (2015) Sustainability adoption through buyer supplier relationship across supply chain: A literature review and conceptual framework. *International Strategic Management Review*, 3(2), 110-127.
- Kumbhar, V. M. (2012). Reliability of e-bankqual scale: Retesting in internet banking service settings. *Business Excellence Management*, 2(1), 13–24.

- Lassnig, M., Müller, J. M., Klieber, K., Zeisler, A., & Schirl, M. (2022). A digital readiness check for the evaluation of supply chain aspects and company size for Industry 4.0. *Journal of Manufacturing and Technology Management*, 33(2), 1–18.
- Latuconsina, Z. (2021). The effect of supply chain integration and competitive advantage on supermarket operational performance in Ambon City. *International Journal of Community Service & Engagement*, 2(4), 138-146
- Lau, A. K. W., Tang, E., & Yam, R. C. M. (2010). Effects of supplier and customer integration on product innovation and performance: empirical evidence in Hong Kong manufacturers. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27(5), 761-777.
- Lee, C. W., Kwon, I. W. C., & Severance, D. (2007). Relationship between supply chain performance and degree of linkage among supplier, internal integration, and customer”, *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 12(6), 444-452.
- Lee, E, Whitehead, A. L, Jacques, R. M., & Jolious, S. A. (2014). The statistical interpretation of pilot trials: Should significance thresholds be reconsidered? *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2014; 14: 41–41.
- Lev, B (2001). *Intangibles management, measurement and reporting*, Booking Institution Press, Washington
- Li, S., Ragu-Nathan, B., Ragu-Nathan, T. S., & Subba Rao, S. (2004). The impact of supply chain management practices on competitive advantage and organisational performance. *Omega*, 4(2): 107-124.
- Lotfi, Z., Sahran, S., & Mukhtar, M. (2013). A product quality-supply chain integration framework. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 13(1), 36-48.
- Loqate (2023). What are rapid and same-day delivery? Retrieved from <https://www.loqate.com/resources/blog/what-are-rapid-and-same-day-delivery/#:~:text= Rapid%20delivery%20is%20any%20delivery,rapid%20delivery%20service%20is%20Deliveroo>.
- Magretta, J. (1998), The power of virtual integration: an interview with Dell computers’ Michael Dell. *Harvard Business Review*, 76(2), 72–84.
- Masa’deh, R., Muheisen, I., Obeidat, B., & Bany M. A. (2022). The impact of supply chain integration on operational performance: An Empirical Study. *Sustainability*, 14, 1-18. 16634. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416634>
- Miemczyk, J., Howard, M., & Johnsen, T. E. (2016). Dynamic development and execution of closed-loop supply chains: a natural resource-based view. *Supply Chain Management*, 21(4), 453-469.
- Mostafa, F. (2003). *The Nigerian diplomacy struggle to stand among the other nations*

- international relations. *Journal of International Relations*, 12(2), 1-27.
- Mohammadi, J., Darzian Azizi, A., Fakher, E., & Kafi Kang, N. (2014). Surveying the influence of brand characteristics conformity, perceived quality, and brand view on loyalty to brand. *Biannual Journal of Business Strategies*, 11(3), 37–48.
- Munch, O. 2015. *First-time-right procurement*. Germany: Springer Gabler.
- Muntaka, A. S., Haruna, A., & Mensah, H. K. (2015). Supply chain integration and flexibility and its impact on performance. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 12(4): 130-141.
- O’Cass, A., & Ngo, L. V. (2011). Examining the firm’s value creation process: A managerial perspective of the firm’s value offering strategy and performance. *British Journal of Management*, 22(4): 11–20.
- Oliveira, R., & Gonzalez, I. V. D. (2022). The impact of supply chain integration on the operational process performance: An empirical study under the perspective of Resource Orchestration Theory. *Brazilian Business Review*, 19(3), 227-245
- Oyewo, B. M., Oyedokun, G. E., & Azuh, A. E. (2019). The use of multi-perspective strategic performance measures by manufacturing firms: Benefits, determinants and challenges. *Business and Economics Review*, 29(1), 115-130.
- Pakurár, M., Haddad, H., Popp, J., Khan, T., & Oláh, J. (2019). Supply chain integration, organisational performance and balanced scorecard: An empirical study of the banking sector in Jordan. *Journal of International Studies*, 12(2), 129-146.
- Palazzo, M., & Voller, A. (2022). A systematic literature review of food sustainable supply chain management (FSSCM): Building blocks and research trends. *Total Quality Management Journal*, 34(3), 54–72.
- Paulraj, A., & Chen, I. J. (2007). Strategic buyer-supplier relationships, information technology and external logistics integration. *The Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 43(2), 2-14.
- Phan, T., Doan, X., & Nguyen, T. (2020). The impact of supply chain practices on performance through supply chain integration in textile and garment industry of Vietnam. *Supply Chain Management*, 8(1), 175-186.
- Peeter, K., Dilhani, W. & Weerasinghe, R. (2016). The impact of service scope on customer satisfaction in banking industry. Undergraduate Project, Department of Business and Management Studies, Enugu State University
- Pribady, A., & Gunawan, J. (2013). Perancang sistem kolaborasi supply chain

- untukmempercepatpertumbuhanUkm. Tambunan, 2(2), 1–7.
- Puska, A., Kozarevic, S., & Okicic, J. (2020). Investigating and analyzing the supply chain practices and performance in agro-food industry. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management*, 15(1), 9-16.
- Ramdas, K. & Spekman, R. E. (2000). Chain or shackles: Understanding what drives supply chain performance. *Interfaces*, 30, 3-21.
- Ryan, T. (2013). Macy's, others turn stores into online fulfillment centers. Retrieved from <http://www.forbes.com/sites/retailwire/2013/04/03/macys-others-turn-stores-into-online-fulfillment-centers>.
- Santoso, R. W., Hotlan, S., Zeplin, J. H. T., & Ferry, J. (2022). Assessing the benefit of adopting ERP technology and practicing green supply chain management toward operational performance. Evidence from Indonesia. *Sustainability* 14, 4944.
- Setyadi, A. (2023). The effect of supply chain integration on operational performance and product quality. *Dinasti International Journal of Digital Business Management*, 4(3), 448-455
- Slusarczyk, B. (2017). Prospects for the shared services centres development in Poland in the context of human resources availability. *Political Journal of Management Studies*, 1(5), 218–231.
- Siagian, H., Tarigan, Z. J. H., & Jie, F. (2021a). Supply chain integration enables resilience, flexibility, and innovation to improve business performance in covid-19 era. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(9), 1–19
- Sinaga, O., Nurfarina, A., Iskandar, A. D., Mozammel, S., & Rosita, A. (2019). The role of HR practices in enhancing firm supply chain performance. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 8(2), 95
- Simbarashe, M. D., Charumbira, J., Vhanda, R., Shava, G., Ndlovu, M. J., & Shumba, F. (2022). The effect of supply chain integration on the operational performance. *Indiana Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 86-94.
- Sousa, R. (2003), Linking quality management to manufacturing strategy: an empirical Investigation of customer focus practices. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(1): 1– 18.
- Stank, T. P., Keller, S. B., & Closs, D. I. (2001). Performance benefits of supply chain integration. *Transportation Journal*, 41(2): 31–46.
- Sutanto, J. E., & Japutra, A. (2021). The impact of supply chain integration and trust on supply chain performance:

- Evidence from Indonesia retail sector. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 9(1), 211-224
- Tlapana. T. P. (2015). Store layout and its impact on consumer purchasing behaviour at convenience stores in Kwa Mashu. *Journal of Management*, 8(4), 6-15.
- Tom, H. (2007). *The debt threat*. HarperCollins Publishers Inc., New York.
- Touboulic, A., & Walker, H. (2015). Theories in sustainable supply chain management: a structured literature review. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 45(2), 16-42.
- Tseng, P. H., & Liao, C. H. (2015a). Supply chain integration, information technology, market orientation and firm performance in container shipping firms. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 26(1), 82–106.
- Usman, I., Nira, H. H., & Mariusz, S. (2020). Operational performance of the SMA: The impact of entrepreneurial leadership, good governance, and business process management. *Polish Journal of Management Studies* 21(1), 5-16.
- Uwamahoro, A. (2018). Effects of supply chain integration on performance: An analysis of manufacturing firms in Rwanda. *Jönköping International Business School*, 18(13), 1-20.
- Vern, P., & Miftah, N., & Panghal, A. (2022). *Digital technology: implementation challenges and strategies in agri-food supply chain*. Emerald Publishing Limited: Bingley, UK, 27(1), 17– 30.
- Vickery, S. K., Jayaram, J., Droge, C., & Calantone, R. (2003). The effects of an integrative supply chain strategy on customer service and financial performance: an analysis of direct versus indirect relationships. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(5), 523–539.
- Walker, H., Chicksand, D., Radnor, Z., & Watson, G. (2015). Theoretical perspectives in operations management: an analysis of the literature. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 35(8), 1182-1206.
- Wangrow, D. B., & Schloemer, H. (2019). *Managerial discretion*. Oxford bibliographies online: Management, 1(1), 1-5
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the Firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), 171-180.
- Wong, C. Y., Boonitt, S., Wong, C. W. Y. (2011). The contingency effects of environmental uncertainty on the relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(6), 604-615.

Yao, Y., Evers, P. T., & Dresner, M. E. (2007). Supply chain integration in vendor managed inventory. *Decision Support Systems*, 43(2), 663-674.

Yego, W. K., & Namusonge, E. (2021). Role of supply chain collaboration on operational performance of third-party logistics service providers in Kenya. *International Journal of Social Sciences Management and Entrepreneurship*, 4(2), 232-149

Yuen, K. F., & Thai, V. V. (2017). The influence of supply chain integration on operational performance: A comparison between product and service supply chains. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 28(2), 444-463.

ZahraLotfi, E. A. (2013). The relationships between supply chain integration and product quality. *Procedia Technol.*, 11(1), 471-478

Zaid, S., Palilati, A., Madjid, R., & Abadi, S. (2021). The effect of supply chain integration on customer loyalty: The mediating roles of operational performance and customer satisfaction. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, 9(4), 867-876.

Zhao, L., Huo, B., Sun, L., & Zhao, X. (2013). The impact of supply chain risk on supply chain integration and company performance: a global investigation. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 18(2), 115-131.