## Asymmetric Relationships between Government Spending and Economic Growth in Nigeria: Wagner's Law and Keynes' Hypothesis

Yusuf Shamsuddeen Nadabo Department of Economics, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Katsina

#### Abstract:

This study examined the relationship between public expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria from 1981 to 2021 using and non-linear autoregressive linear distributed lag (ARDL and NARDL) bounds models based on Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis. The results showed the presence long-term relationship between of a government expenditure and economic growth, with evidence of both linear and nonlinear relationships. The study also found evidence of short and long-run asymmetric impacts of government spending and economic growth, possibly due to various government policies and economic events. The study confirmed the validity of Wagner's which suggests law. a unidirectional causality from economic growth to government spending, while Keynes's hypothesis was supported by evidence of a unidirectional causal relationship running from government expenditure to economic growth. The study recommends that fiscal authorities consider the presence of asymmetries in the adjustment processes government of expenditure and economic growth when formulating fiscal policies to promote economic growth and development.

#### Keywords:

Government Expenditure, Economic Growth, Nigeria, Linearity, Non-linearity. **JEL. Classification:** C50, E61, E62 Sulaiman Maigari Salisu (Ph.D) Department of Economics, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Katsina

#### 1. Introduction:

Public spending or government expenditure is a vital tool used by governments to economic growth stimulate and development. The general assumption is that when governments spend more, economic growth should follow suit. However, this relationship has been subject to intense debates over the years, with some arguing that the relationship is not straightforward, and other factors such as institutional quality, political instability, and corruption can interfere. Nigeria is not an exception to this debate as the country has continued to experience an increase in public spending over the years, yet meaningful economic growth and development have not been realized (Nadabo & Salisu, 2021; Nwude & Boloupremo, 2018; Babatunde, 2018).

Wagner's Law suggests that as the economy grows, government expenditure also grows due to the increasing demands of the population for public goods and services (Arestis et al., 2021). Empirical studies show that Wagner's Law applies in various countries, such as Spain (Jaén-garcía, 2011), Greece (Antonis et al., 2013), Turkey (Bayrakdar et al., 2015), Romania (Wang et al., 2016), Nigeria (Iyoboyi, 2018; Nwude & Boloupremo, 2018), Pakistan (Munir & Ali, 2019), and Egypt (Eldemerdash & Ahmed, 2019). On the other hand, Keynesian economics argues government that expenditure can stimulate economic growth by increasing aggregate demand and

promoting investment. Empirical studies reveal that Keynes' Hypothesis also occurs in several countries such as Nigeria (Babatunde, 2018), Turkey (Karahan & Colak, 2019), Armenia, and Spain (Sedrakyan & Varela-Candamio, 2019).

However, from the empirical perspective, there are also diverse conclusions regarding the exact direction of causality between public expenditure and economic growth. Precisely, studies conducted on the theme in developing developed and countries. including Nigeria, found the unidirectional, bi-directional, and neutral or independent relationship between government spending and economic growth (Ahuja & Pandit, 2020; Akinlo & Jemiluvi, 2018; Al-Yousif, 2000; Cooray, 2009; Devajaran, Swaroop & Zou 1996; Gisore, et al, 2014; Kalebe, 2015; Kimaro, Keong, & Sea, 2017; Nurudeen & Abdullahi, 2010; Thabane & Lebina, 2016; Bhattacharyya, 2019). The results of these studies are mixed and inconclusive, perhaps, due to the application of different methods, data sets, time frames, and country peculiarities.

By and large, it can be argued that both theoretical prepositions and empirical evidence on the relationship between government spending and economic growth often conflict with economic realities on the ground, especially in most developing including countries. Nigeria. Most researchers neglect to recognize the possibility of a nonlinear (asymmetric) government relationship between expenditure and economic growth. Indeed, nonlinearities exist in most macroeconomic variables primarily due to structural breaks (various developments in the phases of the economic circle and policy changes, and so on). So far, few studies have supported the presence of nonlinear Nexus between government expenditure and economic growth outside Nigeria (Ageli, 2013;

Hercowitz & Stawczynski, 2004; Bonsjack, 2018; Ampah, 2018).

In Nigeria, Akinlo & Jemiluyi (2018) and Nadabo and Salisu (2021) are the only known studies to our knowledge that used the nonlinear autoregressive distributed lag (NARDL) bounds co-integration approach examine the relationship between to government spending and economic growth in Nigeria for the period from 1960-2016 and 1970-2019. Their study has specific weaknesses. First, it focused only on Wagner's law and neglected the Keynes hypothesis. Second, the authors only applied the NARDL co-integration approach but neglected to employ its counterpart, the linear ARDL co-integration approach, to relationship analyze the between government spending and economic growth nexus. To address these weaknesses, this study applied both ARDL and NARDL for integrated approaches based on Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis. The study contributes to the existing literature by exploring the symmetric and asymmetric nexus between government expenditures and economic growth in Nigeria for the period 1981-2021. The study's main objective is to explore the symmetric and asymmetric nexus between government expenditures and economic growth in Nigeria. The specific objectives to:

- i. To establish the presence or otherwise of linear and nonlinear relationships between government spending and economic growth in Nigeria.
- ii. To investigate the validity of Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis in Nigeria.

### 2. Literature Review:

### 2.1 Theoretical Literature

#### **Wagner's Theory of Public Expenditure** Wagner (1883) postulated the law of increasing public expenditure. It predicts

increasing public expenditure. It predicts that higher growth rates will lead to higher levels of government expenditure. The central premise of the Wagner law is that government spending does not play a significant role in economic growth; hence it is not suitable as a policy instrument. In the final analysis, Wagner (1883) envisaged unilateral causality that runs from economic government growth spending to (expenditure). This suggests that economic growth influences the dynamics of government expenditure.

#### Keynesian Theory on Public Expenditure

Keynes (1936) regards public expenditures as an exogenous factor that can be utilized to promote economic growth. He recommends expansionary fiscal using policy or increased government spending, especially during the recession, contributing positively to economic growth. In particular, increased government consumption is likely to increase employment, profitability, and investment through multiplier effects on aggregate demand. He concludes that government expenditure can stimulate aggregate demand, leading to an increase in output on the size expenditure multiplier.

### 2.2 Empirical Literature:

Studies on the Effect of Government Expenditure on Economic Growth

Nurudeen and Abdullahi (2010) analyzed Government Expenditure on Economic Growth in Nigeria for the period the 1970-2008 periods. The results reveal that government total capital expenditure, total recurrent expenditures, and government expenditure on education negatively affect economic growth

Fasoranti (2012) used the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method to examine the effects of government expenditures on infrastructure on the growth of the Nigerian economy over the period 1977- 2009. The results reveal the presence of a long-run relationship between economic growth and government expenditure. Patricia and Izuchukwu (2013) used Johansen co-integration and ECM methods to analyze the impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria from 1977 to 2012. The results indicate that total expenditure on education is highly and statistically significant and positively affects Nigeria's economic growth in the long run.

Oni & Ozemhoka (2014) assessed the impact of public expenditure on the growth of the Nigerian economy, it covers the period of 1981-2011, and the OLS method of econometric technique was used. The result indicates that there is a positive relationship between the dependent and independent variables.

Adamu and Hajara (2015) examined the impact of public expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria using ordinary least square multiple regression for the period 1970-2012. The results show a positive and insignificant relationship between capital expenditure and economic growth, while recurrent expenditure has a significant positive impact on economic growth.

Idenyi, Obinna, Promise, and Ogbonnaya (2016) study the impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria from 1980 – 2015, using the Johansen cointegration technique. The study results indicate a negative relationship between government capital expenditure, unemployment, and economic growth. A positive correlation was found among recurrent government expenditure, inflation, and economic growth.

Idris and Bakar (2017) applied the ARDL co-integration approach to explore the relationship between government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria from 1980 to 2015, using the ARDL model. The result reveals a positive and significant relationship between public spending on economic growth in Nigeria.

Akinlo and Jemiluyi (2018) assessed the relationship between government

expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria using nonlinear ARDL for the period 1960-2016, and the findings show the existence of co-integration and nonlinear relation between government expenditure and economic growth in both the long and short run. Iyoboyi (2018) tested for the validity of Wagner's law in the presence of structural 1981-2015 using ECM breaks from framework. The findings reveal that both Wagner's law and Keynesian hypothesis are valid for Nigeria.

Mohammed et al., (2021) study the Public Expenditure and Economic Growth in Nigeria. Using Smooth Transition Regression (STR) model was employed to help accomplish the objective of the study s for the period 1981-2017. The findings public expenditure was found to exhibit a positive and significant impact on economic growth in both directions.

Nadabo and Salisu (2021) study the nexus between government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria using nonlinear ARDL for the period 1970 to 2019, and the results illustrated that a positive change in government spending has a positive and statistically significant effect on economic growth while a negative change has no significant impact on economic growth during the study period.

#### Studies on the Effect of Economic Growth on Government Expenditure

Ansari, Gordon, and Akuamoah (1997) studied the growth-expenditure hypothesis to test using 'Granger and Holmes-Hulton analysis'. The study was done in Ghana, Kenya, and South Africa. Their findings did not support Keynes's hypothesis for these countries.

Ighodaro and Oriakhi (2010) analysed the effect of economic growth on government expenditure in Nigeria between 1961-2007 using a co-integration approach. The

findings confirm the validity of the Keynesian hypothesis in Nigeria.

Ebaid and Bahari (2019) assessed the effect of economic growth on government expenditure in Kuwait between 1970-2015 using the Granger non-causality test. Their empirical results support the unidirectional causality running from government spending to economic growth.

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical frameworks for this study are based on the two main perceptive of theories regarding the relationship between public expenditure and economic growth. The first is Wagner's hypothesis or Wagner's Law (Wagner, 1876), and the second is the Keynesian hypothesis (Keynes, 1936). These two theories perceive the functional relationship between these two variables from a different perspective. Wagner's law argues that public expenditure is an endogenous factor driven by national income growth. In contrast, the Keynesian hypothesis postulates that economic growth occurs due to rising private and public expenditure expenditure, with public independent/exogenous considered an variable to influence economic growth. Furthermore, in Wagner's law, the causality runs from economic growth to public expenditure, while in Keynesian theory, the direction of causality is the opposite, making the two theories fundamentally different.

# **3.2 Model Specification Basic Models**

Following Peacock-Wiseman as further elaborated by Iyoboyi (2018) and Nadabo & Salisu (2021). The basic functional models for Wagner and Keynes's hypothesis are specified in equations 1 and 2, respectively:

$$GEX_t = f(GDP_t) \tag{1}$$

$$GDP_t = f(GEX_t) \tag{2}$$

DPt is the gross domestic product (economic growth) at the time, t; while GEXt is the government expenditure. The functional models in equations (1) and (2), which represent Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis, respectively, can be rewritten in the following mathematical forms:

$$GEX_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 GDP_t \qquad (3)$$
  

$$GDPC_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 GEXP_t \qquad (4)$$

Equations 3 and 4 represent the mathematical representations of Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis, respectively.

Furthermore, oil revenue is also another important determinant of economic growth and government expenditure. Akinlo (2012) argued that oil revenue could cause growth in the economy. Besides, the non-oil revenue was also crucial in determining economic growth in the economy, as Abogan, Akinola, and Baruwa (2013) revealed. Therefore, equations 3 and 4 can also be transformed into econometric models and in logarithmic as follows:

$$lgex_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{2}lgdp_{t} + \alpha_{3}loilr_{t} + \alpha_{4}lnoilr_{t} + \mu_{t}$$
(5)  
$$lgdp_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{2}lgex_{t} + \beta_{3}loilr_{t} + \beta_{4}lnoilr_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(6)

Where:  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  are the constant terms; lstands for natural logarithms whereas  $\mu$ and  $\varepsilon_t$  Equations 5 and 6 are the error terms, which are assumed to be white noise. Autoregressive Regressive Distrusted Lag (ARDL) Models.

By implementing Pesaran, Shin, and Smith's (2001) methodology, equations 5 and 6 can be transformed into the following ARDL models:

$$\Delta lgex_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1} lgex_{t-1} + \alpha_{2} lgdp_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{3} \Delta lgex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{4} \Delta lgdp_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{4} \Delta loilr_{t-i}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{4} \Delta lnoilr_{t-i} + \mu_{t} \qquad (7)$$

$$\Delta lgdp_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} lgdp_{t-1} + \beta_{2} lgex_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{3} \Delta lgdp_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \beta_{4} \Delta lgex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{4} \Delta loilr_{t-i}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{4} \Delta lnoilr_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{t} \qquad (8)$$

Where:  $\Delta$  denotes the first difference operator; p is the maximum lag order selected by Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC);  $\alpha_{-}(0)$  )is the constant term;  $\alpha_{-}1$ and  $\alpha_{-}2$ stand for the long-run coefficients;  $\alpha_{-}3$  and  $\alpha_{-}4$  are short-run coefficients while  $\mu_{-}t$ The white noise error term in equation 7 represents the ARDL model for Wagner's law. Also,  $\beta_{-}0$  is the constant term;  $\beta_{-}1$  and  $\beta_{-}2$ stand for the long-run

coefficients;  $\beta_3$  and  $\beta_4$  are short-run coefficients whereas  $\varepsilon_t$ The white noise error term in equation 8 represents the ARDL model for the Keynes hypothesis.

Also, the following short-run dynamics and Error Correction Models (ARDL-ECMs) are specified and are estimated once the presence of counteraction between government spending and economic growth is established:

$$\Delta lgex_t = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 ECT_{(t-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varphi_2 \Delta lgex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \varphi_3 \Delta lgdp_{t-i} + \xi_t$$
(9)

$$\Delta lgdp_t = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 ECT_{(t-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_2 \Delta lgdp_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \lambda_3 \Delta lgex_{t-i} + \Omega_t$$
(10)

Where:  $\phi$  0 is the constant term;  $\phi$  1 is the coefficient of one period-lagged error term,

[ECT] ((t-1)) which also represents the long-term dynamics while  $\varphi$  2 and  $\varphi$  3 The short-run coefficients on government expenditure and gross domestic product (economic growth) in equation 9 for Wagner's law. More so, in equation 10,  $\lambda = 0$ is the constant term;  $\lambda$  1 is the coefficient one period-lagged error of term [ECT] ((t-1))which also represents the long dynamics; while  $\lambda$  2 and  $\lambda$  3 are the short-run coefficients on the gross domestic product (economic growth) and government expenditure, respectively. Equations 9 and 10 represent the short-run ARDL-ECMs for Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis, respectively.

$$+\sum_{i=0}^{q} \lambda_3 \Delta lgex_{t-i} + \Omega_t$$
(10)

Non-linear autoregressive Regressive Distributed Lag (NARDL) Models Equations 7 and 8 represent linear ARDL models, while equations 9 and 10 areARDL-However, ECMs. an alternative approach proposed by Shin et al. (2014) is used to capture the possible asymmetries of government expenditure and economic growth. This approach is called the Nonlinear Autoregressive Distributed Lag (NARDL) bounds test to cointegration, which is an extension of the ARDL approach developed by Pesaran et al. (2011). Accordingly, following Shin et al. (2013), the basic linear models in equations 5 and 6 can are remodeled to account for the possible presence of asymmetries between government expenditure and economic growth for Wagnerlaw and Kevnes hypothesis follows: as

$$lgex_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}^{+} lgdp^{+} + \alpha_{1}^{-} lgdp^{-} + \eta_{t}$$
(11)

$$lgdp_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1^{+}} lgex_{t}^{+} + \beta_{2^{-}} lgex_{t}^{-} + u_{t}$$
(12)

Where  $\alpha$  0 represents the constant term and  $[\alpha_1]$  ^(+) and  $[\alpha_2]$  ^(-) represent the long-run coefficients on the positive change (1 [gdp] \_t^(+ )) and negative  $(1 [gdp]]_t^-)$  changes in the gross domestic product (economic growth) for the Wagner model in equation 11. Similarly, in

equation 12, which represents the Keynes hypothesis,  $\beta$  0 represents the constant term while  $[\beta 1]$   $^{(+)}and [\beta 2] ^{(-)}$ represent the long coefficients attached to the positive  $(1 [gex]] t^{(+)})$  and negative

(1 [gex] \_t^-) changes in government expenditure. These changes (positive and negative) in the gross domestic product (lgdp) are defined as follows:

$$lgdp\_pos_{t} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\t}}^{t} \Delta lgdp\_pos_{i} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\t}}^{t} max (\Delta lgdp_{i}, 0)$$
(13)  
$$lgdp\_neg_{t} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{t} \Delta lgdp\_neg_{i} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{t} min (\Delta lgd_{i}, 0)$$
(14)

Where:  $\Delta$  denotes the first difference and  $lgdp\_pos_t$  and  $lgdp\_neg_t$  Are the natural logs of positive and negative changes in gross domestic product, respectively. Hence, replacing  $lgdp_{t-1}$ (in equation 7) with  $lgdp\_pos_t$  and  $lgdp\_neg_t$  (in equations 13 and 14 respectively), we derive the NARDL model (in equation 15) for Wagner's law.

In the same vein. in equation 12, which represents the Keyne hypothesis,  $\beta_0$  is the constant term while  $\beta_1$  + and  $\beta_2$  - represent the long coefficients attached to the positive  $(lgex_{+}^{+})$ and negative  $(lgex_t)$  changes in government expenditure which are defined in equations 15 and 16, respectively.

$$lgex\_pos_{t} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\t}}^{t} \Delta lgex\_pos_{i} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\t}}^{t} max \ (\Delta lgex_{i}, 0)$$
(15)  
$$lgex\_neg_{t} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\t}}^{t} \Delta lgex\_neg_{i} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\t}}^{t} min \ (\Delta lgex_{i}, 0)$$
(16)

t

Where:  $\Delta$  denotes first difference,  $lgex_pos_t$ and  $lgex_neg_t$ Are the natural logs of positive and negative changes in government expenditure, respectively. Therefore, by substituting lgext-1 (in equation 8) with  $lgdp_pos_t$  and  $lgdp_neg_t$  (in equations 15 and 16 respectively), we derive the NARDL model in equation 17 for Wagner's law. In the same vein, substituting  $lgex_{t-1}$  (in equation 12) with  $lgex_pos_t$  and  $lgex_neg_t$  (in equations 15 and 16 respectively), we arrive at the NARDL model (in equation 18) for the Keynes hypothesis.

For clarity, the NARDL models for Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis in equations 17 and 18, respectively, are represented as follows:

$$\Delta lgex_{t} = \gamma_{0} + \gamma_{1}lgex_{t-1} + \gamma_{2}lgdp_{t-1}^{+} + \gamma_{3} lgdp_{t-1}^{-} + \sum_{t=1}^{p} \theta_{1}\Delta lgex_{t-1} + \sum_{t=0}^{q} \theta_{2}\Delta lgdp_{p}os_{t-0}$$

$$\stackrel{r}{+\sum_{i=0}^{r} \theta_{3}\Delta lgdp_{n}eg_{t-0} + \eta_{t}} (17)$$

$$\Delta lgdp_{t} = \delta_{0} + \delta_{1}lgdp_{t-1} + \delta_{2}lgex_{t-1}^{+} + \delta_{3}lgex_{t-1}^{-} + \sum_{t=1}^{p} \sigma_{1}\Delta lgdp_{t-1} + \sum_{q}^{q} \sigma_{2}\Delta lgex_{p}os_{t-0}$$

$$\stackrel{r}{+\sum_{i=0}^{r} \sigma_{3}\Delta lgex_{n}eg_{t-0} + \varepsilon_{t}} (18)$$

Equation 17 includes the long-run coefficients  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ , and  $\gamma_3$  on the previous year's positive and negative changes in government expenditure, as well as short-run coefficients  $\Theta_1$ ,  $\Theta_21$ , and  $\Theta_3$ . Equation 18 has long-run coefficients

 $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$ , and  $\delta_3$  on the previous year's changes in gross domestic product, and optimal lags p, q, and r, are determined using the Akaike Information Criterion. Equations 19 and 20 specify short-run and error correction models for both Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis.

$$\Delta lgex_{t} = \varpi_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \varpi_{1} s \Delta lgex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \varpi_{2} \Delta lgdp_{t}^{+} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \varpi_{3} \Delta lgex_{t-i}^{-} + \pi ECT_{t-1}$$
(19)

$$\Delta lgdp_{t} = {}_{0} + \sum_{1}^{t=1} \Delta lgdp_{t-i} + \sum_{2} \Delta lgex^{+} + \sum_{3} \Delta lgex^{-} + \Omega ECT_{t-1}$$

$$i=0$$

$$i=0$$

$$i=0$$

$$(20)$$

Where:  $\varpi_0$  is the constant term;  $\varpi$ ,  $\varpi_2$  and  $\varpi_3$ are the short-run coefficients and  $\pi$  is the speed of adjustment attached to the ECT<sub>t-1</sub>, which also represents the long-run dynamics in equation 19). Similarly,  $_0$  is the constant term, while  $_1$ ,  $_2$ , and  $_3$ The short-run coefficients are to be estimated and  $\Omega$  is the speed of adjustment attached to the ECT<sub>t-1</sub> (the residual obtained), representing the longrun dynamics in equation 20.

Where:  $\varpi_0$  is the constant term;  $\varpi$ ,  $\varpi_2$  and  $\varpi_3$  are the short-run coefficients and  $\pi$  is the speed of adjustment attached to the ECTt-1, which also represents the long-run dynamics in equation 19). Similarly,  $\varphi_0$  is the constant term, while  $[ \phi ] _1, \phi_2$ , and  $\varphi_3$ The short-run coefficients are to be Estimated and  $\Omega$  is the speed of adjustment attached to the ECTt-1 (the residual

obtained), representing the long-run dynamics in equation 20.

#### 3.3 Data Source:

This study employs secondary data covering the period 1981 to 2021. The data were sourced from the World Development Indicators of the World Bank (WDI, 2022) and the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN 2022). The study uses two significant variables, namely gross domestic product (GDP), government expenditure (GEX), and two other control variables, Oil Revenue (OILR) and Non-oil revenue (NOILR)

#### **Results and Discussions:**

4.1 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Table

4.1: Descriptive Statistics of Variables of the Study

Variab	Mea	Medi	Ma	Min	Std.	Skewne	Kurtos	Jacque-	Prob	Obser
le	n	an	Х		Dev.	SS	is	Bera	•	V
GDP	31.04	30.78	31.1	30.2	0.50	0.37	1.61	4.04	0.14	40
			9	4						
GEXP	30.48	30.86	31.6	29.4	0.60	0.26	1.52	3.97	0.15	40
			0	3						

**Source**: Author's computation (2022)

Table 4.1 provides descriptive statistics for the variables, based on 40 observations spanning the period of 1981-2021. The mean or average value for the gross domestic product is N31.04 billion, while government expenditure has a mean of N30.48 billion. The median values for gross domestic product and government expenditure are N30.78 billion and N30.86 billion, respectively. The minimum and Maximum values for the gross domestic product are N30.24 billion and N31.19 billion, respectively, while those for government expenditure are N29.43 billion and N31.60 billion, respectively. The low standard deviation values indicate minimum volatility throughout the study, and the positive skewness and platykurtic kurtosis values suggest that both variables are positively skewed. The Jarque-Bera statistics and p-values show that both variables are normally distributed.

#### 4.2 **Correlation Analysis**

Table 4.2: Correlation matrix								
Variable	LGDP	LGEX						
LGDP	1.0000	0.977						
LGEX	0.977	1.0000						
	Common Anthonia commutati	(2022)						

**Source**: Author's computation (2022)

The correlation matrix in Table 4.2 shows the relationship between the log of gross domestic product (LGDP) and the log of government expenditure (LGEX) for the period 1981–2021. It shows that the variables have a positive and near-perfect relationship during the period under review.

To test or ascertain the data's stationarity properties, the study carried out Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and PP tests. The tests in Table 4.3 show that the log of gross domestic product (LGDP) and the log of government expenditure (LGEX) are not stationary at this level. Still, they became stationary after taking their first difference.

#### 4.3 **Unit Root Test Results**

Table 4.5. One Root Test Results (with intercept only)								
	ADF	ADF		PP				
Variables	Level	First	Level	First	Stationarity			
		Difference		difference	_			
LGDP	-1.611	-7421**	-1.010	-3.385	I(1)			
LGEX	-3.646	-7422***	-3.730	-5.743***	I(1)			
LOILR			-0.782	-6.962***	I(1)			
LNOILR	-0.405	-5.486***	2.763	-8.565***	I(1)			

 Table 4.3. Unit Root Test Results (with intercent only)

Note: \*\*and \*\*\* denote rejection of the null hypothesis at 5 % and 1% levels. **Source**: Author's Computation (2022)

<b>Table 4.4:</b>	Counteraction	<b>Results for ARI</b>	DL and NARDL Models
-------------------	---------------	------------------------	---------------------

	Function	Model	F-Stat.	k	n	Signify.	1(0)	1(1)
Panel A:	Lgex = f(lgdp)	Wagner	4248	1	40	10%	4.04	4.78
ARDL						5%	4.94	5.73
						1%	6.84	7.84
	Lgdp = f(lgex)	Keynes	3.924	1	40	10%	4.04	4.78
						5%	4.94	5.73
						1%	6.84	7.84
Panel B:	Lgex = f(lgdp)	Wagner	5.017	2	37	10%	3.17	4.14
NARDL						5%	3.79	4.85
						1%	5.15	6.36
	Lgdp = f(lgex)	Keynes	5.386	2	35	10%	3.17	4.14
						5%	3.79	4.85
						1%	4.15	6.36

Notes: i. F-Stat stands for F- Statistics, ii. k is the number of the parameter(s) included in the model, excluding constant, iii. n represents the number of observations, iv. Akaike Info. Criterion is used in estimating the ARDL models and v. Case 3: Pesaran (2011) critical values (unrestricted constant and no trend).

*Source:* Author's computation (2022).

Table 4.4 shows the counteraction results for both the ARDL and NARDL models for two hypotheses: Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis. Panel A of the table presents the ARDL results, while Panel B presents the NARDL results. For the ARDL model for Wagner's law, the F-statistic is 4.248, which is in between the upper and lower bound critical values at a 10% significance level. This suggests that there is inconclusive counteraction or a long-run relationship between government expenditure and economic growth. Similarly, for the ARDL model for Keynes's hypothesis, the Fstatistic is 3.924, which is less than the lower bound I(0) critical value at a 10% level. This significance suggests no counteraction between government expenditure and economic growth for the

ARDL model regarding Keynes's hypothesis.

Moving to Panel B, the NARDL model for Wagner's law has an F-statistic of 5.017, which is higher than the upper bound critical value at a 5% significance level. This implies non-linear counteraction or a longrelationship between government run expenditure and economic growth for the NARDL model for Wagner's law. Similarly, for the NARDL model regarding Keynes's hypothesis, the F-statistic is 5.386, which is also higher than the upper bound critical value at a 5% significance level. This implies non-linear solid counteraction between government expenditure and economic growth for the NARDL model regarding Keynes's hypothesis.

# 4.5 Long Run Coefficients for ARDL and NARDL Models

	Model	Regressor	Coeff.	Std. Err	t-stat.	Prob.	Validity
Panel A: ARDL	Wagner's: Dependent variable is LGEX	LGDP	1.271***	0.076	16.666	0.000	Yes
	Keynes hypothesis: dependent variable LGDP	LGEX	0.768***	0.062	12.368	0.000	Yes
Panel B:	Wagner's:	LGDP_POS	1.144***	0.123	9.273	0.000	Yes
NARDL	Dependent variable is LGEX	LGDP_NEG	-1.408	3.357	-0.432	0.678	No

 Table 4.5: Long run Coefficients for ARDL and NARDL Model

Keynes hypothesis: Dependent variable LGDP	LGEX_POS LGEX_NEG	0.632*** -0.497	0.078 0.497	8.143 -0.999	0.000 0.330	Yes No

**Notes**: Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) is used to choose the optimum lag length, and denote statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% significance levels respectively.

Source: Author's Computation (2022).

In Panel A, for the ARDL model, the estimated long-run coefficient for Wagner's law (LGDP) is 1.271. This suggests that a 1% increase in gross domestic product will, average. increase government on expenditure by about 1.27% ceteris paribus. Similarly, for Keynes's hypothesis, the long-run estimated coefficient for government expenditure (LGEX) is 0.768. This finding indicates that a 1% increase in government expenditure will cause the economy to grow by about 0.768% ceteris paribus.

In Panel B, for the NARDL model for Wagner's law, the estimated long-run coefficient for positive changes in the gross domestic product (LGDP\_POS) is 1.144. This implies that a 1% increase in economic growth will lead to an average rise of 1.14% in government expenditure ceteris paribus. However, the estimated long-run coefficient for negative changes in gross domestic Product (LGDP NEG) is -1.408, which is negative and statistically significant at a 1% level. This indicates that a 1% decrease in economic growth will, on average, lead to a of 1.408% increase in government expenditure ceteris paribus. For the NARDL model regarding Keynes's estimated hypothesis, the long-run coefficient positive changes for in government expenditure (LGEX\_POS) is

0.632. This suggests that a 1% increase in government expenditure will lead to an average rise of 0.632% in economic growth

Ceteris paribus. However, the estimated long-run coefficient for negative changes in government expenditure (LGEX\_NEG) is -0.497, which is negative but statistically insignificant. This implies that a 1% decrease in government expenditure will lead to an increase in economic growth by 1% increase.

	Model	Variable	Coefficient	Std. Err	t-stat.	Prob.
	Wagner's:	С	-1.1882***	0.626	-3.007	0.005
	Dependent	d(lgdp)	1.179***	0.357	3.354	0.002
Panel A:	variable is	ECT <sub>t-1</sub>	-0.270*	0.110	-2.958	0.056
ARDL	D(LGEX)					
INDL	Keynes	С	1.004***	0.373	2.923	0.007
	hypothesis:	d(lgdp(-1))	0.113	0.128	0.883	0.344
	Dependent	d(lgdp(-2))	0.289**	0.121	2.391	0.024
	variable	d(lgex)	0.195***	0.047	4.136	0.000
	D(LGDP)	ECT <sub>t-1</sub>	-0.137***	0.048	-2.849	0.008

#### Table 4.6: Short Run/ECM coefficients

	Wagner:	С	11.817***	3.396	3.480	0.002
	dependent	d(lgdp_pos)	1.135***	0.120	9.448	0.000
Panel B:	variable is	d(lgdp_pos (-1))	-1.441	3.334	-0.432	0.553
NARDL	D(LGEX)	ECT <sub>t-1</sub>	-0.399***	0.101	-4.007	0.004
	Keynes:	С	9.476**	2.539	3.733	0.001
	Dependent	d(lgdp-1))	0.107	0.122	0.878	0.390
	variable is	d(lgdp(-2))	0.355***	0.110	3.227	0.004
	D(LGDP)	d(lgdp(-3))	-0.205*	0.112	-1.831	0.082
		d(lgex_pos)	0.162***	0.043	3.773	0.001
		d(lgex_pos (-1))	0.127**	0.486	2.618	0.016
		d(lgex_neg)	0.222**	0.095	2.332	0.030
		d(lgex_pos (-1))	-0.310***	0.078	-3.963	0.000
		d(lgex_pos (-2))	0.060	0.077	0.771	0.450
		d(lgex_pos (-3))	-0.257*	0.073	-3.351	0.022
		ECT <sub>t-1</sub>	0.312***	0.060	-3.641	0.002

**Notes**: The ultimatum lags length are chosen by Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), and denote statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% significance level. Source: Author's computation (2022).

The upper part of Panel A of Table 4.6 depicts that the coefficient (0.27) on the Error Correction Term, ECT<sub>t-1</sub> in the ARDL model for Wagner's law is statistically significant at 10% and negative as suggested by theory. This outcome confirms the presence of counteraction (long-run relationship) government between expenditure and economic growth and confirms the existence of causality that runs from economic growth to government expenditure, thereby affirming the validity of Wagner's law in the long run. This finding conforms with most empirical evidence in the literature (Bayrak and Esen, 2014; Akinlo, 2013; Olomola, 2004). The result also illustrates that the speed of adjustment toward the long run is relatively low as about 27% of the short run in disequilibrium is corrected annually.

In the lower part of Panel, A of Table 4.6, the coefficient (-0.13.7) of  $ECT_{t-1}$  in the ARDL model for Keynes's hypothesis is also negative and statistically significant at 1%. This means that the speed of adjustment for this model is deficient, as about 14% of the short-run's disequilibrium will be corrected.

However, this speed is much lower than the one reported by the ARDL model for Wagner's hypothesis. Furthermore, the negative sign and statistical significance of ECT<sub>t-1</sub> in the ARDL model for Keynes's affirms hypothesis the presence of counteraction (long-run relationship) between government and economic growth and causality that runs from government expenditure to economic growth, thereby validating the Keynes hypothesis in the long run. also, the short-run coefficient (0.195) of the current year's government expenditure positive (dlgex) is and statistically significant at a 1% significance level. This implies that a 1% change in government expenditure will, on average, increase economic growth by about 2% ceteris paribus.

The upper portion of Panel 4.6B shows that the coefficient (-0.399) on ECT (-1) in the NARDL model for Wagner's law is negative and statistically significant at 1%. This implies that about 40% of the short-run disequilibrium is corrected per annum. It also confirms the existence of non-linear long-run relationships between government expenditure and economic growth and the validity of Wagner's law in the long run. Furthermore, the results in the lower part of Panel 4.6B indicate that the value (-0.312) on the ECT (-1) in the NARDL model for the Keynes hypothesis is statistically significant at 1% and negative as expected. This result illustrates that about 31% of the short-run disequilibrium will be corrected in a year

	Model	BP	BPG	JB	Ramsy-	<b>P-value</b>
					Reset	
Panel A:	Wagner's	1.097	1.775	6.427	6.014	
ARDL	law	(0.385)	(0.620)	(0.040)	(0.019)	
	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>					0.499
	Adj. R <sup>2</sup>					0.469
	F-stat					17.043
						(0.000)
	DW					2.335
	Keynes	2.449	2.019	13130	0.311	
	hypothesis	(0.293)	(0.847)	(0.001)	(0.581)	
Panel B:	$\mathbb{R}^2$					0.500
ARDL	Adj. $R^2$					0.436
	F-stat					0.320
						(0.777)
	DW					2.098
	Wagner's	3.026	5.475	5.887	3.394	
Panel C:	law	(0.220)	(0.361)	(0.053)	(0.076)	
NARDL	R <sup>2</sup>					0.515
NAKDL	Adj. $\mathbb{R}^2$					0.470
	F-stat					11.359
						(0.000)
	DW					2.072
	Keynes	2.811	9.202	5.193	0.219	
	hypothesis	(0.245)	(0.758)	0.075	(0.645)	
Panel D <sup>.</sup>					. ,	0.829
<b>Panel D</b> : NARDL	R <sup>2</sup>					0.02
Panel D: NARDL	$R^2$ Adj. $R^2$					0.744
	Adj. R <sup>2</sup>					0.744

**4.7 Diagnostic Tests Results Table 4.7**: Diagnostic Test Results

**Notes**: The optimum lags lengths are chosen by Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) \*, and denote statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1% significance level. **Source**: Author's computation (2022).

In the same vein, all other models in Panels A, B, C, and D, the NARDL models have passed all the diagnostic tests (serial

Correlation, heteroscedasticity, normality, and Ramsey Reset).

# 5. Conclusions, And Policy Recommendations:

The study finds evidence of both linear and non-linear long-run relationships between government expenditures and economic growth, with evidence of short and long-run asymmetric impacts of government spending and economic growth. The study also confirms the validity of Wagner's law and Keynes's hypothesis. The study makes the following recommendations. Government spending should be focused on priority or critical sectors of the economy (education, agriculture, manufacturing, health. and research and development. Fiscal authorities may consider spending more on capital projects to provide a conducive environment for business activities to flourish in the economy.

- Government spending should be subjected to due process (accountability and transparency); otherwise, the efficacy of public expenditure in promoting economic growth will be jeopardized.
- The government should consider the presence of asymmetries in the relationship between public spending and economic growth when formulating fiscal policy by always employing economic development experts to guide through policy meticulously.

#### **References:**

- Abogan, O. P., Akinola, E., & Baruwa, O. (2014). Non-oil export and economic growth in Nigeria. Journal of Research in Economics and International Finance, 3(1), 1-11.
- Adamu, J., & Hajara, B. (2015). Government expenditure and economic growth nexus: Empirical evidence from Nigeria (1970-2012). Journal of Economics and Finance, 6(2), 61-69.
- 3. Ageli, M. (2013). Does education expenditure promote economic growth in Saudi Arabia? An econometric

analysis. International Journal of Social Science Research, 1(1), 1-10.

- 4. Ahuja, D., & Pandit, D. (2020). Public expenditure and economic growth: Evidence from the developing countries. FIIB Business Review, 9(3), 228-236.
- Akinlo, A. E. (2012). How Important is Oil in Nigeria's Economic Growth? Journal of Sustainable Development, 5(4), 165.
- Akinlo, A. E. (2013). Government spending and national income nexus for Nigeria. Global Journal of Business Research, 7(1), 33-41.
- Akinlo, A. E., & Jemiluyi, O. O. (2018). Economic growth nexus in Nigeria: evidence from Nonlinear ARDL and causality approaches. Review of Innovation and Competitiveness: A Journal of Economic and Social Research, 4(2), 5-26.
- Al-Yousif, Y. K. (2000). Do government expenditures inhibit or promote economic growth: Some empirical evidence from Saudi Arabia. Indian economic journal, 48(2), 92.
- Ampah, I. K., & Kotosz, B. (2016). Wagner versus Keynes: the causal nexus between Government Expenditures and Economic Growth: An Empirical Study of Burkina Faso. Journal of Heterodox Economics, 3(2), 74-101.
- 10. Antonis, A., Constantinos, K., & Persefoni, T. (2013). Wagner's law versus Keynesian hypothesis: evidence from pre-WWII Greece. Panoeconomicus, 60(4), 457-472.
- 11. Ansari, M. I., Gordon, D. V., & Akuamoah, C. (1997). Keynes versus Wagner: public expenditure and national income for Three African

Countries. Applied Economics, 29(4), 543-550.

- 12. Arestis, P., Şen, H., & Kaya, A. (2021). On the linkage between government expenditure and output: empirics of the Keynesian view versus Wagner's law. Economic Change and Restructuring, 54(2), 265-303.
- 13. Babatunde, S. A. (2018). Government spending on infrastructure and economic growth in Nigeria. Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja, 31(1), 997-1014.
- 14. Bayrak, M., & Esen, Ö. (2014). Examining the Validity of Wagner's Law in the OECD Economies. Research in Applied Economics, 6(3), 1.
- 15. Bayrakdar, S., Demez, S., & Yapar, M. (2015). Testing the validity of Wagner's law: 1998-2004, the case of Turkey. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 195, 493-500.
- Bhattacharyya, P. (2019). Public expenditure on education and economic growth: A state-level analysis in India. Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews, 7(6), 533-539.
- 17. Central Bank of Nigeria (2022) Statistical Bulletin Public Finance Statistics.
- Cooray, A. (2009). Government expenditure, governance, and economic growth. Comparative Economic Studies, 51, 401-418.
- Devarajan, S., Swaroop, V., & Zou, H. F. (1996). The composition of public expenditureandeconomicgrowth. Journa 1 of monetary economics, 37(2), 313-
- 20. Ebaid, A., & Bahari, Z. (2019). The Nexus between Government Expenditure and Economic Growth: Evidence of the Wagner's Law in Kuwait. Review of Middle East Economics and Finance, 15(1).
- 21. Eldemerdash, H., & Ahmed, K. I. S. (2019). Wagner's law vs. Keynesian

hypothesis: New evidence from Egypt. International Journal of Arts and Commerce, 8(3), 1-18.

- Fasoranti, M. M. (2012). The effect of government expenditure on its infrastructure on the growth of the Nigerian economy, 1977-2009. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 2(4),
- 23. Gisore, N., Kiprop, S., Kalio, A., Ochieng, J., & Kibet, L. (2014). Effect of government expenditure on economic growth in East Africa: A disaggregated model. European Journal of Business and Social Sciences, 3(8), 289-304.
- 24. Hercowitz, Z., & Strawczynski, M. (2004). Cyclical ratcheting in government spending: Evidence from the OECD. Review of Economics and Statistics, 86(1), 353-361.
- 25. Idenyi, O. S., Obinna, N. J., Promise, E. A., & Ogbonnaya, O. T. (2016). Analysis of government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria: Application of Co integration Methodology. Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences, 1-17.
- 26. Ighodaro, C. A., & Oriakhi, D. E. (2010). Does the relationship between government expenditure and economic growth follow Wagner's law in Nigeria? Annals of University of Petrosani Economics, 10(2), 185-198.
- 27. Iyoboyi, M. (2018). A test of the validity of Wagner's law in the presence of Structural breaks: The case of Nigeria. Umyuk Journal of Economics and Development, 1(1), 290-307.
- 28. Jaen-Garcia, M. (2011). Empirical analysis of Wagner's law for Spain's regions. InternationalJournalofAcademi c Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences, 1(1), 1-17.
- 29. Kalebe, K. M. (2015). Government Expenditure-Growth Nexus: Evidence from Namibia. Hypothesis, 6(9).

- 30. Karahan, Ö., & Çolak, O. (2019). Examining the validity of Wagner's law versus Keynesian hypothesis: evidence from Turkey's economy. Scientific Annals of Economics and Business, 66(1), 117-130.
- 31. Keynes, J.M. (1936). The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money (London: Macmillan).
- 32. Kimaro, E. L., Keong, C. C., & Sea, L. L. (2017). Government expenditure, efficiency, and economic growth: a panel analysis of Sub-Saharan African low-income countries. African Journal of Economic Review, 5(2), 34-54.
- 33. Munir, K., & Ali, W. (2019). Wagner versus Keynesian Hypothesis: Role of aggregate and disaggregate expenditure in Pakistan. Theoretical and Applied Economics, XXVI, 4(621), 181-200.
- 34. Nwude, E. C., & Boloupremo, T. (2018). Public expenditure and national income: Time series evidence from Nigeria. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 8(1), 7
- 35. Nadabo Y.S & Salisu S.M, (2021) Investigating the Expenditure-Growth Nexus in Nigeria the Presence of Structural Breaks: A Nonlinear ARDL Cointegration Approach. International Journal of Research & Innovation in Social Science. Vol. 5, No. 9.
- 36. Nurudeen, A., & Usman, A. (2010). Government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria, 1970-2008: A disaggregated analysis. Business and economics journal, 2010(4), 1-11.
- 37. Olomola, P. (2004). Cointegration Analysis-Causality Testing and Wagner's Law: The case of Nigeria, 1970-2001. Journal of Social and Economic Development, 6(1), 76.
- 38. Oni, A., & Ozemhoka, M. (2014). Impact of public expenditure on the Growth of the Nigerian Economy. European scientific journal, 10 (28).

- 39. Patricia, C., & Izuchukwu, C. (2013). Impact of Government Expenditure on Economic Growth in Nigeria. International Journal of Business and Management Review, 1(4), 64-71.
- 40. Pesaran, M., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. Journal of Applied Econometrics, 16, 289-326.
- 41. Pesaran, M. H., (2011). Large panels with common factors and spatial correlation. Journal of Econometrics, 161 (2), 182-202.
- 42. Sedrakyan, G. S., & Varela-Candamio, L. (2019). Wagner's law vs. Keynes' hypothesis in very different countries (Armenia and Spain). Journal of Policy Modeling, 41(4), 747-762.
- 43. Shin, Y., Yu, B., & Greenwood-Nimmo, M. (2014). Modeling asymmetric cointegration and dynamic multipliers in a nonlinear ARDL framework. Festschrift in honor of Peter Schmidt: Econometric methods and applications, 281-314.
- 44. Thabane, K., & Lebina, S. (2016). Economic growth and government spending nexus: Empirical evidence from Lesotho. African Journal of Economic Review, 4(1), 86-100.
- 45. Wagner, A. (1883). Three extracts on public finance, classics in the theory of Public Finance (Eds) R.A. Musgrave and A.T. Peacock. St. Martin's Press, New York.
- 46. Wang, L., Peculea, A. D., & Xu, H. (2016). The relationship between public expenditure and economic growth in Romania: Does it obey Wagner's or Keynes's Law. Theoretical and Applied Economics, 23(3), 41-52.
- 47. World Development Indicator (WDI 2022)